

Look for Classification Marking in Message Body

**From:** Protective Security Requirements  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 10 May 2016 9:42 a.m.  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** FW: Contact Number for [REDACTED]

Protective Security Requirements  
New Zealand Security Intelligence Service  
[www.protectivesecurity.govt.nz](http://www.protectivesecurity.govt.nz)

**From:** [REDACTED] [mailto:[REDACTED]@tcil.co.nz]  
**Sent:** Monday, 9 May 2016 4:06 p.m.  
**To:** Protective Security Requirements  
**Subject:** Contact Number for [REDACTED]

Hi PSR – I am trying to track down a contact number for [REDACTED] please

Thanks

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

THOMPSON + CLARK  
PO Box 201775, Albany, NEW ZEALAND  
[www.tcil.co.nz](http://www.tcil.co.nz)

**THOMPSON + CLARK**  
INVESTIGATIONS

© Copyright TCIL 2015.  
WARNING: Unauthorised copying, disclosure or distribution of this document or attachment is strictly prohibited. It is intended to provide TCIL clients only and may contain confidential information. Unauthorised possession, copying or distribution may make offenders subject to legal action relating to offences regarding unlawful possession of unauthorised information and/or intellectual property. If you are not the intended recipient of this publication, or do not have authorisation from TCIL to view it, or have received this publication in error, you must not provide, use, pass or copy the publication or any of its contents. TCIL has no more authority than that of an ordinary private citizen or company to require a reply to this correspondence.

## OIA Privacy

---

**From:** [redacted]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 11 May 2016 7:39 a.m.  
**To:** [redacted]  
**Subject:** RE: Contact Number for [redacted]

See you there at 0900!

**From:** [redacted]@tcil.co.nz  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 10 May 2016 6:13 p.m.  
**To:** [redacted]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz  
**Subject:** RE: Contact Number for [redacted]

9am will work mate at the Archives - just like old times

[redacted]  
Sent from my Sony Xperia™ smartphone

----- @protectivesecurity.govt.nz wrote -----

Can do - any time aside from 1030 - 1130 at this stage  
Archives NZ on Murphy st?

**From:** [redacted]@tcil.co.nz  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 10 May 2016 10:40 a.m.  
**To:** [redacted]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz  
**Subject:** RE: Contact Number for [redacted]

Catch up tomorrow for a coffee?

**From:** [redacted]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz [mailto:[redacted]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 10 May 2016 9:44 AM  
**To:** [redacted]@tcil.co.nz  
**Subject:** RE: Contact Number for [redacted]

Giddyay [redacted]  
Here are my details  
Hows business?

[redacted]  
PSR Engagement Manager  
Ph: [redacted]  
Email: [redacted]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz  
Web: [www.protectivesecurity.govt.nz](http://www.protectivesecurity.govt.nz)

OIA Privacy

---

From: [REDACTED]@tcil.co.nz>  
Sent: Thursday, 12 May 2016 9:17 a.m.  
To: [REDACTED]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz  
Subject: RE: [REDACTED]

Thanks mate – 022 hey?

Be in touch shortly after I have digested you info – good to see you again and catch up on how things have been going

Speak soon and ask [REDACTED] if we can work with you guys to make the PSR process a digital experience?

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz [mailto:[REDACTED]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz]  
Sent: Thursday, 12 May 2016 7:29 AM  
To: [REDACTED]@tcil.co.nz>  
Subject: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

I think I gave you an old number yesterday!  
Cheers

---

This information is communicated in confidence. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete/destroy and do not further disseminate, distribute or copy this message. Thank you.

---

RELEASED UNDER THE OFFICIAL INFORMATION ACT

## Look for Classification Marking in Message Body

**From:** [redacted]@tcil.co.nz>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 24 May 2016 11:17 a.m.  
**To:** [redacted]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz  
**Subject:** RE: Some CMM workshop considerations.

Sounds spiffing old chap – thanks just what I was after

**From:** [redacted]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz [mailto:[redacted]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 24 May 2016 11:13 AM  
**To:** [redacted]@tcil.co.nz>  
**Subject:** Some CMM workshop considerations.

Giddy [redacted],

Further to our discussion this morning, the CMM workshop is a foundation event, and essentially provides the direction for all of the security effort (in providing the assurance reporting on PSR) that will be undertaken by the collaboration of executive responsibilities in the future.

The ideal representation is as previously described – Security leading, with Risk and Assurance, IT, HR, Finance, Legal, H&S, Property, Privacy, etc supporting.

Having the business sharp end and governance end at the table together to provide a clear picture of reality (so that the discussion is not skewed too much in describing the Head Office environment) of the security vectors for the whole of the organisation. The emphasis on a particular thread being known, understood and applied at the furthest reach of the realm is what it is about. Ask questions – “How is this policy communicated?”, “How is this policy reviewed?” etc...

<http://www.protectivesecurity.govt.nz/assets/Uploads/Protective-Security-Capability-Maturity-Model.pdf>

Prior to the conduct of the workshop, I would suggest you provide the following:

A copy of the CMM for each participant (and have a copy available electronically if you want to display it as a PowerPoint show).

A copy of the 29 core requirements for each participant

Any organisational security policies you might have - so that when the group discusses your current security level, we can provide evidence that the policy covers the aspect being discussed

Whatever you need to capture your discussion outcomes (do you prefer flipcharts over electric whiteboard?) which will be minuted by you and presented to the CE with the outcome.

The PSR engagement manager assists with translation of statements and try's to guide (if it goes off centre) the discussion, he does not own the discussion.

You may wish to have a chair appointed for the group, as the final decision for the assessment of current and projection for future should be seen as binding.

The PSR engagement manager is not in a position to make that decision for your organisation. The decision will be the driver for, and provide the basis of, the security plan.

How does that sound?

[redacted]  
PSR Engagement Manager

Look for Classification Marking in Message Body

Ph: [REDACTED]  
Email: [protectivesecurity.govt.nz](mailto:[REDACTED]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz)  
Web: [www.protectivesecurity.govt.nz](http://www.protectivesecurity.govt.nz)



---

This information is communicated in confidence. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete/destroy and do not further disseminate, distribute or copy this message. Thank you.

---

RELEASED UNDER THE OFFICIAL INFORMATION ACT

OIA Privacy

---

**From:** [redacted]@tcil.co.nz>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 25 May 2016 7:44 a.m.  
**To:** [redacted]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz  
**Subject:** RE: Intro Pack

Mate - thanks a heap

[redacted]  
-----@protectivesecurity.govt.nz wrote -----

This is the slide pack that we use as a part of a trg round.  
The information can all be found in the PSR.  
Cheers

**From:** [redacted] [mailto:[redacted]@tcil.co.nz]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 24 May 2016 9:13 p.m.  
**To:** [redacted]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz  
**Subject:** Intro Pack

Hi mate - do you have a highlevel introduction slide pack or anything like that?

Reason I ask is <sup>S9(2)(ba)</sup><sub>(i) S9(2)</sub> and keen but they just want a simple - quick intro into PSR

Got anything?

Cheers

Look for Classification Marking in Message Body

**From:** [redacted]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz  
**Sent:** Friday, 10 June 2016 2:58 p.m.  
**To:** [redacted]  
**Subject:** RE: Ōtākaro poss Southern Response?

Giddy [redacted],

I have clearance to travel on the 22/23 June.

I thought I would head to ChCh by mid morning, have the afternoon for Southern Response or Ōtakaro, then the morning of the next day for Ōtakaro/Southern Response. Out of scope

What were your plans?

**From:** [redacted]@tcil.co.nz  
**Sent:** Thursday, 9 June 2016 11:21 a.m.  
**To:** [redacted]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz  
**Subject:** RE: Ōtākaro poss Southern Response?

Government backed insurer handling a lot of claims in Christchurch post quake and after AMI went bust

I'll see if we can get you in on either day

Cheers

----- [redacted]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz wrote -----

Giddy [redacted],

Yep, I could probably do that. Who is Southern Response?

Cheers

**From:** [redacted]@tcil.co.nz  
**Sent:** Thursday, 9 June 2016 8:52 a.m.  
**To:** [redacted]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz  
**Subject:** Ōtākaro poss Southern Response?

Morning [redacted] – hey see my reply to [redacted] from Ōtakaro – I am sure he'll be in touch and will be present.

If you down – fancy doing a overnighter and seeing if I can get you in to do Southern Response – they have indicated they would like to go down the PSR path – would do either Wednesday or Friday if it suits

Cheers

**From:** [redacted]  
**Sent:** Thursday, 9 June 2016 8:50 AM  
**To:** [redacted]@otakarold.co.nz

## Look for Classification Marking in Message Body

Cc: [REDACTED]@tcil.co.nz>

Subject: RE: Greetings

Hi [REDACTED] good chatting and yep I am ok for Thursday 23 June – this is the list we normally go with and pretty much who you identified.

- Security leading (Thompson & Clark)
- Risk and Assurance
- Operations
- IT
- HR
- Finance
- Legal
- H&S
- Property

I would confirm with [REDACTED] yourself, he normally needs between 2 -3hrs for this workshop and sends some pre-read bits out to the group beforehand.

Speak soon

From: [REDACTED]@otakaroltd.co.nz]

Sent: Thursday, 9 June 2016 8:40 AM

To: [REDACTED]@tcil.co.nz>

Subject: FW: Greetings

Hi [REDACTED]

Just picking up the work [REDACTED] has done for us recently and specifically the option of holding a workshop to run through the PSR CMM in conjunction with [REDACTED] as [REDACTED] thought this had merit. How would this date work for you?

In discussing with [REDACTED] he confirmed we are not obligated to work with the PSR however as good practice it makes sense for us to do this so that is the path we are heading down.

We now have a Risk & Assurance lead on board and also see the whole process of a risk based approach as key to ensure we only focus on the risks that are likely for the business. Will have this person at the workshop as well.

Give me a call to discuss and let's see if we can lock something in.

Regards,

[REDACTED] | Manager, Property & Facilities | Ōtākaro Limited

E: [REDACTED]@otakaroltd.co.nz | M:+[REDACTED]

Level 8, HSBC Building, 62 Worcester Boulevard Christchurch, 8013

otakaroltd.co.nz | Building a better Christchurch



Look for Classification Marking in Message Body

From: [redacted]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz [redacted]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz]  
Sent: Thursday, 9 June 2016 8:09 AM  
To: [redacted]@otakarold.co.nz>  
Cc: [redacted]@otakarold.co.nz>  
Subject: RE: Greetings

Cheers  
Outside of scope  
[redacted] Maybe I can see you then as well.  
Enjoy the weather!

PSR Engagement Manager  
Ph: ([redacted])  
Email: [redacted]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz  
Web: [www.protectivesecurity.govt.nz](http://www.protectivesecurity.govt.nz)

**PSR** Protective Security Requirements

From: [redacted]@otakarold.co.nz]  
Sent: Wednesday, 8 June 2016 5:35 p.m.  
To: [redacted]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz  
Cc: [redacted]  
Subject: Greetings

Hi [redacted],

Good to catch up and will keep you posted with regards heading down to assist us with a CMM workshop. Look forward to catching up soon. My new details below.

Regards, [redacted]

[redacted] | Manager, Property & Facilities | Ōtākaro Limited  
E: [redacted]@otakarold.co.nz | M: +[redacted]  
Level 8, HSBC Building, 62 Worcester Boulevard Christchurch, 8013  
otakarold.co.nz | Building a better Christchurch

-----  
This email and any attachments may contain information that is confidential and subject to legal privilege. If you are not the intended recipient, any use, dissemination, distribution or duplication of this email and attachments is prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify the author immediately and erase all copies of the email and attachments. Ōtākaro Limited accepts no responsibility for changes made to this message or attachments after transmission from Ōtākaro. For further information about Ōtākaro Limited, please visit [www.otakarold.co.nz](http://www.otakarold.co.nz)  
-----

-----  
This email and any attachments may contain information that is confidential and subject to legal privilege. If you are not the intended recipient, any use, dissemination, distribution or duplication of this email and attachments is prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify the author immediately and erase all copies of the email and attachments. Ōtākaro Limited accepts no responsibility for changes made

## Look for Classification Marking in Message Body

To: [REDACTED]@otakarold.co.nz>  
Cc: [REDACTED]@tcil.co.nz; [REDACTED]@tcil.co.nz  
Subject: RE: Greetings

Hello [REDACTED],

I will confirm whether or not the trip will be confirmed later today. I will probably do an overnight to capture as many groups as I can while down there.

Please find attached the link to the CMM information and some supplementary documentation that will support the subsequent work leading towards the construct of your security plan.

The CMM workshop is a foundation event, and essentially provides the direction for all of the security effort (in providing the assurance reporting on PSR) that will be undertaken by the collaboration of executive responsibilities in the future.

The ideal representation is as described below by [REDACTED].

Everyone should have a CMM workbook to read in advance so that they have an idea of the definitions of the various level (ie, what is INFORMAL and what is OPTIMISED and everything in between). They will need to have a basic insight as we will discuss each security vector and try to place the organisation as it stands.

On the day, I would suggest you provide the following:

A copy of the CMM for each participant (and have a copy available electronically if you want to display it as a PowerPoint show).

A copy of the 29 core requirements (found in the PSR) for each participant

Any organisational security policies you might have - so that when the group discusses your current security level, you can provide evidence that the policy covers the aspect being discussed

Whatever you need to capture your discussion outcomes (do you prefer flipcharts over electric whiteboard?) which will be minuted by you and presented to the CE with the outcome.

I will be assisting with translation of statements and try to guide (if it goes off centre) the discussion, I will not be owning the discussion.

You may wish to have a chair appointed for the group, as the final decision for the assessment of current and projection for future should be seen as binding. I am not in a position to make that decision for your organisation.

The decision will be the driver for, and provide the basis of, the security plan.

The security plan can be constructed using the PSR Roadmap that we have formulated, which shows what you have, what you are working on, and what you want when resources become available – all in support of closing the gap between what you have assessed as where you are and where you want to be.

How does that sound?

<http://www.protectivesecurity.govt.nz/assets/Uploads/Protective-Security-Capability-Maturity-Model.pdf>

Cheers

[REDACTED]  
PSR Engagement Manager

Ph: ( [REDACTED] )

Email: [REDACTED]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz

Web: [www.protectivesecurity.govt.nz](http://www.protectivesecurity.govt.nz)

**PSR** Protective Security  
Requirements

Look for Classification Marking in Message Body

**From:** [REDACTED]@otakarold.co.nz  
**Sent:** Thursday, 9 June 2016 8:53 a.m.  
**To:** [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Greetings

Hi [REDACTED],

Can you confirm format and attendees for workshop and I will send out and confirm date works for us.

Cheers, [REDACTED]

Duplicate

RELEASSED UNDER THE OFFICIAL INFORMATION ACT

Look for Classification Marking in Message Body

**From:** [redacted] @tcil.co.nz  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 14 June 2016 2:36 p.m.  
**To:** [redacted] @protectivesecurity.govt.nz  
**Cc:** [redacted]  
**Subject:** RE: Security question

Thanks [redacted] – I'll be in Christchurch next Thursday and free during the afternoon if you need a catch up.

Kind Regards

**From:** [redacted] @southernresponse.co.nz]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 14 June 2016 2:07 PM  
**To:** [redacted] @protectivesecurity.govt.nz; [redacted] @tcil.co.nz>  
**Cc:** [redacted] @southernresponse.co.nz>; [redacted] @southernresponse.co.nz>  
**Subject:** RE: Security question


Hi [redacted] and [redacted]

Unfortunately these dates are not going to work due to other commitments. When [redacted] is back he will contact [redacted] to organise a more suitable time.

Regards

General Manager Corporate Services  
Southern Response Earthquake Services Ltd

DDI: [redacted]  
Ext: [redacted]  
Mobile: [redacted]  
PO Box 9052  
Christchurch  
[www.southernresponse.co.nz](http://www.southernresponse.co.nz)



*Southern Response Earthquake Services Ltd is the government-owned company responsible for settling claims by AMI policyholders for Canterbury earthquake damage which occurred before 5 April 2012 (the date AMI was sold to IAG).*

Look for Classification Marking in Message Body

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 14 June 2016 11:41 a.m.  
**To:** [REDACTED] [protectivesecurity.govt.nz](mailto:protectivesecurity.govt.nz); [REDACTED] [@tcil.co.nz](mailto:@tcil.co.nz)  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Security question

Hi [REDACTED],

I am out of the office from today returning on the 22.

[REDACTED] are you able to arrange in my absence?

[REDACTED]

---

**From:** [REDACTED] [@protectivesecurity.govt.nz](mailto:@protectivesecurity.govt.nz) [REDACTED] [@protectivesecurity.govt.nz](mailto:@protectivesecurity.govt.nz)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 14 June 2016 11:09 a.m.  
**To:** [REDACTED] [@tcil.co.nz](mailto:@tcil.co.nz); [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Security question

Giddy [REDACTED],

I have been out of the office this morning, so didn't make the call.

I would rather have the workshop on the afternoon of the 22<sup>nd</sup>, but can be flexible if required – I haven't yet booked flights/accom, so am on standby mode for your direction.

Cheers

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] [@tcil.co.nz](mailto:@tcil.co.nz)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 14 June 2016 11:08 a.m.  
**To:** [REDACTED] [@protectivesecurity.govt.nz](mailto:@protectivesecurity.govt.nz)  
**Subject:** RE: Security question

Hi guys – did you have the chat this morning and are we meeting on either the 22 or 23 June – cheers just trying to get some planning done

Cheers

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] [@southernresponse.co.nz](mailto:@southernresponse.co.nz)  
**Sent:** Monday, 13 June 2016 5:10 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED] [@protectivesecurity.govt.nz](mailto:@protectivesecurity.govt.nz); [REDACTED] [@protectivesecurity.govt.nz](mailto:@protectivesecurity.govt.nz)>  
**Cc:** [REDACTED] [@tcil.co.nz](mailto:@tcil.co.nz)>  
**Subject:** RE: Security question

Thanks [REDACTED],

I am out of the office from tomorrow returning on the 22<sup>nd</sup>. Are you able to have a quick chat about this in the morning around 9:00?

Regards

[REDACTED]

Health and Safety Manager

Look for Classification Marking in Message Body

**From:** [redacted]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz [redacted]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz]  
**Sent:** Monday, 13 June 2016 4:04 p.m.  
**To:** [redacted]  
**Cc:** [redacted]@tcil.co.nz  
**Subject:** RE: Security question

Hello [redacted],  
I am happy to discuss whatever it is you may need to know before we meet. Email me at this address and I am sure to respond.  
Cheers

[redacted]  
PSR Engagement Manager  
Ph: ([redacted])  
Email: [redacted]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz  
Web: [www.protectivesecurity.govt.nz](http://www.protectivesecurity.govt.nz)

**PSR** Protective Security Requirements

**From:** [redacted]@tcil.co.nz]  
**Sent:** Monday, 13 June 2016 11:30 a.m.  
**To:** [redacted]  
**Cc:** [redacted]  
**Subject:** RE: Security question

Yep certainly – please see his contact details below – he is aware that we have suggested that we catch up and what SR does

Cheers

[redacted]  
PSR Engagement Manager  
Ph: ([redacted])  
Email: [redacted]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz  
Web: [www.protectivesecurity.govt.nz](http://www.protectivesecurity.govt.nz)

**PSR** Protective Security Requirements

**From:** [redacted]@southernresponse.co.nz]  
**Sent:** Monday, 13 June 2016 11:24 AM  
**To:** [redacted]@tcil.co.nz  
**Subject:** RE: Security question

Thanks [redacted]

## Look for Classification Marking in Message Body

Do you have [REDACTED] contact details, it would be useful for us to have a quick chat beforehand.

Regards

[REDACTED]  
Health and Safety Manager

**From:** [REDACTED] [mailto: [REDACTED]@tcil.co.nz]  
**Sent:** Monday, 13 June 2016 9:16 a.m.  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Security question

Hi [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] – just following up on PSR, we are in this process with Otakaro and as part of this one of the PSR Engagement Managers will be in Christchurch from Wellington on 22/23 June.

I will be in town then as well and suggest that he come in and explain to you how PSR would work for SR. He can even hold the Security Capability Maturity Model (CMM) workshop if you like so you can see where you guys fit.

Please let me know if this works and if either the afternoon of 22 or morning of 23 June works for you guys.

Thanks

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 8 June 2016 12:46 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED] [mailto: [REDACTED]@southernresponse.co.nz>]; [REDACTED] [mailto: [REDACTED]@southernresponse.co.nz]  
**Cc:** [REDACTED] [mailto: [REDACTED]@tcil.co.nz>  
**Subject:** RE: Security question

Hi [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] (following on from [REDACTED] email last week) one of the key things missing from our review and instrumental to any question such as the one you have asked [REDACTED] is security framework.

As suggested by [REDACTED] the Governments Protective Security Requirements (PSR) is a perfect fit for Southern Response and it was developed following the WINZ incident and is in line with new HSE with a real focuses on Governance down.

The Government standard is run by the intelligence service <https://www.protectivesecurity.govt.nz/> - I have attached our high-level overview on why, how and what it is and how we can help take you through the process.

The PSR team can also help out in the first instance by holding a Security Capability Maturity Model (CMM) workshop facilitated by one of the Governments PSR engagement managers.

The CMM workshop is a foundation event, and essentially provides the direction for all of the security effort (in providing the assurance reporting on PSR) that will be undertaken by the collaboration of executive responsibilities in the future.

The ideal representation for the workshop is:

- Security leading (Thompson & Clark)
- Risk and Assurance

## Look for Classification Marking in Message Body

- Operations
- IT
- HR
- Finance
- Legal
- H&S
- Property

Having these members at the workshop together will provide a clear picture of reality of the security vectors for the whole of the organisation.

Could we perhaps look at organising such a work shop to start the process off. I can make the arrangements with the engagement manager to start the ball rolling.

I have attached from the PSR the alert levels I was referring to which would help you gauge your security response to an appropriate level to the threat. This is really information only and we can build this out if you choice to go down the PSR path

Speak soon

TCIL

THOMPSON + CLARK  
INVESTIGATIONS  
PO Box 501715, Albany, NSW 1570, New Zealand  
+64 9 261 3269  
www.tcil.co.nz

**THOMPSON + CLARK**  
INVESTIGATIONS

© Copyright TCIL 2015.  
WARNING: Unauthorised copying, distribution or publication of this document is strictly prohibited. It is intended to appear on TCIL client only and may contain confidential information. Unauthorised copying, distribution or publication may make the sender subject to legal action including damages regarding intellectual property rights. If you are not the intended recipient of this publication, or do not have authority from TCIL to view it, or have received this publication in error, you must not print, copy, pass or copy this information or any of its contents. TCIL has no moral authority that that of its lawyers provide known or copy party to receive a reply to the correspondence.

**From:** [redacted]@southernresponse.co.nz]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 8 June 2016 8:21 AM  
**To:** [redacted]@tcil.co.nz>; [redacted]@tcil.co.nz>  
**Subject:** RE: Security question

Thanks [redacted].

[redacted], any advice you could provide on this would be greatly appreciated.

---

**From:** [redacted]@tcil.co.nz]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 8 June 2016 6:44 a.m.



Look for Classification Marking in Message Body

To: [REDACTED]  
Subject: RE: Security question

Hi [REDACTED]

Sorry I don't know that. I'm away but [REDACTED] might be able to find out through our network whether there is a precedent for companies contributing to staff home security costs.

Kind Regards

Sent from my Sony Xperia™ smartphone

----- [REDACTED] wrote -----


Hi [REDACTED]

I have been pulling together your reports to provide a summary to the H&S Board Committee and [REDACTED] has asked what the situation is with other employers such as WINZ and paying for staff security improvements. Do you know the answer?

Thanks

General Manager Corporate Services  
Southern Response Earthquake Services Ltd

DDI: ( [REDACTED] )  
Ext: [REDACTED]  
Mobile: [REDACTED]  
PO Box 9052  
Christchurch  
[www.southernresponse.co.nz](http://www.southernresponse.co.nz)



*Southern Response Earthquake Services Ltd is the government-owned company responsible for settling claims by AMI policyholders for Canterbury earthquake damage which occurred before 5 April 2012 (the date AMI was sold to IAG).*

This email and any attachments contain confidential information which may be subject to legal privilege and copyright. If you are not the intended recipient you must not peruse, use, distribute or copy the email or attachments. If it has been received in error please notify us immediately by return email and then delete the message and any accompanying attachments. Emails are not secure - can be intercepted and altered. Southern Response Earthquake Services Limited (Southern Response) accepts no responsibility for changes made to this email or to any attachment after it has been transmitted. No viruses were detected in this email by Southern Response's virus detection software. Southern Response cannot guarantee the message or attachments are free from computer viruses or other defects and will not accept liability for any loss, damage or consequence resulting directly and/or indirectly from their use.

Look for Classification Marking in Message Body

[REDACTED]

---

**From:** [REDACTED]@tcil.co.nz>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 14 June 2016 4:28 p.m.  
**To:** [REDACTED]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz  
**Subject:** RE: Christchurch

Give you a call shortly - [REDACTED]

----- [REDACTED]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz wrote -----

Giddy [REDACTED],  
So what is the story with next week?  
We have [REDACTED] down for Thursday 0900 – 1100, Out side of scope  
Do you have anyone else for the Wednesday?  
Out side of scope

[REDACTED]

Cheers

[REDACTED]  
PSR Engagement Manager  
Ph: ([REDACTED])  
Email: [REDACTED]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz  
Web: [www.protectivesecurity.govt.nz](http://www.protectivesecurity.govt.nz)



---

This information is communicated in confidence. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete/destroy and do not further disseminate, distribute or copy this message. Thank you.

---

Look for Classification Marking in Message Body

**From:** [redacted]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 21 June 2016 7:39 a.m.  
**To:** [redacted]  
**Subject:** RE: ChCh 23 June

No worries

**From:** [redacted]@tcil.co.nz]  
**Sent:** Monday, 20 June 2016 4:13 p.m.  
**To:** [redacted]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz  
**Subject:** RE: ChCh 23 June

OK mate – do you want to bring so I don't get the correct one then?

Cheers

**From:** [redacted]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz [redacted]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz]  
**Sent:** Monday, 20 June 2016 3:36 PM  
**To:** [redacted]@tcil.co.nz>  
**Subject:** RE: ChCh 23 June

[redacted] has attached all of the right stuff to the invite/email he has sent to his group, but I usually take a few extras along just in case – the main one is the CMM doc (on the internet)

Cheers

**From:** [redacted]@tcil.co.nz]  
**Sent:** Monday, 20 June 2016 3:04 p.m.  
**To:** [redacted]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz  
**Subject:** RE: ChCh 23 June

Mate I think we need to provide it – do you have it or do you want to send to me for printing

**From:** [redacted]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz [redacted]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz]  
**Sent:** Monday, 20 June 2016 2:38 PM  
**To:** [redacted]@tcil.co.nz>  
**Subject:** RE: ChCh 23 June

Sweet as  
See you there

Will [redacted] have all of the supporting material or is he expecting us to take it along?

Cheers

Look for Classification Marking in Message Body

From: [REDACTED]@tcil.co.nz]  
Sent: Monday, 20 June 2016 1:57 p.m.  
To: [REDACTED]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz  
Subject: RE: ChCh 23 June

Hi mate I am landing just ahead of you – I'll have a car so wait around and give you a lift in.

Cheers

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz [REDACTED]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz]  
Sent: Thursday, 16 June 2016 8:40 AM  
To: [REDACTED]@tcil.co.nz>  
Subject: ChCh 23 June

Giddyay [REDACTED]

I have booked my flights to ChCh, I will be arriving at ChCh at 0745 on the 23<sup>rd</sup>.  
I hope Southern Response don't change their mind about not doing the CMM on the 22<sup>nd</sup>...

Cheers

[REDACTED]

PSR Engagement Manager

Ph: [REDACTED]

Email [REDACTED]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz

Web: [www.protectivesecurity.govt.nz](http://www.protectivesecurity.govt.nz)



**PSR** Protective Security  
Requirements

This information is  
communicated in confidence. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete/destroy and do not further  
disseminate, distribute or copy this message. Thank you.

---

Look for Classification Marking in Message Body

[REDACTED]

---

**From:** [REDACTED]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz  
**Sent:** Friday, 1 July 2016 1:53 p.m.  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Email 2 - Protective Security Forum – 6 July 2016

[REDACTED] is the one that needs to be convinced.  
I will ask him when he gets in...  
If it were my gig, I'd say yes, but you can provide the catering...  
;-)

---

**From:** [REDACTED]@tcil.co.nz  
**Sent:** Friday, 1 July 2016 1:51 p.m.  
**To:** [REDACTED]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Email 2 - Protective Security Forum – 6 July 2016

Oh so [REDACTED] in then.....

**From:** [REDACTED]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz [REDACTED]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz  
**Sent:** Friday, 1 July 2016 1:50 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED]@tcil.co.nz  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]@tcil.co.nz  
**Subject:** RE: Email 2 - Protective Security Forum – 6 July 2016

Stop namedropping.....  
;-)

**From:** [REDACTED]@tcil.co.nz  
**Sent:** Friday, 1 July 2016 9:01 a.m.  
**To:** [REDACTED]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz  
**Subject:** RE: Email 2 - Protective Security Forum – 6 July 2016

[REDACTED] should be able to get us into this worse case scenario

What you think [REDACTED]

Sent from my Sony Xperia™ smartphone

----- [REDACTED]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz wrote -----

Giddy [REDACTED],  
Flick an email to [REDACTED] (as stated below) and request the late entry if possible – it may be too late, as the nominal rolls will be with NZDF now I think.  
Try him anyway – use some of the sob story from below, but cut away some of my prompts....

## Look for Classification Marking in Message Body

I am out of the office pretty much all day so won't be seeing [redacted] til later this arvo.  
I will ask him if you have contacted him, and suggest that he accepts the request.

We will catch up on the day – lunch could be on the cards....  
Have a good one.  
Oh, and how come [redacted] gets to get so many holidays?

Cheers

**From:** [redacted]@tcil.co.nz]  
**Sent:** Thursday, 30 June 2016 4:34 p.m.  
**To:** [redacted]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz  
**Subject:** RE: Email 2 - Protective Security Forum – 6 July 2016

I [redacted] I think 'however' is me so would love to attend.

And yes lunch is always a good option would be good to catch up beforehand.

Kind Regards [redacted]

**From:** [redacted]  
**Sent:** Thursday, 30 June 2016 4:12 p.m.  
**To:** [redacted]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz;  
**Subject:** RE: Email 2 - Protective Security Forum – 6 July 2016

Every days' a fun day [redacted] ☺

Thanks for the invite and I would love to attend [redacted] however is free and will attend.

I presume that's ok and if you can let the powers know – he'll be there - 1.30pm – 3.30pm on Wednesday 6th July 2016

If you're lucky he'll shout you lunch beforehand (well I would if I was there)

I might be able to do the next one

Speak soon

**From:** [redacted]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz [mailto:[redacted]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz]  
**Sent:** Thursday, 30 June 2016 3:06 PM  
**To:** [redacted]@tcil.co.nz>  
**Subject:** FW: Email 2 - Protective Security Forum – 6 July 2016

Here is the detail of the forum.

Request late entry – that we discussed it in ChCh and you had only just become aware etc.

Mention that you are acting as an agent for Otakaro, S9(2)(ba) the energy sector and whatever other sector of SOE you touch. If there are any Core Govt agencies you do work for, name drop.

If not able to be accepted at this late notice, ask to be put on future invites....

Cheers for the sample of your work. I will discuss it with the team at our meeting next week.

Have a fun day!

## Look for Classification Marking in Message Body

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 8 June 2016 3:32 p.m.  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Email 2 - Protective Security Forum – 6 July 2016

### Protective Security Forum – 6 July 2016

#### Confirmation

As promised in my email of 4 May, please find below the confirmatory details for the next Protective Security Forum.

- **When:** 1.30pm – 3.30pm on Wednesday 6<sup>th</sup> July 2016 (please note the change in finish time).
- **Where:** HQ NZDF, Freyberg House, 2-12 Aitken Street.
- **Agenda (timings may vary):**
  - 1.30pm – 1.40pm: Overview and opening remarks
  - 1.45pm – 2.05pm: An update from the PERSEC Review
  - 2.10pm – 2.30pm: Agency preparation for PAS (key points)
  - 2.30pm – 2.45pm: Coffee
  - 2.45pm – 3.15pm: Observations from the first round of agency Self-Assessments
  - 3.15pm – 3.30pm: Q&A and closing remarks
- **RSVP:** Thank you to those of you who have already replied – there is no need to do so again. For those who haven't, please note the cut-off for replies is Fri 17 June.
- **Arrival procedure:** You will be met in the foyer of Defence House by the in-house security team. They will check to see if your name is on the nominal roll and then direct you to the venue for the forum. A member of the PSR team will be on-hand to deal with any problems, but please be prepared to produce photo ID if asked to do so.

The team is looking forward to seeing as many of you as possible on the 6<sup>th</sup>.

Yours,

[REDACTED] e

Engagement Manager | Protective Security Requirements | E: [REDACTED]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz | P: [REDACTED] | W: [www.protectivesecurity.govt.nz](http://www.protectivesecurity.govt.nz)



This email account is not permanently monitored.

Look for Classification Marking in Message Body

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 4 May 2016 10:00 a.m.  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Protective Security Forum – 6 July 2016

Protective Security Forum – 6 July 2016

Placeholder

Following a very well attended event in March, please find below the essential details for the next Protective Security Forum:

- **When:** 1.30pm – 4pm on Wednesday 6<sup>th</sup> July 2016.
- **Where:** this time around Defence have very kindly agreed to host us at HQ NZDF (Aitken Street)
- **What:** we'll be discussing:
  - progress reports on vetting and PAS developments (the latter will increasingly affect many of you);
  - the PERSEC review; and
  - initial observations from the self-assessments.
- **RSVP:** please let me know you are planning to attend **no later than 17 June**.

A second, more detailed, email will follow in early June.

Yours

[REDACTED]

Engagement Manager | Protective Security Requirements | E: [REDACTED]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz | P: [REDACTED] | W: [www.protectivesecurity.govt.nz](http://www.protectivesecurity.govt.nz)



This email account is not permanently monitored.

---

This information is communicated in confidence. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete/destroy and do not further disseminate, distribute or copy this message. Thank you.

---



OIA Privacy

---

**From:** [redacted]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz  
**Sent:** Friday, 1 July 2016 7:41 a.m.  
**To:** [redacted]  
**Subject:** RE: Email 2 - Protective Security Forum – 6 July 2016

Gidday [redacted]

Flick an email to [redacted] (as stated below) and request the late entry if possible – it may be too late, as the nominal rolls will be with NZDF now I think.

Try him anyway – use some of the sob story from below, but cut away some of my prompts....

I am out of the office pretty much all day so won't be seeing [redacted] til later this arvo.

I will ask him if you have contacted him, and suggest that he accepts the request.

We will catch up on the day – lunch could be on the cards....

Have a good one.

Oh, and how come [redacted] gets to get so many holidays?

Cheers

[redacted]

**From:** [redacted]@tcil.co.nz]  
**Sent:** Thursday, 30 June 2016 4:34 p.m.  
**To:** [redacted]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz  
**Subject:** RE: Email 2 - Protective Security Forum – 6 July 2016

[redacted] I think 'however' is me so would love to attend.

And yes lunch is always a good option would be good to catch up beforehand.

Kind Regards [redacted]

Duplicate

**From:** [redacted]@tcil.co.nz  
**Sent:** Monday, 4 July 2016 10:02 a.m.  
**To:** [redacted]  
**Cc:** [redacted]  
**Subject:** RE: Protective Security Forum

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Completed

Thanks [redacted] sounds a bit elitist but understand the short notice and don't want to put you under pressure.

I will say however that [redacted] is aware of our organisation (and both [redacted] and I personally) especially in dealing at government level with the MEJIG (Maritime Exploration Joint Intelligence Group) so we might be new to you but certainly not new to the industry.

But going forward if you could add myself and [redacted] (my business partner) to the list so that one or both of us could attend future events that would be appreciated.

Kind Regards [redacted]



THOMPSON + CLARK

Copyright © 2017  
THOMPSON + CLARK is a trademark of Thompson + Clark. All rights reserved. This document is the property of Thompson + Clark and is confidential. It is intended for the use of the recipient only. If you are not the named addressee, you should not disseminate, distribute or copy this e-mail. Please notify the sender immediately by e-mail if you have received this e-mail by mistake and delete this e-mail from your system. If you are not the named addressee you should not disseminate, distribute or copy this e-mail. If you are the named addressee and you are not sure of the content of this e-mail please contact the sender. Please do not use the information contained in this e-mail for any purpose other than that for which it is provided. If you are not the named addressee you should not disseminate, distribute or copy this e-mail. If you are the named addressee and you are not sure of the content of this e-mail please contact the sender. Please do not use the information contained in this e-mail for any purpose other than that for which it is provided.

**From:** [redacted]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz  
**Sent:** Monday, 4 July 2016 8:49 a.m.  
**To:** [redacted]  
**Subject:** RE: Protective Security Forum

Hi [redacted]

The forum on the 6<sup>th</sup> has a more restricted audience than normal due to the nature of the material we'll be discussing, so that reduces my ability to include 'new joiners' – I'm sure you understand. Going forward though, I see no reason why you shouldn't stay connected to this particular community – there are obvious advantages for us all.

I'll add you to the address list and we'll see how things develop. Please do stay in touch.

Yours,

Engagement Manager | Protective Security Requirements | E: [redacted]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz | P: [redacted] | W:  
[www.protectivesecurity.govt.nz](http://www.protectivesecurity.govt.nz)

**PSR** Protective Security  
Requirements

Please note this email account is not permanently monitored.

**From:** [redacted]@tcil.co.nz  
**Sent:** Friday, 1 July 2016 8:08 a.m.  
**To:** [redacted]  
**Subject:** Protective Security Forum

Dear [redacted]

I am a licensed security consultant and director of Thompson and Clark Investigations Ltd. We are an Auckland based organisation with a large national client base.

Our company for the past 12 years has conducted operational and physical security reviews for a large number of clients both in the private and government sectors. We are also involved with security frame work planning and documentation.

We are currently implementing the PSR framework for one of our Christchurch based clients Otakaro Ltd and have an intention to provide this to some of our other clients including <sup>S9(2)(b)</sup> Southern Response <sub>(iii)</sub> Earthquake Services and the energy sector.

I have only just returned back from vacation overseas and learnt of the PSR Forum in Wellington on 6 July.

I would love the opportunity to attend this forum and wonder whether you would consider adding me as a late entry to attend.

My business partner [redacted] has been dealing with [redacted] from your office.

Thankyou for considering this request and I look forward to hearing from you.

Kind regards [redacted]

**OIA Privacy**

---

**From:** [redacted]@tcil.co.nz  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 12 July 2017 3:58 p.m.  
**To:** [redacted]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz  
**Subject:** RE: Post-Protective Security Forum Summary - March 2017

Thanks mate – was it [redacted] who gave the talk, if so I'll ask him

**From:** [redacted]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz [redacted]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 12 Julv 2017 9:29 AM  
**To:** [redacted]@tcil.co.nz  
**Subject:** RE: Post-Protective Security Forum Summary - March 2017

Giddy [redacted]  
The Physec 7 stuff that was discussed related to the DPMC National Security System Handbook which is lodged on their website – it is an open publication, so you can draw it down.  
Provides an overview to the Nat Sec structure – the rarefied air view....  
I don't have a link here though (we are not connected to the internet!)  
Did you get anywhere with Otakaro?  
Cheers

PSR Engagement Manager

Ph: [redacted]  
Email [\[redacted\]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz](mailto:[redacted]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz)  
Web: [www.protectivesecurity.govt.nz](http://www.protectivesecurity.govt.nz)

**From:** [redacted]@tcil.co.nz  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 27 June 2017 5:34 p.m.  
**To:** [redacted]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz  
**Subject:** RE: Post-Protective Security Forum Summary - March 2017

Bugger I am particular interested in Physec 7 as we are developing this for DOC nationally, especially around the threats of 1080 opposition

Gov10 not so much

Yep and very busy – if you could get us in for Physec 7 that would help us and DOC

**From:** [redacted]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz [redacted]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 27 June 2017 3:23 PM  
**To:** [redacted]@tcil.co.nz  
**Subject:** RE: Post-Protective Security Forum Summary - March 2017

I was dashing off to my next meeting in the earlier response...  
I will give you some more detail about the forum discussion once I know what it looks like, but essentially it is related to Gov10 and Physec7. ☺

How's it in your world? Busy here.

PSR Engagement Manager

Ph: [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz

Web: [www.protectivesecurity.govt.nz](http://www.protectivesecurity.govt.nz)

From: [REDACTED]@tcil.co.nz]

Sent: Tuesday, 27 June 2017 2:33 p.m.

To: [REDACTED]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz

Cc:

Subject: RE: Post-Protective Security Forum Summary - March 2017

Thanks all good, [REDACTED]

Duplicate

RELEASED UNDER THE OFFICIAL INFORMATION ACT

OIA Privacy

---

**From:** [redacted]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz  
**Sent:** Thursday, 14 July 2016 12:06 p.m.  
**To:** [redacted]  
**Subject:** RE: Question

Cheers [redacted],  
Never a dull moment here!  
I will catch up with you when you are in Welly next.

**From:** [redacted]@tcil.co.nz  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 13 July 2016 9:07 a.m.  
**To:** [redacted]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz  
**Subject:** RE: Question

Hi mate still away - [redacted] is the HSSE manager

I'll flick you his details next week when I am back

All good at your end?

Cheers

Sent from my Sony Xperia™ smartphone

----- [redacted]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz wrote -----

I hope the après ski is spot on....  
Do you have the contact details for the (ii) S9(2)(b) security manager?  
Cheers

PSR Engagement Manager  
Ph: [redacted]  
Email: [redacted]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz  
Web: [www.protectivesecurity.govt.nz](http://www.protectivesecurity.govt.nz)



---

This information is communicated in confidence. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete/destroy and do not further

OIA Privacy

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, 18 July 2016 1:54 p.m.  
**To:** [REDACTED]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz; [REDACTED]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz; [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** FW: Protective Security Forum

[SEEMAIL] [IN CONFIDENCE]

Email trail below refers [REDACTED] is very keen to become part of the forum set-up, but perhaps this should trigger a discussion about the shape of the forums going forward ([REDACTED] desire for more structure), and how we include (or don't) interested parties from the private sector. We might also discuss when the next forum will be and who's going to run it.

Cheers,

[REDACTED]  
Engagement Manager | Protective Security Requirements | E: [REDACTED]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz | P: [REDACTED] | W: [www.protectivesecurity.govt.nz](http://www.protectivesecurity.govt.nz)

**PSR** Protective Security Requirements

This email account is not permanently monitored.

Duplicate

RELEASED UNDER THE OFFICIAL INFORMATION ACT

OIA Privacy

---

**From:** [REDACTED]@tcil.co.nz>  
**Sent:** Monday, 18 July 2016 2:05 p.m.  
**To:** [REDACTED]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz  
**Subject:** RE: Email 2 - Protective Security Forum – 6 July 2016

Mate – where's your pull gone.....

Back on deck by the way – speak soon



Duplicate

RELEASED UNDER THE  
OFFICIAL INFORMATION ACT



**OIA Privacy**

---

**From:** [redacted]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz  
**Sent:** Monday, 18 Julv 2016 2:28 p.m.  
**To:** [redacted]  
**Subject:** RE: Email 2 - Protective Security Forum – 6 July 2016

I could have given you more of a steer as to why it would not have been a good use of your time – and having sat through the three hours of governance and statistical outcomes, can confirm that you would not be volunteering to attend any more.

We will be looking at planning the next gig soon!

I hope you had fun down south!

Cheers  
[redacted]

**From:** [redacted]@tcil.co.nz  
**Sent:** monday, 18 July 2016 2:05 p.m.  
**To:** [redacted]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz  
**Subject:** RE: Email 2 - Protective Security Forum – 6 July 2016

Mate – where's your pull gone.....

Back on deck by the way – speak soon

[redacted]  
Duplicate

RELEASED UNDER THE OFFICIAL INFORMATION ACT

OIA Privacy

From: [redacted]@tcil.co.nz>  
Sent: Friday, 8 July 2016 2:20 p.m.  
To: [redacted]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz  
Subject: RE: Technical sweep

Seed details below

[redacted]

[redacted]

004 9 341 1000  
100 Newmarket Road, Auckland, New Zealand  
022 099 1000

THOMPSON + CLARK  
SOLUTIONS

Approved by: [redacted]

MANAGED INFORMATION SECURITY includes an extensive list of services including: strategic information security, incident response, 24/7 monitoring, and the full range of operations. Managed information security services include: incident response, 24/7 monitoring, and the full range of operations. Managed information security services include: incident response, 24/7 monitoring, and the full range of operations.

From: [redacted]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz [redacted]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz]  
Sent: Friday, 8 July 2016 2:16 p.m.  
To: [redacted]  
Subject: RE: Technical sweep

Whats your phone number?

From: [redacted]@tcil.co.nz]  
Sent: Friday, 8 July 2016 1:42 p.m.  
To: [redacted]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz;  
Subject: RE: Technical sweep

Yes mate that's bread and butter for us we have recently done two property's for an Auckland based client and have done a couple recently in Christchurch.

We send our technician in overnight accompanied by one of our Christchurch based personnel to assist with the physical search aspect.

I'm in Christchurch next Wed, Thurs so can pop in and discuss the process with them or if they want to action this asap can discuss with them over the phone today to get things happening early next week.

S9(2)(ba)(i)

Cheers

From: [redacted]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz [mailto:[redacted]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz]  
Sent: Friday, 8 July 2016 1:31 p.m.  
To: [redacted]  
Subject: RE: Technical sweep

Giddyay [redacted]

Thanks. I will let them know that you have the capability.  
S9(2)(ba)(i)

I am sure you have dealt with this type of event before

Have you done much of this type of thing recently?

Cheers and I look forward to catching up with you again soon

From: [redacted]@tcil.co.nz  
Sent: Friday, 8 July 2016 12:58 p.m.  
To: [redacted]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz; [redacted]  
Subject: RE: Technical sweep

Hi [redacted]

Yes we can do a bug sweep more than happy for you to give my details to your contact or provide their details to me.

Kind Regards

From: [redacted]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz [mailto:[redacted]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz]  
Sent: Friday, 8 July 2016 12:17 p.m.  
To: [redacted]  
Cc: [redacted]  
Subject: RE: Technical sweep

Thanks [redacted]

what do you reckon?

From: [redacted]@tcil.co.nz  
Sent: Friday, 8 July 2016 12:14 p.m.  
To: [redacted]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz  
Cc: [redacted]  
Subject: RE: Technical sweep

Yep we should be able to help - still on the slopes so [redacted] will be your point man

Thanks for the lead

Sent from my Sony Xperia™ smartphone

-----@protectivesecurity.govt.nz wrote -----

Giddy [REDACTED],

I have had an enquiry from an agency that I deal with, wanting to know if I can put them on to someone who has the capability to tech sweep an area of their business.

S9(2)(ba)(i)

[REDACTED]

Is that the case?

They are after some fairly speedy resolution

Let me know soonest

Cheers

[REDACTED]

PSR Engagement Manager

Ph: ( [REDACTED] )

Email: [REDACTED]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz

Web: [www.protectivesecurity.govt.nz](http://www.protectivesecurity.govt.nz)



---

This information is communicated in confidence. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete/destroy and do not further disseminate, distribute or copy this message. Thank you.

---

OIA Privacy

---

**From:** [REDACTED]@tcil.co.nz>  
**Sent:** Monday, 11 July 2016 2:08 p.m.  
**To:** [REDACTED]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz  
**Subject:** RE: Technical sweep

Will do, our technician is ex-police with 30 years Technical Support (TSU) experience.

**From:** [REDACTED]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz [REDACTED]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz]  
**Sent:** Monday, 11 July 2016 1:52 p.m.  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Technical sweep

Excellent.  
I am glad you guys can do it too, as I often get asked for that type of service.  
Let me know how it went!  
Cheers

**From:** [REDACTED]@tcil.co.nz]  
**Sent:** Monday, 11 July 2016 1:44 p.m.  
**To:** [REDACTED]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz  
**Subject:** RE: Technical sweep

Hey [REDACTED] thanks for the recommendation we are doing this job for [REDACTED] tomorrow night.

Appreciate it cheers

Duplicate

RELEASED UNDER THE OFFICIAL INFORMATION ACT

Look for Classification Marking in Message Body

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Friday, 22 July 2016 1:05 p.m.  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Protective Security Forum

Hi [REDACTED]

Sorry for the delay in replying to you. We're currently in the process of business planning for the year ahead and as yet don't have the next set of forums scheduled: we're also reviewing what those forums should cover and who should access them, so at this stage I can't provide you with any substantive detail.

As things develop I'll get a better sense of the opportunities available for organisations like yours.

All the best,

[REDACTED]  
Engagement Manager | Protective Security Requirements | E: [REDACTED]@protectivesecurity.govt.nz | P: [REDACTED] | W: [www.protectivesecurity.govt.nz](http://www.protectivesecurity.govt.nz)

**PSR** Protective Security  
Requirements

Please note this email account is not permanently monitored.

**From:** [REDACTED]@tcil.co.nz]  
**Sent:** Monday, 18 July 2016 12:37 p.m.  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Protective Security Forum

Hi [REDACTED]

Just touching base post your 6th July forum.

[REDACTED] is back from leave and we are keen to keep the channel open and be included in future events of a similar nature.

Can you advise how we can achieve this and what events are in the pipeline.

Kind Regards [REDACTED]



[REDACTED]  
+64 9 561 0200  
PO Box 301775, Albany, NSMC 0752, New Zealand  
[www.tcil.co.nz](http://www.tcil.co.nz)

**THOMPSON + CLARK**  
INVESTIGATIONS