

Out of Scope

From: Laura Kavanagh [DPMC]

Sent: Monday, October 21, 2024 4:04 PM

To: s 9(2)(a) [redacted]@parliament.govt.nz; Anna Hughes [DPMC]

<xxxx.xxxxxx@xxxx.xxxx.xx>

Cc: Joanna Anderson [DPMC] <xxxxxx.xxxxxxxx@xxxx.xxxx.xx>

Subject: RE: Rnz news query re terrorism research at vic

Hi s 9(2)(a) [redacted]

No problem. Suggested reactive lines below:

s 9(2)(g)(i) [redacted]

s 9(2)(g)(i) [redacted]

Thanks,
Laura

From: s 9(2)(a) [redacted]@parliament.govt.nz>

Sent: Monday, October 21, 2024 3:37 PM

To: Laura Kavanagh [DPMC] <xxxxxx.xxxxxxxx@xxxx.xxxx.xx>; Anna Hughes [DPMC]

<xxxx.xxxxxx@xxxx.xxxx.xx>

Cc: Joanna Anderson [DPMC] <xxxxxx.xxxxxxxx@xxxx.xxxx.xx>

Subject: FW: Rnz news query re terrorism research at vic

Importance: High

Hi both,

Ben has asked if we can have some defensive talking points to provide to PMO in the same vein of “this is a matter for the University of Victoria” etc – today if possible?

Cheers,

s 9(2)(a)

A grey rectangular box redacting the signature of the sender.

Released Under The Official Information Act 1982