

From: John Greenwood <xxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>

Date: 21 May 2024 at 5:14 PM +1200

To: Geoff McLay <xxxxx.xxxxx@xxx.xx.xx>

Cc: John Greenwood <xxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>

Subject: RE: equity moderatoion for Victoria University of Wellington 2024

Hi Geoff been through the paper and note the multi choice questions-they seem fine overall given the risk that other probable answers could get a tick. Just a thought in another paper last year on multichoice there were at least three questions which then asked the students to give their reasons for their choice which I considered a very good balance.

On the front page under instructions reference is made to 2 marks for the 20 multichoice questions giving a total of 40 while at the top of page 2 reference is made to questions being given a mark of 2.5 marks for a total of 50 marks-am I missing something here?

Only other comment is on page 11 reference to 10 marks under sections B and C- presume a typo?

Geoff there is a nice blend of cases and no doubt the better students will excel(hopefully)with question 21.

Best wishes with the exam results-best regards

John Greenwood | Consultant

GreenwoodRoche
PROJECT LAWYERS

www.greenwoodroche.com

CLICK HERE
to find out more about

The GreenPrint

Our blueprint to shape greater client value at the centre of people, place and law

This email is confidential and may be subject to legal privilege. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, please notify us immediately and then delete this email. We do not accept any responsibility for any computer viruses.

From: Geoff McLay <xxxxx.xxxxx@xxx.xx.xx>

Sent: Tuesday, 21 May 2024 11:25 AM

To: John Greenwood <xxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>; Law Examinations <law-xxxxxxxxxxxx@xxx.xx.xx>

Subject: equity moderatoion for Victoria University of Wellington 2024

Dear John

I have run Equity in the first trimester this year, and I am afraid that I have been a little behind, so have just finished the proposed exam now. Ideally we need to have it available for printing on Monday. The proposed exam can be found at this

link [\[my.sharepoint.com/:w/g/personal/mclayge_staff_vuw_ac_nz/Ea58VxxH49FMlttdONhHzeIBfLt0nO6GGH1Y9uBfQE_dHQ?e=uvLRBA\]\(https://my.sharepoint.com/:w/g/personal/mclayge_staff_vuw_ac_nz/Ea58VxxH49FMlttdONhHzeIBfLt0nO6GGH1Y9uBfQE_dHQ?e=uvLRBA\) which I am assured you will be able to open!](https://vuw-</p></div><div data-bbox=)

This year the students have all done a 35 % essay on whether the Supreme Court should allow the appeal in the Kurgar case (the issue involves what the nature of the a discretionary trust is and the effect of tikanga on determining that issue

The exam is still subject to just a little bit of fine tuning - especially in relations to the Multichoice questions, but I'm hoping that you can see it covers the range of the prescription etc .

You will that I have persisted with the same multichoice format that I used last year. I know that you wondered about the effectiveness of that part of the exam. Last years questions produced a very similar curve to our general expectations, and on the whole students who did well in the MC also did well in the opinion and the course in general. If you are interested I would be very happy to run you through that .

I have attached the reading list for you to have a look at .

There is also rumour that the NZSC is about to release Cooper v Pinney, which they only heard last November, so that is the reason for the rider to the problem

If you have any comments or suggestions I'd be grateful to receive them

Thanks as always for the attention that you pay to this.

Geoff