From Text

Do Dunedin's current dog control rules work? Where should dogs be allowed? Should they be on the leash or off in certain areas? These are the types of issues we're seeking early feedback on we begin reviewing our Dog Control Policy & Dunedin City Council, 180573097, [Bylaw ahead of formal consultation next year.. https://t.co/9IEAuzQWz9

Do Dunedin's current dog control rules work? Where should dogs be allowed to go? Should they be on the leash or off in certain areas? These are the types of issues we're now seeking early feedback on as we begin reviewing our Dog Control Policy and Dog Control Bylaw, ahead of formal consultation next year. As well as seeking people's general views on dog control in Dunedin, we're keen to receive feedback on rules in some specific locations. - For example, dogs are not currently allowed in the CBD. We're keen to know whether the rules in this area should be relaxed. Conversely, dogs are currently allowed off leash on the beach to the caves at Doctors Point. This is a popular beach for wildlife, so we're asking for feedback on whether the rules here should be tightened. There's questions on some other specific locations too, including the beaches at Macandrew Bay, and Broad Bay, Te Rauone Reserve, as well as the Mosgiel Memorial Garden track and Woodside Glen. More info and an online survey are available via www.dunedin.govt.nz/consultation. Dunedin City Council, 12792208725 Feedback is open until the end of November 2023. Annette Ross, 2068560959919864 I don't think they should be walked in the CBD. I seem to remember that was the rule. Tania Forgie, 2561466480611202 We need a dog park for the west harbour area please. We are extremely limited as to where we can exercise our dogs off lead in port Chalmers and other west harbour areas. Honestly, as a dog owner one thing I'd like to see more of (been a few years since last had one) is more surveillance of those who think it's acceptable to not one's best at picking up there dogs ôy'©. Like there clearly seems to be a lack of enforcement and/or fines. It's not that hard to have bags on hand to pick up the mess. Michaela Sortehaug, 16367237930 In saying that though would be nice to see some more bins in some areas such as our neighbourhood so you don't have to cart ones doggy ðŸ'© as far. Annette Ross I agree with you, I am a dog owner but with the amount of foot traffic it only takes one person whose dog is not under proper control to pull a leash infront of someone tripping them up. Not to mention the people who think it is Alana McGregor, 22595534607980 beneath them to pick up after their dogs Below is a screen shot* of what is produced by the links from the "information " page lined up: 1) Only the link to the submission form produces a page. 2) None of the links to page people could use to make an INFORMED submission work. 3) Not even a 404 - just a blank page. Not the first time the DCC has consulted without providing information. But it is first time I can recall it has expended rate-payer money writing links to a page that is so badly coded it does not display, or trigger a 404, so readers just get a blank. Karen Anderson, 260126445656664*Taken earlier today, tested across multiple browsers, checked and confirmed as the same before making this comment. Giles Pike. 1891557340956249 Seems bizarre & unfair that cats don't have to be registeredâ€!.. The 3 links are working for me fine, however i did need to allow popups https://www.dunedin.govt.nz/council/policies,-plans-and-strategies/bylaws/dog-control-bylaw https://www.dunedin.govt.nz/council/policies,-plans-and-strategies/policies/dog-policy Cher Forgeson, 2552455224826258 https://dunedin.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=5e15eb292b164ea6a33b7f8bf4295344 Dunedin City Council the email address provided does not however work. xxxxxx.xxxx@xxx.xxx.xx (xxxxxx.xxx@xxx.xxxxxx) Cher Forgeson, 2552455224826258 The email address you entered couldn't be found. Please check the recipient's email address and try to resend the message. If the problem continues, please contact your email admin. Cher Forgeson interesting! DCC, you cannot make access to information conditional on people undoing common-place safety steps. Use of pop-ups is a well-known way to make a website inaccessible. Screen shot to remind you the CEO publicly stated the website was accessible on 26 October - or did that only apply to the website until then, and not to the future, and not to dog things? Karen Anderson, 260126445656664 Pop-ups are just lousy BAD web coding practise, and are NOT required for links to those documents. This is nothing more than poor practise webcoding using techniques that have been accepted as obstructive for almost two decades. Karen Anderson, 260126445656664Cher Forgeson well done you for checking. I noted the email address seemed a new one, but never checked. Thank you for identifying and raising this issue as well. Karen Anderson i think it's just because they're PDF's and that generally means they require 'downloading', I vaguely remember this happening when I first when to look at them all when I got my puppy, is there a better way for them to share this Cher Forgeson, 2552455224826258 information within the browser that doesn't require the popup? I assumed it was to keep the primary website loading to an acceptable level. Cher Forgeson, 2552455224826258 Karen Anderson I mucked up in my submission and missed that NO was ticked instead of YES õŸ~, I went to ask if they'd update it internally and that was an epic fail

	Cher Forgeson thanks for engaging.
	I refer to the Policy, bylaws, and maps all the time.
	This is the first time that has happened. The DCC website should be able to easily withstand the load caused by opening a pdf - and it has been - so that is not the issue either.
	In simple terms there are many options around coding how a link opens. Eg, replacing the page (using the same *tab*), open another tab, open a completely new window (pop-up etc.
	There is no reason to code these as a pop-up, no justification for doing so given all the issues it creates. Just bad coding.
	If your browser cannot read certain file types (pdf, doc, etc), or you have set it not to automatically open them, the browser will give you a message to say it cannot open the link.
	This just sits perpetually going nowhere:
Karen Anderson, 260126445656664	
	Need a dog park port area
	Cher Forgeson
	Yup ðŸ™, (Not laughing at your misfortune, just that Kiwi black humour thing.)
	Having visited other parts of the country I am pleased to be living in Dunedin. The DCC and Christchurch council appear to be the best councils for dog ownership. The best dog parks, many dog friendly beaches and a good dog owner community.
	One thing that I would recommend is that all dog owners register their dogs on the national database as part of their registration requirements (unless they apply for an exemption). That makes it a lot easier to return lost dogs just by taking them to a vet without getting the DCC involved.
Yuri Templeton-Wierda, 224772656	Dogs impounded on a Friday night spend the whole weekend in the pound without the ability for them to be released. (Requires payment). That can be quite traumatic for some dogs.
Turriempietori Wierda, 22 1772000	I love the fact dogs can be off lead at Ocean View etc the silver stream Mosgiel most definitely off lead as this is popular, but can I ask as an avid dog park goer, East Taieri from winter has patches the water can't go anywhere and getting
Jo Harbour, 1854723484631701	stagnant and they stink, even if we had a working bee how do we go about getting the gravel etc is there someone we can work with please?
	Karen Anderson its my first year of ownership and therefore being able to submit so hopefully I've done a good job of it
e.i.e. i e.gessii, 2002 iou 2 iou 2003	
	Good on you for speaking up.
	A group of people have been standing up for dog issues since 2016: Many legal decisions against the DCC, currently the Ombudsman is conducting the biggest investigation into dog issues that has EVER been conducted in NZ.
	If you are interested in learning more about the issues so you can speak up for your dog feel free to pm me and I can connect you.
	Karen Anderson check your spam haha i've probably ended up there ðŸ™f
•	Cher Forgeson Kia ora Cher, apologies for that. The email address should have read xxxxxxxxxxxx@xxx.xxxx.xx (i.e. no dot in the middle). This has now been fixed.
-	Dogs aren't the worst problem in the CBD.It's not the dogs that vandalise or break glass or vomit in shop doorways or sit and drink alcohol in restricted areas You can trip over anything at anytime. And yes I've seen council
	contractors pick up after humans. Dunedin is so slow coming forward
	Tania Forgie good luck, the west harbour doesn't exist according to the council. If it was anywhere on the other side of the harbour then yea we'd have a chance.
Nicky Jeffries, 2205030889554164	
	We need more bins. I go to several beach spots that are popular for dog walking and there are no bins. I went to black head beach last week and counted 7 poops just on the walk to down the beach. There is no bin there so people are leaving it. I
	drive with it in the car and drop off at the bin but it's not ideal. Smails is another beach without a bin in the carpark
Allie Phipps, 5418480581582417	Definitely no dogs in the cbd other than service dogs.
	You know what I would love: A dog Pool! Like a dog community pool. All responsible dog owner conditions would apply of course. It doesn't have to be fancy but has a deep and shallow end (I saw a community dog pool on tik tok) it was so
	wonderful to see them having such fun being able to swim .
	Dunedin City Council It should be cat control you're talking about. When do submissions close for that?
<u> </u>	Clint Dane sad aye but there is no harm trying
	Julie Rodgers what a great idea!
Julie Rodgers, 1956755621113374	Tania Forgie do you think so? I thought everyone would think I was being weird. Thank you ỡŸ™,
	There definitely needs to be some modern updates to the rules. Well behaved dogs on leads should be allowed in more public spaces and on public transport etc as seen overseas - they add to good communities vibes !ôŸ¶ Dunedin City Council
Claudia UM, 2476617849034718	Yvette Pauley
	Yuri Templeton-Wierda not sure if this still happens but a few times I have found a wandering dog and ran the council they provided me with the dog's address so I could return it, which I didn't mind in a first instance as I believe everyone gets a
	chance to sort things out. If it happened a lot that would be a different matter.
	Used to be able to walk dogs in the city. I understand why it could be a problem in the day time, but wonder if no dog hours could be introduced as I can't see a problem with walking a dog through town in the evening.
	Can you put more signs up where dogs have to be on leads. For example there is a new walking track in Fairfield where I would love to take my dog on a regular basis but everyone let's there dogs off to run freely and I shouldn't have to go really
Tracey Mains, 2850146148343732	early in the morning to avoid dogs off leashes. It makes it hard when you have your dog on a lead and he's very reactive to dogs that just run over to him.
	Karen Sortehaug I came across a dog running up the road in Sunnyvale one Sunday morning and they refused to give me details on where he lived or let them know there dog was in danger. After argueing with them for about 10 mins they
Tracey Mains, 2850146148343732	reluctantly told me where he lived. There was no way I could leave him. I get the privacy laws but seriously they need to help us get dogs back to there homes more easily.
Liz Rox, 2728350657205282	Please monitor where dogs are already PROHIBITED. Like Arthur St Reserve. The playground is used by kids, families and tourists and DOGS++ (before people arrive).
Tania Wilson, 2108668705913947	A dog water fountain at the top of JWD would be much appreciated
	Julie yesss! Fabulous idea
Rachel Mary Bernadette, 22526882	
	Karen Sortehaug no that no longer happens. That is why I brought it up.
	Some dog control functions have been contracted out to Armour guard. They may contact the owner if they can get hold of them the first time but
	if a dog has been found wandering for a second time it is mandatory impoundment.
	1 0

	Allie Phipps some people live within the central city, so need to get about with their dog on those inner city footpaths.
Jaz Hunter, 2185096024859030	I don't have an issue with dogs being in the city or anywhere, but just as long as the owner picks up any mess ðŸ′©ðŸ′©ðŸ′©
Jaz Hunter, 2185096024859030	Desi Liversage more offers of free or partially funded spey & neutering for cats,
Claudia UM, 2476617849034718	Rachel Mary Bernadette ðŸ©ðŸ^â€â¬›ðŸ¦®ðŸ©·ðŸ©·
Desi Liversage, 1904335503010102	Jaz Hunter that would be a good start
	Julie Rodgers even better yet. A map of places you can take your dog for a swim would be better and wouldn't add to the rates. Sadly pools even for dogs are expensive to build and maintain and would be a long wait for it to be built in the first
	place. Where is a map of good places for dogs to swim wouldn't cost the council much other than someone to spend a bit of time outlining on a map the best beaches and rivers to take your dog for a swim. :)
Julie Rodgers, 1956755621113374	Nomi fantastic idea ðŸ~€ðŸ'ðŸ¼
Leigh Edwards, 2217790431614076	Julie Rodgers Yes definitely !! I've seen overseas that some pools let dogs in just before it's time for them to drain the pool and clean it anyway.
Tracey Sanders, 2689896907745680	Tania Wilson and a rubbish bin would be handy up there.
	I'm not sure if anyone else is noticing but at McMillan park on highgate a lot of people are blatantly disregarding the rules for this being a child only playground I have seen a handful of different dogs at this park now, as I often visit family there, I don't know the nature of someone else's dog I can only go off what I see in front of me and dog owners will know better than anybody how quickly a safe situation can turn into something dangerous in a matter of seconds. I don't want my toddler to have his face ripped off because he's caught in the middle of a dog park environment right in the middle of a clearly signed no dog zone. Am I being to harsh here or am I being fair? Should I start taking my huskies along with me too if that's
	what everybody else is up to? I'm confused and upset! I don't want my children around other people pets, if I did I'd take them where I could find dogs?!
Dicksin Gravy, 6356298914457771	Clint Dane take it up with your community board, that's what they are there for!!
	Dicksin Gravy ok!
Blair Harrison, 5454272057986202	Please allow dogs on leashes in inner city streets. Shops/malls can have their own rules.

	Do Dunedin's current dog control rules work? Where should dogs be allowed to go? Should they be on the leash or off in certain areas?
	These are the types of issues we're now seeking early feedback on as we begin reviewing our Dog Control Policy and Dog Control Bylaw, ahead of formal consultation next year.
	As well as seeking people's general views on dog control in Dunedin, we're keen to receive feedback on rules in some specific locations.
	- For example, dogs are not currently allowed in the CBD. We'd like to know whether you think the rules in this area should be relaxed.
	- Conversely, dogs are currently allowed off leash on the beach to the caves at Doctors Point. This is a popular beach for wildlife, so we're asking for feedback on whether the rules here should be tightened.
	There's questions on some other specific locations too, including the beaches at Macandrew Bay, and Broad Bay, Te Rauone Reserve, as well as the Mosgiel Memorial Garden track and Woodside Glen.
	- More info and an online survey are available - see link in bio.
	Feedback is open until the end of November 2023.
Dunedin City Council, 1784	41402912 #dogownersofdunedin #dogsofdunedin #dunedin
senoritaawesumo	Doctors point should be on leash in the caves and from the rocky outcrop before that, it's all a nesting area, no one listens though. You'd have to actually be able to reinforce it somehow.

Do Dunedin's current dog control rules work? Where should dogs be allowed to go? Should they be on the leash or off in certain areas? These are the types of issues we're now seeking early feedback on as we begin reviewing our Dog Control Policy and Dog Control Bylaw, ahead of formal consultation next year. As well as seeking people's general views on dog control in Dunedin, we're keen to receive feedback on rules in some specific locations. - For example, dogs are not currently allowed in the CBD. We're keen to know whether the rules in this area should be relaxed. - Conversely, dogs are currently allowed off leash on the beach to the caves at Doctors Point. This is a popular beach for wildlife, so we're asking for feedback on whether the rules here should be tightened. There's questions on some other specific locations too, including the beaches at Macandrew Bay, and Broad Bay, Te Rauone Reserve, as well as the Mosgiel Memorial Garden track and Woodside Glen. More info and an online survey are available via www.dunedin.govt.nz/consultation. Dunedin City Council, 1279220872 Feedback is open until the end of November 2023. Annette Ross, 2068560959919864 I don't think they should be walked in the CBD. I seem to remember that was the rule. Tania Forgie, 2561466480611202 We need a dog park for the west harbour area please. We are extremely limited as to where we can exercise our dogs off lead in port Chalmers and other west harbour areas. Honestly, as a dog owner one thing I'd like to see more of (been a few years since last had one) is more surveillance of those who think it's acceptable to not one's best at picking up there dogs ðŸ'©. Like there clearly seems to be a lack of enforcement and/or fines. It's not that hard to have bags on hand to pick up the mess. Michaela Sortehaug, 16367237930 In saying that though would be nice to see some more bins in some areas such as our neighbourhood so you don't have to cart ones doggy ðŸ'© as far. Annette Ross I agree with you, I am a dog owner but with the amount of foot traffic it only takes one person whose dog is not under proper control to pull a leash infront of someone tripping them up. Not to mention the people who think it is

Alana McGregor, 22595534607980 beneath them to pick up after their dogs Below is a screen shot* of what is produced by the links from the "information " page lined up: 1) Only the link to the submission form produces a page.

2) None of the links to page people could use to make an INFORMED submission work.

3) Not even a 404 - just a blank page.

Not the first time the DCC has consulted without providing information. But it is first time I can recall it has expended rate-payer money writing links to a page that is so badly coded it does not display, or trigger a 404, so readers just get a blank.

Karen Anderson, 260126445656664*Taken earlier today, tested across multiple browsers, checked and confirmed as the same before making this comment.

Giles Pike, 1891557340956249	Seems bizarre & unfair that cats don't have to be registered…
	The 3 links are working for me fine, however i did need to allow popups
	https://www.dunedin.govt.nz/council/policies,-plans-and-strategies/bylaws/dog-control-bylaw
	https://www.dunedin.govt.nz/council/policies,-plans-and-strategies/policies/dog-policy
Cher Forgeson, 255245522482625	8 https://dunedin.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=5e15eb292b164ea6a33b7f8bf4295344
, ,	Dunedin City Council the email address provided does not however work.
	xxxxxx.xxxxx@xxx.xxxxxxx@xxx.xxxxx@xxx.xxxxxx
Cher Forgeson, 255245522482625	8 The email address you entered couldn't be found. Please check the recipient's email address and try to resend the message. If the problem continues, please contact your email admin.
ee. : 0.Beec, 2002 10022 10202	The chair attained four enterior contains a four enterior enterior enterior enterior enterior four enterior four enterior enterio
	Cher Forgeson interesting!
	ener rongesom medesting.
	DCC, you cannot make access to information conditional on people undoing common-place safety steps.
	bee, you cannot make access to information conditional on people undoing common-place safety steps.
	Hea of non une is a well known way to make a website inaccessible
	Use of pop-ups is a well-known way to make a website inaccessible.
	Screen shot to remind you the CEO publicly stated the website was accessible on 26 October - or did that only apply to the website until then, and not to the future, and not to dog things?
Marian Andrews 2001201150707	A Dan was an institute DAD with and in a marking and are NOT as wind for links to the control of the links to the links ton the links to the links to the links to the links to the links t
	4 Pop-ups are just lousy BAD web coding practise, and are NOT required for links to those documents. This is nothing more than poor practise webcoding using techniques that have been accepted as obstructive for almost two decades.
karen Anderson, 26012644565666	Cher Forgeson well done you for checking. I noted the email address seemed a new one, but never checked. Thank you for identifying and raising this issue as well.
	Karen Anderson i think it's just because they're PDF's and that generally means they require 'downloading', I vaguely remember this happening when I first when to look at them all when I got my puppy, is there a better way for them to share this
	8 information within the browser that doesn't require the popup? I assumed it was to keep the primary website loading to an acceptable level.
Cher Forgeson, 255245522482625	8 Karen Anderson I mucked up in my submission and missed that NO was ticked instead of YES ðŸ~, I went to ask if they'd update it internally and that was an epic fail
	Cher Forgeson thanks for engaging.
	I refer to the Policy, bylaws, and maps all the time.
	This is the first time that has happened. The DCC website should be able to easily withstand the load caused by opening a pdf - and it has been - so that is not the issue either.
	In simple terms there are many options around coding how a link opens. Eg, replacing the page (using the same *tab*), open another tab, open a completely new window (pop-up etc.
	There is no reason to code these as a pop-up, no justification for doing so given all the issues it creates. Just bad coding.
	If your browser cannot read certain file types (pdf, doc, etc), or you have set it not to automatically open them, the browser will give you a message to say it cannot open the link.
	This just sits perpetually going nowhere:
Karen Anderson, 26012644565666	Bad coding.
Tarina Stott, 2180826701982828	Need a dog park port area
	Cher Forgeson
	Yup ỡŸ™,
Karen Anderson. 26012644565666	4(Not laughing at your misfortune, just that Kiwi black humour thing.)
, 112211123000	
	Having visited other parts of the country I am pleased to be living in Dunedin. The DCC and Christchurch council appear to be the best councils for dog ownership. The best dog parks, many dog friendly beaches and a good dog owner community.
	g and the second and a good and of the second and a good and a good and of the second and a good a good and a good and a good and a good a good a good and a good
	One thing that I would recommend is that all dog owners register their dogs on the national database as part of their registration requirements (unless they apply for an exemption). That makes it a lot easier to return lost dogs just by taking them
	to a vet without getting the DCC involved.
	to a fee mandat petang the Bee involved.
Vuri Templeton-Wierda 22477265	Hogs impounded on a Friday night spend the whole weekend in the pound without the ability for them to be released. (Requires payment). That can be quite traumatic for some dogs.
ruit rempieton-wierua, 2247/205	I love the fact dogs can be off lead at Ocean View etc the silver stream Mosgiel most definitely off lead as this is popular, but can I ask as an avid dog park goer, East Taieri from winter has patches the water can't go anywhere and getting
lo Harbour, 1954722494621701	
Jo Harbour, 1854723484631701	stagnant and they stink, even if we had a working bee how do we go about getting the gravel etc is there someone we can work with please?
Cher Furgesun, 255245522482625	8 Karen Anderson its my first year of ownership and therefore being able to submit so hopefully I've done a good job of it
	Cood on you far anadiing up
	Good on you for speaking up.
	A group of people have been standing up for dog issues since 2016: Many legal decisions against the DCC, currently the Ombudsman is conducting the biggest investigation into dog issues that has EVER been conducted in NZ.
	4 If you are interested in learning more about the issues so you can speak up for your dog feel free to pm me and I can connect you.
	8 Karen Anderson check your spam haha i've probably ended up there ðŸ™f
Dunedin City Council, 1279220872	Scher Forgeson Kia ora Cher, apologies for that. The email address should have read xxxxxxxxxxxx@xxx.xxxx.xx (i.e. no dot in the middle). This has now been fixed.
	Dogs aren't the worst problem in the CBD.It's not the dogs that vandalise or break glass or vomit in shop doorways or sit and drink alcohol in restricted areas You can trip over anybody or anything at anytime. And yes I've seen council
	8 contractors pick up after humans. Dunedin is so slow coming forward
Clint Dane, 2422299167781325	Tania Forgie good luck, the west harbour doesn't exist according to the council. If it was anywhere on the other side of the harbour then yea we'd have a chance.
Nicky Jeffries, 2205030889554164	Jayden Wiparata feedback time!!

We need more bins. I go to several beach spots that are popular for dog walking and there are no bins. I went to black head beach last week and counted 7 poops just on the walk to down the beach. There is no bin there so pec drive with it in the car and drop off at the bin but it's not ideal. Smails is another beach without a bin in the carpark	opie are leaving it. i
Allie Pripps, 5418480581582417 drive with it in the car and drop on at the bin but it's not ideal. Smalls is another beach without a bin in the carpark	,
Allie Phipps, 5418480581582417 Definitely no dogs in the cbd other than service dogs.	
You know what I would love: A dog Pool! Like a dog community pool. All responsible dog owner conditions would apply of course. It doesn't have to be fancy but has a deep and shallow end (I saw a community dog pool on t	tik tok) it was so
Julie Rodgers, 1956755621113374 wonderful to see them having such fun being able to swim.	.ik tok) it was so
Desi Liversage, 1904335503010102 Dunedin City Council It should be cat control you're talking about. When do submissions close for that?	
Tania Forgie, 2561466480611202 Clint Dane sad aye but there is no harm trying Tania Forgie, 2561466480611202 Julie Rodgers what a great idea!	
Julie Rodgers, 1956755621113374 Tania Forgie do you think so? I thought everyone would think I was being weird. Thank you ởŸ™, These definitely people to be some modern undetected by substitution with a lift of the property of the substitution of the property of the property of the substitution of the property of the substitution of the property of the p	madia City Caynail
There definitely needs to be some modern updates to the rules. Well behaved dogs on leads should be allowed in more public spaces and on public transport etc as seen overseas - they add to good communities vibes !🶠Du	neam City Council
Claudia UM, 2476617849034718 Yvette Pauley	
Yuri Templeton-Wierda not sure if this still happens but a few times I have found a wandering dog and ran the council they provided me with the dog's address so I could return it, which I didn't mind in a first instance as I believe the surface of the surface o	ve everyone gets a
Karen Sortehaug, 26670637466692 chance to sort things out. If it happened a lot that would be a different matter.	
Karen Sortehaug, 26670637466692 Used to be able to walk dogs in the city. I understand why it could be a problem in the day time, but wonder if no dog hours could be introduced as I can't see a problem with walking a dog through town in the evening.	
Can you put more signs up where dogs have to be on leads. For example there is a new walking track in Fairfield where I would love to take my dog on a regular basis but everyone let's there dogs off to run freely and I shouldn't	t have to go really
Tracey Mains, 2850146148343732 early in the morning to avoid dogs off leashes. It makes it hard when you have your dog on a lead and he's very reactive to dogs that just run over to him.	10 1
Karen Sortehaug I came across a dog running up the road in Sunnyvale one Sunday morning and they refused to give me details on where he lived or let them know there dog was in danger. After argueing with them for about 1	10 mins they
Tracey Mains, 2850146148343732 reluctantly told me where he lived. There was no way I could leave him. I get the privacy laws but seriously they need to help us get dogs back to there homes more easily.	
Liz Rox, 2728350657205282 Please monitor where dogs are already PROHIBITED. Like Arthur St Reserve. The playground is used by kids, families and tourists and DOGS++ (before people arrive).	
Tania Wilson, 2108668705913947 A dog water fountain at the top of JWD would be much appreciated	
Tania Wilson, 2108668705913947 Julie yesss! Fabulous idea	
Rachel Mary Bernadette, 22526882 Claudia UM	
Karen Sortehaug no that no longer happens. That is why I brought it up.	
Some dog control functions have been contracted out to Armour guard. They may contact the owner if they can get hold of them the first time but	
Yuri Templeton-Wierda, 22477265 dif a dog has been found wandering for a second time it is mandatory impoundment.	
Allie Phipps some people live within the central city, so need to get about with their dog on those inner city footpaths.	
Jaz Hunter, 2185096024859030 I don't have an issue with dogs being in the city or anywhere, but just as long as the owner picks up any mess ðŸ'©ðŸ'©	
Jaz Hunter, 2185096024859030 Desi Liversage more offers of free or partially funded spey & neutering for cats,	
Claudia UM, 2476617849034718 Rachel Mary Bernadette ðŸ©ðŸ^â€â¬›ðŸ¦®ðŸ©·ðŸ©·	
Desi Liversage, 1904335503010102 Jaz Hunter that would be a good start	
Julie Rodgers even better yet. A map of places you can take your dog for a swim would be better and wouldn't add to the rates. Sadly pools even for dogs are expensive to build and maintain and would be a long wait for it to be	e built in the first
Nomi, 2541503835922166 place. Where is a map of good places for dogs to swim wouldn't cost the council much other than someone to spend a bit of time outlining on a map the best beaches and rivers to take your dog for a swim. :)	
Julie Rodgers, 1956755621113374 Nomi fantastic idea ðŸ~€ðŸ′ðŸ¼	
Leigh Edwards, 2217790431614076 Julie Rodgers Yes definitely!! I've seen overseas that some pools let dogs in just before it's time for them to drain the pool and clean it anyway.	
Tracey Sanders, 268989690774568 Tania Wilson and a rubbish bin would be handy up there.	
I'm not sure if anyone else is noticing but at McMillan park on highgate a lot of people are blatantly disregarding the rules for this being a child only playground I have seen a handful of different dogs at this park now, as I often we have seen a handful of different dogs at this park now, as I often we have seen a handful of different dogs at this park now, as I often we have seen a handful of different dogs at this park now, as I often we have seen a handful of different dogs at this park now, as I often we have seen a handful of different dogs at this park now, as I often we have seen a handful of different dogs at this park now, as I often we have seen a handful of different dogs at this park now, as I often we have seen a handful of different dogs at this park now, as I often we have seen a handful of different dogs at this park now, as I often we have seen a handful of different dogs at this park now, as I often we have seen a handful of different dogs at this park now, as I often we have seen a handful of different dogs at this park now, as I often we have a seen a handful of different dogs at this park now, as I often we have a seen a handful of different dogs at this park now.	•
don't know the nature of someone else's dog I can only go off what I see in front of me and dog owners will know better than anybody how quickly a safe situation can turn into something dangerous in a matter of seconds. I do	•
to have his face ripped off because he's caught in the middle of a dog park environment right in the middle of a clearly signed no dog zone. Am I being to harsh here or am I being fair? Should I start taking my huskies along with	me too if that's
Wolf Snow, 8343644599010333 what everybody else is up to? I'm confused and upset! I don't want my children around other people pets, if I did I'd take them where I could find dogs?!	
Dicksin Gravy, 6356298914457771 Clint Dane take it up with your community board, that's what they are there for!!	
Clint Dane, 2422299167781325 Dicksin Gravy ok!	
Blair Harrison, 5454272057986202 Please allow dogs on leashes in inner city streets. Shops/malls can have their own rules.	

Do Dunedin's current dog control rules work? Where should dogs be allowed to go? Should they be on the leash or off in certain areas?

We are reviewing our Dog Control Policy and Dog Control Bylaw and want to know what you think. We want your early feedback and ideas on rules that protect the health and safety of animals and people. Your feedback will help shape the formal consultation taking place in 2024.

- More info and an online survey are available via www.dunedin.govt.nz/consultation

Dunedin City Council, 12792208725 Feedback is open until the end of November 2023

Joy Woodford, 2255038301229079 They should always be on a leash.

No they don't work. So many people walk there dogs off lead which makes my walk with my dog hard. He dosnt react well to other dogs and this happens all the time.

The new Fairfield track for example needs a sign saying dogs need to be on leads.

Tracey Mains, 2850146148343732 All dogs need to be on leads. Full stop!

Deborah Gillam, 217155498622427 Tracey Mains is it a designated on lead track?

Deborah Gillam, 217155498622427 Joy Woodford why?

Tracey Mains, 2850146148343732 Deborah Gillam I thought all dogs had to be on lead unless stated. It dosnt say but it puts me off walking and enjoying it with my dog.

Fiona Forde, 2296130810493219 Deborah Gillam I think it states that dogs have to be under control at all times which means it can be off lead but under voice control. I find it frustrating too as a guide Dog user especially those long line leads It gets no control.
Aleisha David-son, 2366811356685 Tracey Mains no, not all dogs. Many need more exercise than a walk on a lead and if the owners have put time into training their dogs who obey why should they be punished. Not their fault your dog doesn't like other dogs.
Aleisha David-son, 2366811356685 Joy Woodford your reason for this being??
Clint Dane, 2422299167781325 No they don't. And while we're at it how about the DCC supply's breakdown of whete all the money we pay for registering our dogs goes.
Julie Coward, 2187203064704787 "Shared" walking/bike tracks should have dogs on leads as I've had uncontrolled dogs run in front of me. Dangerous
Aleisha David-son I never said it was. It's not my fault my dog dosnt like dogs rushing up to him
Tracey Mains, 2850146148343732 All the time either when they should be on a leash
Melissa Gawn, 2043915628996787 Dogs shouldn't be allowed in the swimming area (flagged area) of the beaches. I have one child whom was knocked over into the surf by a dog running around crazy. The owner didn't even apologise.
Tracey Mains According to the DCC dog map, there's plenty of places where dogs are allowed off lead, and this is fair. My 2 year old Bichon x Shih-tzu has heaps of energy. He loves running around St Kilda Beach and Rotary Park for example.
Wayne Winder, 230446331959778. There's no way he'd get that much exercise on lead. It's good for dogs to have places where they can run free. Depends on training of course. My dog comes when called 95% of the time, and a treat held in the air works otherwise.
Wayne Winder that's good that yours is under control but there are so many that arnt. It's when they come rushing up to us is my problem. A lot of owners have Absolutly no control over there dogs.
Tracey Mains, 2850146148343732 And I'm pleased that there are many places that you can let your dog run off lead but there are many places you can't hense my problem.
Sharon Chambers, 2211023338935 Julie Coward pretty sure dogs are supposed to be on lead in these places which is fair. Shame so many ppl think the rules don't apply to them ðŸ~•
Sharon Chambers, 2211023338935 Melissa Gawn they ate supposed to be on lead between the flags but I expect many ppl don't know that or maybe don't care?
The map seriously needs updated and to match with signage. It should be easy to look online to see where to walk your dog. For example it states dogs are not allowed between Outram Glen and Lee Stream. But wait that's not DCC land. It's DOC
Sharon Chambers, 2211023338935 land and they allow dogs there. No signage there either saying no dogs. Just one example of many.
Sharon Chambers, 2211023338935 Tracey Mains if you have a reactive dog there are plenty of on lead only areas to walk. If this area is off lead then you will always have encounters your dog doesn't like. Sad but true ðŸ-a
Sharon Chambers if you had bothered to read this properly then you will realise I'm walking my dog on lead in on lead places. He's reactive to all the bloody dogs who should be on lead but arnt and that is my problem. He's only reactive cos these
Tracey Mains, 2850146148343732 dogs rush to him with no leads or owner control in on lead areas
Aleisha David-son omg read it properly before you comment. I'm in on lead places and he reacts because these dogs rush him when they are under no control
Tracey Mains, 2850146148343732 So they are not being punished as you say because we walk on on lead places.
Sharon Chambers the problem is that people don't observe the on-leash requirement in those places, so those with reactive dogs or dogs in training end up having to deal with off lead dogs anyway. There needs to be more education and
Diana O'Kane, 1966406263457441 enforcement so everyone can enjoy the spaces they need.
Tracey Mains, 2850146148343732 Sharon Chambers they don't care. That's the problem, alot of dog owners just don't care.
Sharon Chambers, 2211023338935 Tracey Mains I did read properly. You just say all dogs should be on leads not that it's a designated on lead area.
Sharon Chambers, 2211023338935 Diana O'Kane I agree about enforcement and education but also to sort out the signage which is hit and miss at best. Half the time people don't know the rules and the signs don't match the online map which is very frustrating eh?
Tracey Mains, 2850146148343732 Sharon Chambers unless if it's an off lead area then yes they should all be on leads.
Sharon Chambers absolutely - it's a total mess! Contradictory, confusing, illegal, and downright wrong. In addition, hardly anyone has actually read the bylaw or the Dog Control Act, so the number of owners who are clueless about the rules, thei
Diana O'Kane, 1966406263457441 rights, and their responsibilities is ridiculous. Education and enforcement, along with sorting out the signage/maps/conflicting info, would go a long way to making everyone happier.
Julie Coward a lot of those dogs belong to the people on the bikes $\delta \tilde{Y}^{\sim}$,. I agree that the lack of off-leash control is a serious problem, but it would also be helpful if cyclists would give some warning when they are whizzing up from behind so you
Diana O'Kane, 1966406263457441 can get everyone out of the way in time, leash or no leash. The idea of "shared" requires patience and consideration on both sides, for everyone's safety.
Aleisha David-son if owners have their dog under control, as required by law, then there is no reason why those dogs should be running up to other dogs, as part of being "under control" includes not causing a nuisance. And it is basic dog walking
etiquette to keep your dog to yourself, whether the area is off leash or not. Ironically, it IS the fault of owners with uncontrolled loose dogs that someone else's dog is reactive, because most of the time the problem has been caused by negative
Diana O'Kane, 1966406263457441 experiences with that very scenario.
Elana & Nane, 1300 100203 157 111 Experienses and late 1617 obtainers
Diana O'Kane or some people don't know how to train their dogs OR isolate them from being social with other dogs when pups or infrequent interactions by only occasionally exercise. If a dog is under full voice command control, ie heels at his
Aleisha David-son, 2366811356685 owners feet when walking or recalled then why should it have to be on leash? Because Princess Chardonnay the Second has small dog syndrome and attacks any other dog walking by? In that case, it's poor training.
Julie Coward, 2187203064704787 Diana O'Kane yes agree hence we have bells, and use them. It would also be helpful if walkers didn't have headphones on so they could hear Said bells.
Same coward, 2107203004704707 Status o Raine yes agree mente we have sens, and use the military and use the plan in walkers after that e headphones on so they could hear said sens.
Aleisha David-son wow! You just don't get it. I like to walk my dog every day on our 6km walk in peace. When I see owners walking there dogs towards me and they are not on a lead I try to cross the road if possible. They should be on a lead in the
suburbs even if they are perfect on voice command.
My dog was attacked in Sunnyvale earlier in the year by a dog not under control. His owner even admitted he couldn't control his dog when it decided to attack mine. It was horrific and didn't need to happen had the dog been under proper
Tracey Mains, 2850146148343732 control. And before you put down small dogs mines a rather large golden retriever who is the gentlest of dogs in his every day life but dogs who rush at him when they should be on a lead he dosnt like. And who can blame him!
Are there any cat control rules? If not, are there likely to be?
Richard Roberts, 237826296553116THANKYOU
My biggest problem is how quickly the "pound†let Dunedin Dog Rescue in on the game! All too often a dog is up for adoption before an owner even has the opportunity to get their baby back!
in the second in
Julio Moulo, 2261915062940067. Dogs registered and microchinned are not the concern! But your energy into those dogs reaming and causing real trouble!
Julie Moyle, 2261815963849967 Dogs registered and microchipped are not the concern! Put your energy into those dogs roaming and causing real trouble!
Gretel Newman-Sugrue, 257227258 Ask the SPCA. Cretel Newman Sugrue, 257227358 Iulia Mayla Bound wouldn't have the dog if it weren't reaming
Gretel Newman-Sugrue, 257227258 Julie Moyle Pound wouldn't have the dog if it weren't roaming.
Julie Moyle, 2261815963849967 Gretel Newman-Sugrue not debating that anywhere!
Megan Burbery, 266291114378015 Julie Moyle 100% with you! It's horrific!
Richard Roberts Kia ora Richard, we don't have any current plans to introduce a cat control policy. However, we do work with the SPCA to support their snip and chip programme and encourage cat owners to get their cats microchipped and
Dunedin City Council, 12792208725 neutered.
Dunedin City Council, 12792208725 Melissa Gawn Kia ora Melissa, we encourage and welcome feedback about on and off leash areas for dogs. All dogs should be kept under control, even in off-leash areas.

	Sharon Chambers Kia ora Sharon, as part of the Policy and Bylaw review, we will be reviewing signage to ensure it reflects the map schedule of areas with specific on or off leash rules. We have also asked for feedback from the Department of
Dunedin City Council. 12792208725	Conservation around their recommendations for reserves, beaches and the new marine conservation area.
Viv Baskerville. 2025378140906944	Dunedin City Council it's great that you're updating dog control policy, but PLEASE do plan to introduce cat control measures which are way overdue. The problems that neighborhood/roaming cats create are huge and needs to be addressed.
	Clint Dane Kia ora Clint, the fees charged for dog registration contribute to the services provided by our Animal Services team. During the last registration year these services included responding to over 2500 requests for service involving dog
	attacks and incidents of aggression, roaming or contained dogs, investigating barking complaints and attending to stock on the roads. We also maintain records for the more than 18,000 registered dogs in the Dunedin area, run a neutering
Dunedin City Council 12792208725	scheme for community services card holders, offer education sessions for schools, community groups or workplaces and maintain dog exercise areas across the city.
Duncam City Council, 127 32200725	Julie Moyle Dog Rescue Dunedin never rescue a dog before its due date is reached. We advertise on our Facebook page, but if you were to read the wording at the top of each post, you would be aware that our primary aim is that a dog go home
Dog Rescue Dunedin Charitable Tru	to its owner. Sadly, when that doesn't happen, we are there to rescue a dog who may, in another council area, be euthanised.
	Dog Rescue Dunedin Charitable Trust I do read it…. It's just a really really bad look!!!!!
Julie Moyle, 2201813903849907	Dunedin City Council microchipping and neutering doesn't seem to stop neighbourhood cats damaging my garden.
	If it were a dog I guess I'd simply call the DCC and they would come and take care of the dog?
	So why are cats treated differently?
D. I	I am happy to have this discussion offline.
Richard Roberts, 237826296553116	
Laufrin Marie, 211403/555352120	Dunedin City Council not exactly a breakdown of the costs there. Just a list of things
	Dunedin City Council so if you have like you said 18,000 registered dogs, if each of those dogs were the "Nonâ€"working Dogs Registration Fee" that is \$109.00 per year, that would be a total of \$1,962,000.
	out of getting that paid to you each year, how many staff have you got in the dog control sector?
	as that would equate to 37.73 staff if they each were paid each \$52,000 per year or \$1000 per week before tax which would be 38.46 hours on your minimum \$26 per hour rate.
NÃ- Mo Ainm FÃ-or, 271703858840	so yes, a breakdown of annual dog registration fee expenditure sounds like its needed.
	Dogs that are licensed and on leash should be allowed in public places including the Botanical Gardens. You could explore establishing a program of training dogs and their human handlers together for certain trails where the dog could be off
Rod Francis, 7586664798029129	leash (see Boulder CO).
	NÃ- Mo Ainm FÃ-or if you read the info for dog owners booklet for this year DCC suddenly stated dogs increased by 7500.
	But it has only officially reported 18,000+ so apparently an extra 7000 are not officially recorded. That's over \$700,000 hidden away.
	DCA breached the LGOIMA to ignore a request for a statement of just how many dogs there are in Dunedin (off to the Ombudsman *again*)
	On top of the Ombudsman already investigating almost all of Dunedin dog management. Until the decision the investigation is confidential. But accounting for expenditure is one of the things complained about.
Karen Anderson. 260126445656664	So yes, you are correct to raise issues about financial accounting because what the DCC says does not stack up.
,	Dunedin City Council and you have been told many times the Dog Control Act does not allow dog revenue to be expended on neutering schemes and education (except education for dog owners).
	More confirmation the DCC is charging fees to fund activities in direct breach of the Act.
	Also dog fees do not *contribute* to running Animal Services. If you read the actual Council documents we pay for 100% of animal services.
Karen Anderson, 26012644565666	That means we also pay for non-dog services. That is another breach of the Dog Control Act - but at least get the information you provide correct.
Raiell Aliderson, 20012044303000	Sharon Chambers no they are not required to be on leash in most of those places.
Varon Andorson 26012644E6E666	And it would not be fair: Cyclists cannot expect to get priority over pedestrians in shared spaces just because they are cyclists.
Karen Anderson, 260126445656664	
	Julie Coward and every pedestrian has had cyclists charge them down at speeds too fast when using shared spaces.
Karen Anderson, 260126445656664	Cyclists have plenty of cyclist-only spaces, so if you don't want to accommodate other users, then got to a cyclist-only area instead of expecting everyone else to work around you.
Julie Coward, 2187203064704787	Karen Anderson wow. Never said they had too. No point getting precious as everyone has had someone around them making things difficult. You sound like You want everyone to work around YouYou have a nice night.
	Dunedin City Council the marine conservation area is off-shore so does not affect the Policy/Bylaw. DoC has also already stated it does not so please save public money by not asking for answers you already know.
	You do not control most beaches so asking DoC about them is irrelevant, and nor do you deal with reserves controlled by DoC.
	But thanks for confirming the intention to redo 2016 in this regard: Despite the Ombudsman currently investigating the illegality of that.
	Also noting signage has been a problem since 2016. I hold written docs stating the signage is correct. So it shouldn't need updating. But thanks again for confirming that after dog owners complained to the Ombudsman you now accept it needs to
	be reviewed.
Karen Anderson, 260126445656664	(Also consider reviewing the maps as they contradict each other *and* the bylaw as well. And dog access is legally required to be in the Policy. (Quite a lot of reviewing to do.)
	Julie Coward Not what I said. But I acknowledge you have gone from expecting everyone to work around you, to accusing others of having that expectation when you are called out.
	Richard Roberts because there is a Dog Control act, but no Cat Control Act.
	Also dog owners are charged fees to fund that service - cat owners are not.
	Hence the difference in treatment.
Karen Anderson 26012644565666	So if you want more legislation for cats, then consider talking to Parliament (start with your local member) - not the Council.
	Karen Anderson maybe you need to read my post again, instead of being so aggressive. There are alot more footpaths than cycle tracks if you want to go down that road
Natel Allueisul, 20012044505000	Julie Coward which would be even more irrelevant. So no, I won't be allowing you to drag me down *that* rabbit hole either.

Lister Actions (A) 2015 March (A) Service with a service around part to be seen for official march (A) Service with consisting and part to see for official march (A) Service with consisting and part to see for official march (A) Service with consisting and part to see for official march (A) Service with consisting and part to see for official march (A) Service with consisting and part to see for official march (A) Service with consisting and part to see for official march (A) Service with consisting and part to see for official march (A) Service with consisting and part to see for official march (A) Service with consisting and part to see for official march (A) Service with consisting and part to see for official march (A) Service with consisting and part to see for official march (A) Service with consisting and part to see for official march (A) Service with consisting and part to see for official march (A) Service with consisting and part to see for official march (A) Service with a service with consisting and part to see for official march (A) Service with a service		
About 2014 and Address I give extent perhaps the designated benefit to verticate and an electronic or in the control of the entering of the entering of the entering of the entering or in the entering of the entering of the entering of the entering or in the entering of the ente		Diana O'Kane which is why a group of us have gone to he Ombudsman. Who is currently conducting a very extensive investigation that captures many of the issues you identify.
Askalas foods are preceive, and get commongroup and integration and many tax larger than public and generative completed by the process common and received process. The process common and received process common and received process. The process common and received process common and received process. The process common an	ŕ	
with that and stricting backers over of the designation, we don't has not also because over on the beginning of the sizes (large right strough excessions in sociological control of the sizes (large right) strough excessions in sociological control of the sizes (large right) strough excessions in sociological control of the sizes (large right) strough excessions in sociological control of the sizes (large right) strough excessions in sociological control of the sizes (large right) strough excessions in sociological control of the sizes (large right) strough excessions in sociological control of the sizes (large right) strough excessions in sociological control of the sizes (large right) strough excessions in sociological control of the sizes (large right) strough excessions in sociological control of the sizes (large right) strough excessions in sociological control of the sizes (large right) strough excessions in sociological control of the sizes (large right) strough excessions in sociological control of the sizes (large right) strough excessions in sociological control of the sizes (large right) strough excessions in sociological control of the sizes (large right) strough excessions in sociological control of the sizes (large right) strough excessions in sociological control of the sizes (large right) strough excessions in sociological control of the sizes (large right) strough excessions in sociological control of the sizes (large right) strough excessions in sociological control of the sizes (large right) strough excessions in sociological control of the sizes (large right) strough excessions in sociological control of the sizes (large right) strough excessions in sociological control of the sizes (large right) strough excessions in sociological control of the sizes (large right) strough excessions in sociological control of the sizes (large right) strough excessions in sociological control of the sizes (large right) strough excessions in sociological control of the sizes (large right) strough excessions in	*	
are Asserting DOI (1994) 1995 Asserting DOI (19		
and includency, 000214400000000000000000000000000000000		
use forward, 1977-2006-2007-2007-2007-2007-2007-2007-20		
Interest Ministry of Transport Ministry Minis		
core years that as enoty your opens, and the logs (arter of a type of pack should be feast in recycled and produced and procures off coach by default. and Auditron, 74077449546666 by just the clear that your opinion is the opposite of the large in position. Core Alexandron (19077449546666) by just the clear that your opinion is the opposite of the large in position. Core Alexandron (19077449546666) and the large in position is the opposite of the large in position. Core Alexandron (190774495466666) and the large in position is the large in t		
are Addresson, XVIZI244 (Value) of all price of the desire of the time of the interpretation of the ingli patients. In address of the interpretation of t	·	
International process of the control		
Lectural belature, 7.3.900.1950.0113. Lectural belature,	K A I 250425445555555	
Included Roberts, 79772-695555513 Thirdises again. Roberts Roberts in road at all displayments be if Black your word for it. It is event the lack of an Act, leaves the DCC proteines. Roberts Roberts in road at all flushatated, Unless you know the light formework in does seem sold the DCC makes raises for one-species, but not another. Roberts Roberts in road at all flushatated, Unless you know the light formework in does seem sold the DCC makes raises for one-species, but not another. Roberts Roberts in road at all flushatated, Unless you know the light flushated blood sold road road and the light flushated and another. Roberts Roberts in road at all flushatated, Unless you know the light flushated blood sold road road and the light flushated and another. Roberts Roberts In road at a light flushated and another the light flushated blood flusha		
Rotard Roberts, 2372024605511 (Therets, again. Rotard Roberts on rot at all instituted. Unless you know the legal framework it does seem out the DCC makes rules for one species, but not another. Yes, the Season of an Act does greened tool government making byward because local government only hest the powers granted to it by Pathament (which its does in legislation). The problems in Dunction are caused by what begin in 2016 44° which is currently being investigated by the Ombudoman. 11 hr 2016 the DCC wiste access tales that instead all foll leach areas, a degreencies areas. The distinction is important in a real to of leach it is a rotal to a read of seed as a real real read read as a read of seed as a decreasing days so you expect them to be running about quite a bit. By making every off leach read area an exercise area were now have a generation of new org. owners who have been educated that in it is a real to of leach it is a rotal free for a read of the read of visions of the read of vis		
in where to beforts no or a all finistrated. Unless you know the legal framework is does seemed the DCC makes left for one species, but not another, yes, the askers not an Act does reperved local government making bytwis vectors local power manner on his she power gramed to it by "prilarement (which it does in legalisticn). The problems in Dunedin are caused by what began in 2018 &st" which is currently being investigated by the Ohlbudsman. 1) in 2018 the DCC work access rules that treated all off leash areas as doe peector areas. The distinction is important because exercise areas are for exercising dogs so you expect them to be running about quite a bit. By making every off leash area an exercise areas are for exercising dogs so you expect them to be running about quite a bit. By making every off leash area an exercise areas are for exercising dogs so you expect them to be running about quite a bit. By making every off leash area an exercise areas are for exercising dogs so you expect them to be running about quite a bit. By making every off leash area an exercise areas are for exercising dogs so you expect them to be running about quite a bit. By making every off leash area an exercise areas are for exercising dogs so you expect them to be running about quite a bit. By making every off leash area an exercise areas are for exercising dogs so you expect them to be running about quite a bit. By making every off leash area area an exercise areas are for exercising dogs so you expect them to be running about quite a bit. By making every off leash area area an exercise areas are for exercising dogs so you expect them to be running about quite a bit. By making every off leash area area area area area area area are		I'm unsure whether or not the lack of an act stops such things as local bylaws but I'll take your word for it. It seems the lack of an Act, leaves the DCC powerless.
in where to beforts no or a all finistrated. Unless you know the legal framework is does seemed the DCC makes left for one species, but not another, yes, the askers not an Act does reperved local government making bytwis vectors local power manner on his she power gramed to it by "prilarement (which it does in legalisticn). The problems in Dunedin are caused by what began in 2018 &st" which is currently being investigated by the Ohlbudsman. 1) in 2018 the DCC work access rules that treated all off leash areas as doe peector areas. The distinction is important because exercise areas are for exercising dogs so you expect them to be running about quite a bit. By making every off leash area an exercise areas are for exercising dogs so you expect them to be running about quite a bit. By making every off leash area an exercise areas are for exercising dogs so you expect them to be running about quite a bit. By making every off leash area an exercise areas are for exercising dogs so you expect them to be running about quite a bit. By making every off leash area an exercise areas are for exercising dogs so you expect them to be running about quite a bit. By making every off leash area an exercise areas are for exercising dogs so you expect them to be running about quite a bit. By making every off leash area an exercise areas are for exercising dogs so you expect them to be running about quite a bit. By making every off leash area area an exercise areas are for exercising dogs so you expect them to be running about quite a bit. By making every off leash area area an exercise areas are for exercising dogs so you expect them to be running about quite a bit. By making every off leash area area area area area area area are	Dishard Daharta 227026206552116	Thanks again
res. the absence of an AC does prevent local government making bylaves because focil government only has the govern granted to it by Parliament (which its does in legislation). In problems in Durnold are caused by what began in 2016 it is which is currently being investigated by the Ombusman. In problems in Durnold are caused by what began in 2016 it is which is currently being investigated by the Ombusman. In 2016 the DCC wrist access rules that treated all off feath areas as dog exercise areas. The distinction is important because exercise areas area for exercising dogs to you expect them to be running about durt a bit. By making every off leash area an exercise areas we now have a generation of new dog owners who have been educated that if an area is off leash it is also fine for dogs to run around mady. 2) The DCC tried to fit is that by defining control to main on leash. (Capited from a information page on the Irish Government website esigle) It can't, but invisting that was the case educated new drug owners that if a dag was off leash it cauldn't be controlled with the precision by dot to drug normage and under control. 3) The Dog Control Act contains the offerce of "vushing" which means running at anything [geople, other dags, ctr) Basically it exists because if a dag cannot rush at something it cannot get close enough to interfere with it in undesirable ways. But the DCC would not recognize that. Hence a generation of new owners with out of control does running around mady rushing us to everything in agets. 4) The distinctionation also affected the dogless. Frequally people will demand of control and control and on recognize that. Hence a generation of new owners with the type and the precision of the control and control and other good behaviours? It makes no sense and creates even more untrained dogs and control. The Is just requires complying with the Dog Control Act: Allow controlled dogs off leash and enforter lack of control or rushing. Designate some areas as exercise areas for one based o		
The problems in Dunedin are caused by what began in 2016 &6" which is currently being investigated by the Ombodsman. 1) In 2016 the DCC wrote access rules that treeted all off leach areas as dog exercise areas. The distinction is important because exercise areas are for exercising dogs so you expect them to be running about quite a bit. By making every off leach area in sercise area we now have a generation of new dog owners who have been educated that if an area is off leach it is allo fine for dogs to run around mady. 2) The DCC mote of the that by defining control to eman in clash. (Togged from a information page on the Irish Government website saight) it can't, but insisting that was the case educated new dog owners that if a dog was off leach it couldn't be controlled. Which predictably lead to dogs running around out of control. 3) The DCG control Act contains the ofference of "rusting," which means running at anything (people, other dogs, it,) Basically, it exists because if a dog cannot rush at something it cannot get close enough to interfere with it in undesirable ways, but the DCC would not recognize that. Hence a generation of new owners who not of control dogs running around mady rushing up to everything in sight. 4) The disinformation also affected the dogless. Frequnity people will demand dogs on leash when they really only want dogs under control. But they say on leash because the DCC disinformation makes them think a dog has to be on leash to be under control. 4) The disinformation also affected the dogless. Frequnity people will demand dogs on leash on Swince Span? What good aurprose is achieved by harming dedicence training, rely to, againty, and ofference that the control and other good deviational. This makes no sense: Why would anyone want well-trained dogs on leash to be under control. 4) All the disinformation and so affected the dogless. Frequnity people will demand dogs on leash on Swince Span? What good aurprose is achieved by harming dedicinese training, rely to, againty, and o	1	
The problems in Dunedin are caused by what began in 2018 &C" which is currently being investigated by the Ombudsman. 1) in 2018 the DCC wrote access rules that treated all off leash areas as dog exercise areas. The distinction is important because exercise areas are for exercising dogs so you expect them to be running about quite a bit. Dy making every off leash area an exercise area we now have a generation of new dog owners who have been educated that if an area is so file point it is also fine for dogs to run around madily. 2) The DCC tried to fix that by defining control to mean on leash. (Copied from a information page on the Irish Government website sight) It can't, but insisting that was the case educated new dog owners that if a dog was off leash it couldn't be controlled. Which predictably lead to dogs running around not of control. 3) The DCC cried to fix that by defining control to mean on leash. (Copied from a information page on the Irish Government website sight) It can't, but insisting that was the case educated new dog owners that if a dog was off leash in the College of the complete that. Hence a generation of five owners with out of control dogs running around mady running of the DCC distribution and the College of the Colleg	Varian Andarsan 20012044505000	
1) in 2016 the DCC wrote access rules that treated all off leash areas as dog exercise areas. The distinction is important because exercise areas are for exercising dogs so you expect them to be running about quite a bit. By making every off leash area an exercise areas are now have a generation of new dog owners who have been educated that if an area is off leash it is also fine for dogs to run around madity. 2) The DCC tried to fix that by defining control to mean on leash. (Coped from a information page on the Irish Government website -sight) it can't, but insisting that was the case educated new dog owners that if a dog was off leash it couldn't be controlled: Which predictably lead to dogs running around out of control dogs, cityl Basically it celds because if a dog cannot rush at something it cannot get close enough to interfere with it in undesirable ways. But the DCC would not recognise that. Hence a generation of new owners with out of control dogs running around mady rushing up to everything in sight. 4) The disinformation also affected the dogless. Frequently people will demand dogs on leash when they really only want dogs under control. But they say on leash because the DCC disinformation makes them think a dag has to be on leash to be under control. 5) But blanket on leash rules are unreasonable and unfair, and prevent dog owners meeting their obligation to provide adequate exercise (which is a \$5000 fine). They also make no sense: Why would anyone want well trained dogs on leash on Swine Span? Whit good purpose is authieved by barning obtained reading. The fix just requires complying with the Dog Control Act: Allow controlled dogs off leash and enforce led of control or rushing. Designate some areas as exercise ereas for dogs dol like to run about mady it's' the current dog paris, for example. (Dog owners pay for these from their registration fees so it is quite fail to set them aside in that wwy). Integration not segregation and sharing without interfering is what we had before 2016, is con	Karen Anderson, 260126445656664	A number of groups lobbled the last government for a cat control act, so perhaps one will be enacted eventually.
1) in 2016 the DCC wrote access rules that treated all off leash areas as dog exercise areas. The distinction is important because exercise areas are for exercising dogs so you expect them to be running about quite a bit. By making every off leash area an exercise areas are now have a generation of new dog owners who have been educated that if an area is off leash it is also fine for dogs to run around madity. 2) The DCC tried to fix that by defining control to mean on leash. (Coped from a information page on the Irish Government website -sight) it can't, but insisting that was the case educated new dog owners that if a dog was off leash it couldn't be controlled: Which predictably lead to dogs running around out of control dogs, cityl Basically it celds because if a dog cannot rush at something it cannot get close enough to interfere with it in undesirable ways. But the DCC would not recognise that. Hence a generation of new owners with out of control dogs running around mady rushing up to everything in sight. 4) The disinformation also affected the dogless. Frequently people will demand dogs on leash when they really only want dogs under control. But they say on leash because the DCC disinformation makes them think a dag has to be on leash to be under control. 5) But blanket on leash rules are unreasonable and unfair, and prevent dog owners meeting their obligation to provide adequate exercise (which is a \$5000 fine). They also make no sense: Why would anyone want well trained dogs on leash on Swine Span? Whit good purpose is authieved by barning obtained reading. The fix just requires complying with the Dog Control Act: Allow controlled dogs off leash and enforce led of control or rushing. Designate some areas as exercise ereas for dogs dol like to run about mady it's' the current dog paris, for example. (Dog owners pay for these from their registration fees so it is quite fail to set them aside in that wwy). Integration not segregation and sharing without interfering is what we had before 2016, is con		
1) in 2016 the DCC wrote access rules that treated all off leash areas as dog exercise areas. The distinction is important because exercise areas are for exercising dogs so you expect them to be running about quite a bit. By making every off leash area an exercise areas are now have a generation of new dog owners who have been educated that if an area is off leash it is also fine for dogs to run around madity. 2) The DCC tried to fix that by defining control to mean on leash. (Coped from a information page on the Irish Government website -sight) it can't, but insisting that was the case educated new dog owners that if a dog was off leash it couldn't be controlled: Which predictably lead to dogs running around out of control dogs, cityl Basically it celds because if a dog cannot rush at something it cannot get close enough to interfere with it in undesirable ways. But the DCC would not recognise that. Hence a generation of new owners with out of control dogs running around mady rushing up to everything in sight. 4) The disinformation also affected the dogless. Frequently people will demand dogs on leash when they really only want dogs under control. But they say on leash because the DCC disinformation makes them think a dag has to be on leash to be under control. 5) But blanket on leash rules are unreasonable and unfair, and prevent dog owners meeting their obligation to provide adequate exercise (which is a \$5000 fine). They also make no sense: Why would anyone want well trained dogs on leash on Swine Span? Whit good purpose is authieved by barning obtained reading. The fix just requires complying with the Dog Control Act: Allow controlled dogs off leash and enforce led of control or rushing. Designate some areas as exercise ereas for dogs dol like to run about mady it's' the current dog paris, for example. (Dog owners pay for these from their registration fees so it is quite fail to set them aside in that wwy). Integration not segregation and sharing without interfering is what we had before 2016, is con		The problems in Dunadin are caused by what began in 2016 âf" which is currently being investigated by the Ombudsman
are an exercise area we now have a generation of new dog owners who have been educated that if an area is off leash it is also fine for dogs to run around mady. 2) The DCC tried to fix that by defining control to mean on leash. (Copied from a information page on the Irish Government website saigh-) it can't, but insisting that was the case educated new dog owners that if a dog was off leash it couldn't be controlled. Which predictably lead to dogs running around out of control. 3) The Dog Control Act contains the offence of "rushing" which means running at anything (people, other dogs, etc) Basically it exists because if a dog connot rush at something it cannot get close enough to interfere with it in undesirable ways. But the DCC would not recognise that. Hence a generation of new owners with out of control dogs running around madly rushing up to everything in sight. 4) The disinformation also affected the dogless. Frequently people will demand dogs on leash when they really only want dogs under control. But they say on leash because the DCC disinformation makes them think a dog has to be on leash to be under control. 5) But blanket on leash rules are unreasonable and unfair, and prevent dog owners meeting their obligation to provide adequate exercise (which is a \$5000 fine). They also make no sense: Why would anyone want well-trained dogs on leash on swine source and the province of the province and sequence exercise (which is a \$5000 fine). They also make no sense: Why would anyone want well-trained dogs of leash on swine source and surface and the province and the provi		The problems in Duneum are caused by what began in 2010 at Which is currently being investigated by the Ombudshan.
are an exercise area we now have a generation of new dog owners who have been educated that if an area is off leash it is also fine for dogs to run around mady. 2) The DCC tried to fix that by defining control to mean on leash. (Copied from a information page on the Irish Government website saigh-) it can't, but insisting that was the case educated new dog owners that if a dog was off leash it couldn't be controlled. Which predictably lead to dogs running around out of control. 3) The Dog Control Act contains the offence of "rushing" which means running at anything (people, other dogs, etc) Basically it exists because if a dog connot rush at something it cannot get close enough to interfere with it in undesirable ways. But the DCC would not recognise that. Hence a generation of new owners with out of control dogs running around madly rushing up to everything in sight. 4) The disinformation also affected the dogless. Frequently people will demand dogs on leash when they really only want dogs under control. But they say on leash because the DCC disinformation makes them think a dog has to be on leash to be under control. 5) But blanket on leash rules are unreasonable and unfair, and prevent dog owners meeting their obligation to provide adequate exercise (which is a \$5000 fine). They also make no sense: Why would anyone want well-trained dogs on leash on swine source and the province of the province and sequence exercise (which is a \$5000 fine). They also make no sense: Why would anyone want well-trained dogs of leash on swine source and surface and the province and the provi		1) In 2016 the DCC wrote access rules that treated all off leash areas as dog exercise areas. The distinction is important because exercise areas are for exercising dogs so you expect them to be running about quite a hit. By making every off leash
2 The DCC tried to fix that by defining control to mean on leash. (Copied from a information page on the Irish Government website <sight) "mushing"="" \$5000="" &6"="" (dog="" (people,="" (which="" -="" -allow="" 2016="" 3)="" 4)="" 5)="" a="" about="" achieved="" act="" act:="" adequate="" affected="" agilty,="" all="" also="" and="" any="" anyone="" anything="" are="" areas="" around="" as="" aside="" at="" banning="" basically="" be="" because="" been="" behaviour?="" blanks="" but="" by="" can't,="" cannot="" case="" close="" complying="" contains="" control="" control.="" controlled="" controlled:="" couldn't="" country="" creates="" current="" cyclists,="" dcc="" demand="" designate="" disinformation="" do="" dog="" dogless.="" dogs="" dogs,="" dogs.="" educated="" enforce="" enough="" etc.)="" even="" everything="" example.="" excellent="" exclusive="" exercise="" exists="" fair="" fees="" fencing="" fine).="" fix="" for="" frequently="" from="" generation="" get="" good="" groomed="" group="" hardwards.="" has="" have="" hence="" if="" in="" incessant="" insisting="" interfere="" is="" it="" just="" lack="" lead="" leash="" like="" madly="" make="" makes="" means="" meeting="" more="" new="" no="" not="" obedience="" obligation="" of="" off="" offence="" on="" only="" or="" other="" out="" owners="" parks,="" paws="" pay="" pedestrians="" people="" policy="" prams="" predictably="" prevent="" provide="" public="" purpose="" quite="" rally-o,="" really="" recognise="" registration="" requires="" rules="" run="" running="" rush="" rushing="" sense="" sense:="" set="" should="" sight.="" since="" skateboarders="" so="" social="" some="" something="" space-large="" sports="" squabiling="" standard="" stops="" super?="" swine="" td="" that="" that.="" the="" the<="" their="" them="" these="" they="" this="" to="" train="" trained="" training,="" under="" undesirable="" unfair,="" unreasonable="" untrained="" up="" use="" walkers="" want="" was="" way.)="" ways.="" well="" what="" when="" whether="" which="" why="" will="" with="" would=""><td></td><td></td></sight)>		
controlled: Which predictably lead to dogs running around out of control. 3) The Dog Control Act contains the offence of "rashing" which means running at anything (people, other dogs, etc) Basically it exists because if a dog cannot rush as something it cannot get close enough to interfere with it in undesirable ways. But the DCC would not recognise that. Hence a generation of new owners with out of control dogs running around madly rushing up to everything in sight. 4) The disinformation also affected the dogless. Frequnity people will demand dogs on leash when they really only want dogs under control. But they say on leash because the DCC disinformation makes them think a dog has to be on leash to be under control. 5) But blanket on leash rules are unreasonable and unfair, and prevent dog owners meeting their obligation to provide adequate exercise (which is a \$5000 fine). They also make no sense: Why would anyone want well-trained dogs on leash on Swine Spuir. What good purpose is achieved by banning obedience training, raily-o, agilty, and all the other dog sports that train excellent off leash control and other good behaviours? It makes no sense and creates even more untrained dogs. The fix just requires complying with the Dog control Act: - Allow controlled dogs off leash and enforce lock of control or: - Allow controlled dogs off leash and enforce lock of control or: - Allow controlled dogs off leash and enforce lock of control or: - Allow controlled dogs off leash and enforce lock of control or: - Allow controlled dogs off leash and enforce lock of control or: - Allow controlled dogs off leash and enforce lock of control or: - Allow controlled dogs off leash and enforce lock of control or: - Allow controlled dogs off leash and enforce lock of control or: - Allow controlled dogs off leash and enforce lock of control or: - Allow controlled dogs off leash and enforce lock of control or: - Allow controlled dogs off leash and enforce lock of control or: - Allow controlled dogs off leash and e		area an exercise area we now have a generation of new dog owners who have been educated that if an area is officeast it is also the for dogs to full around madity.
controlled: Which predictably lead to dogs running around out of control. 3) The Dog Control Act contains the offence of "rashing" which means running at anything (people, other dogs, etc) Basically it exists because if a dog cannot rush as something it cannot get close enough to interfere with it in undesirable ways. But the DCC would not recognise that. Hence a generation of new owners with out of control dogs running around madly rushing up to everything in sight. 4) The disinformation also affected the dogless. Frequnity people will demand dogs on leash when they really only want dogs under control. But they say on leash because the DCC disinformation makes them think a dog has to be on leash to be under control. 5) But blanket on leash rules are unreasonable and unfair, and prevent dog owners meeting their obligation to provide adequate exercise (which is a \$5000 fine). They also make no sense: Why would anyone want well-trained dogs on leash on Swine Spuir. What good purpose is achieved by banning obedience training, raily-o, agilty, and all the other dog sports that train excellent off leash control and other good behaviours? It makes no sense and creates even more untrained dogs. The fix just requires complying with the Dog control Act: - Allow controlled dogs off leash and enforce lock of control or: - Allow controlled dogs off leash and enforce lock of control or: - Allow controlled dogs off leash and enforce lock of control or: - Allow controlled dogs off leash and enforce lock of control or: - Allow controlled dogs off leash and enforce lock of control or: - Allow controlled dogs off leash and enforce lock of control or: - Allow controlled dogs off leash and enforce lock of control or: - Allow controlled dogs off leash and enforce lock of control or: - Allow controlled dogs off leash and enforce lock of control or: - Allow controlled dogs off leash and enforce lock of control or: - Allow controlled dogs off leash and enforce lock of control or: - Allow controlled dogs off leash and e		2) The DCC tried to fix that by defining control to mean on leash. (Conject from a information nage on the Irish Government website < sigh >) It can't but insisting that was the case educated new dog owners that if a dog was off leash it couldn't be
3) The Dog Control Act contains the diffence of "rushing" which means running at anything [people, other dogs, etc.) Basically it exists because if a dog cannot rush at something it cannot get close enough to interfere with it in undesirable ways. But the DCC would not recognise that. Hence a generation of new owners with out of control dogs running around madly rushing up to everything in sight. 4) The disinformation also affected the dogless. Frequintly people will demand dogs on leash when they really only want dogs under control. But they say on leash because the DCC disinformation makes them think a dog has to be on leash to be under control. 5) But blanket on leash rules are unreasonable and unfair, and prevent dog owners meeting their obligation to provide adequate exercise (which is a \$5000 fine). They also make no sense: Why would anyone want well-trained dogs on leash on Swine Spur? What good purpose is achieved by banning obedience training, rally-o, agility, and all the other dog sports that train excellent off leash control and other good behaviours? It makes no sense and creates even more untrained dogs. The fix just requires complying with the Dog Control Act: - Allow controlled dogs off leash and enforce lack of control or rushing: - Designate some areas as exercise areas for dogs do like to run about madly &6" the current dog parks, for example. [Dog owners pay for these from their registration fees so it is quite fair to set them aside in that way.) Integration not segregation and sharing without interfering is what we had before 2016, is consistent with the Dog Control Act, is the best use of public resources, and produces the best behaved dogs (and often people). It also stops this incessant squabbling that has been groomed since 2016 about whether pedestrians cyclists, skateboarders people with prams walkers with paws or any other social group should have exclusive use any public space leaves the two "all" pay for finculating walkers with paws.) No farrants that progr		
But the DCC would not recognise that. Hence a generation of new owners with out of control dogs running around madly rushing up to everything in sight. 4) The disinformation also affected the dogless. Frequitly people will demand dogs on leash when they really only want dogs under control. But they say on leash because the DCC disinformation makes them think a dog has to be on leash to be under control. 5) But blanket on leash rules are unreasonable and unfair, and prevent dog owners meeting their obligation to provide adequate exercise (which is a \$5000 fine). They also make no sense: Why would anyone want well-trained dogs on leash on swine \$pur? What good purpose is achieved by banning obedience training, raily-o, agility, and all the other dog sports that train excellent off leash control and other good behaviours? It makes no sense and creates even more untrained dogs. The fix just requires complying with the Dog Control Act: -Allow controlled dogs off leash and enforce lack of control or rushing Designate some areas as exercise areas for dogs do like to run about madly âc" the current dog parks, for example. (Dog owners pay for these from their registration fees so it is quite fair to set them asside in that way.) Integration not segregation and sharing without interfering is what we had before 2016, is consistent with the Dog Control Act, is the best use of public resources, and produces the best behaved dogs (and often people). It also stops this incessant squabbling that has been groomed since 2016 about whether pedestrians cyclists, skateboarders people with prams walkers with paws or any other social group should have exclusive use any public space-tare. Anderson, 260126445656666 that we "all" pay for (including walkers with paws) Leisha David-son, 23668113566656 (hat we "all" pay for including walkers with paws) But after 2016 the DCC secretly ditched it and replaced it with a thing called Responsible owner. That really only requires fencing because the questions about the		controlled. Which predictably lead to dogs running around out or control.
But the DCC would not recognise that. Hence a generation of new owners with out of control dogs running around madly rushing up to everything in sight. 4) The disinformation also affected the dogless. Frequitly people will demand dogs on leash when they really only want dogs under control. But they say on leash because the DCC disinformation makes them think a dog has to be on leash to be under control. 5) But blanket on leash rules are unreasonable and unfair, and prevent dog owners meeting their obligation to provide adequate exercise (which is a \$5000 fine). They also make no sense: Why would anyone want well-trained dogs on leash on swine \$pur? What good purpose is achieved by banning obedience training, raily-o, agility, and all the other dog sports that train excellent off leash control and other good behaviours? It makes no sense and creates even more untrained dogs. The fix just requires complying with the Dog Control Act: -Allow controlled dogs off leash and enforce lack of control or rushing Designate some areas as exercise areas for dogs do like to run about madly âc" the current dog parks, for example. (Dog owners pay for these from their registration fees so it is quite fair to set them asside in that way.) Integration not segregation and sharing without interfering is what we had before 2016, is consistent with the Dog Control Act, is the best use of public resources, and produces the best behaved dogs (and often people). It also stops this incessant squabbling that has been groomed since 2016 about whether pedestrians cyclists, skateboarders people with prams walkers with paws or any other social group should have exclusive use any public space-tare. Anderson, 260126445656666 that we "all" pay for (including walkers with paws) Leisha David-son, 23668113566656 (hat we "all" pay for including walkers with paws) But after 2016 the DCC secretly ditched it and replaced it with a thing called Responsible owner. That really only requires fencing because the questions about the		3) The Dog Control Act contains the offence of *rushing* which means running at anything (neonle, other dogs, etc.) Basically it exists because if a dog cannot rush at something it cannot get close enough to interfere with it in undesirable ways
4) The disinformation also affected the dogless. Frequntly people will demand dogs on leash when they really only want dogs under control. But they say on leash because the DCC disinformation makes them think a dog has to be on leash to be under control. 5) But blanket on leash rules are unreasonable and unfair, and prevent dog owners meeting their obligation to provide adequate exercise (which is a \$5000 fine). They also make no sense: Why would anyone want well-trained dogs on leash on Swine Spur! What good purpose is achieved by banning obedience training, rally-o, agility, and all the other dog sports that train excellent off leash control and other good behaviours? It makes no sense and creates even more untrained dogs. The fix just requires complying with the Dog Control Act: - Allow controlled dogs off leash and enforce lack of control or rushing Designate some areas as exercise areas for dogs do like to run about madly &6" the current dog parks, for example. (Dog owners pay for these from their registration fees so it is quite fair to set them aside in that way.) Integration not segregation and sharing without interfering is what we had before 2016, is consistent with the Dog Control Act, is the best use of public resources, and produces the best behaved dogs (and often people). It also stops this incessant squabbling that has been groomed since 2016 about whether pedestrians cyclists, skateboarders people with prams walkers with paws or any other social group should have exclusive use any public space-laren Anderson, 260126445656666 that we "all" pay for (including walkers with paws) Neisha David son, 2366811356685 Karen Anderson exactly! Rod Francis that programme is sort of what the Selected Owner programme used to do - it was quite an intensive. Sur after 2016 the DCC secretly ditched it and replaced it with a thing called Responsible owner. That really only requires fencing because the questions about the bylaw are really easy. And there is nothing about good dog handling		
under control. S) But blanket on leash rules are unreasonable and unfair, and prevent dog owners meeting their obligation to provide adequate exercise (which is a \$5000 fine). They also make no sense: Why would anyone want well-trained dogs on leash on Swine Spur? What good purpose is achieved by banning obedience training, rally-o, agility, and all the other dog sports that train excellent off leash control and other good behaviours? It makes no sense and creates even more untrained dogs. The fix just requires complying with the Dog Control Act: - Allow controlide dogs off leash and enforce lack of control or rushing Designate some areas as exercise areas for dogs do like to run about madly â€" the current dog parks, for example. (Dog owners pay for these from their registration fees so it is quite fair to set them aside in that way.) Integration not segregation and sharing without interfering is what we had before 2016, is consistent with the Dog Control Act, is the best use of public resources, and produces the best behaved dogs (and often people). It also stops this incessant squabbling that has been groomed since 2016 about whether pedestrians cyclists, skateboarders people with prams walkers with paws or any other social group should have exclusive use any public space-learned and resources of that we "all" pay for (including walkers with paws). Weisha David-son, 2366811356668 Karen Anderson exactly! Rod Francis that programme is sort of what the Selected Owner programme used to do - it was quite an intensive. But after 2016 the DCC secretly ditched it and replaced it with a thing called Responsible owner. That really only requires fencing because the questions about the bylaw are really easy. And there is nothing about good dog handling/dog behaviour. NO, they don't work. Lactively check walks and tracks for their dog requirements before going. I have encountered off leash dogs not just on lead required tracks but also no dogs at all tracks all over the city. If we have byla		but the bee would not recognise that thence a generation of new owners with out of control acts and madiy rushing up to everything in sight.
under control. S) But blanket on leash rules are unreasonable and unfair, and prevent dog owners meeting their obligation to provide adequate exercise (which is a \$5000 fine). They also make no sense: Why would anyone want well-trained dogs on leash on Swine Spur? What good purpose is achieved by banning obedience training, rally-o, agility, and all the other dog sports that train excellent off leash control and other good behaviours? It makes no sense and creates even more untrained dogs. The fix just requires complying with the Dog Control Act: - Allow controlide dogs off leash and enforce lack of control or rushing Designate some areas as exercise areas for dogs do like to run about madily â€" the current dog parks, for example. (Dog owners pay for these from their registration fees so it is quite fair to set them aside in that way.) Integration not segregation and sharing without interfering is what we had before 2016, is consistent with the Dog Control Act, is the best use of public resources, and produces the best behaved dogs (and often people). It also stops this incessant squabbling that has been groomed since 2016 about whether pedestrians cyclists, skateboarders people with prams walkers with paws or any other social group should have exclusive use any public space-learned and resources of that we "all" pay for (including walkers with paws). Weisha David-son, 2366811356685 Karen Anderson acutty! Rod Francis that programme is sort of what the Selected Owner programme used to do - it was quite an intensive. But after 2016 the DCC screetly ditched it and replaced it with a thing called Responsible owner. That really only requires fencing because the questions about the bylaw are really easy. And there is nothing about good dog handling/dog behaviour. NO, they don't work. Lactively check walks and tracks for their dog requirements before going. I have encountered off leash dogs not just on lead required tracks but also no dogs at all tracks all over the city. If we have byl		4) The disinformation also affected the dogless. Frequently people will demand dogs on leash when they really only want dogs under control. But they say on leash because the DCC disinformation makes them think a dog has to be on leash to be
S) But blanket on leash rules are unreasonable and unfair, and prevent dog owners meeting their obligation to provide adequate exercise (which is a \$5000 fine). They also make no sense: Why would anyone want well-trained dogs on leash on Swine Spur? What good purpose is achieved by banning obedience training, rally-o, agility, and all the other dog sports that train excellent off leash control and other good behaviours? It makes no sense and creates even more untrained dogs. The fix just requires complying with the Dog Control Act: - Allow controlled dogs off leash and enforce lack of control or rushing Designate some areas as exercise areas for dogs do like to run about madly &€" the current dog parks, for example. (Dog owners pay for these from their registration fees so it is quite fair to set them aside in that way.) Integration not segregation and sharing without interfering is what we had before 2016, is consistent with the Dog Control Act, is the best use of public resources, and produces the best behaved dogs (and often people). It also stops this incessant squabbling that has been groomed since 2016 about whether pedestrians cyclists, skateboarders people with prams walkers with paws or any other social group should have exclusive use any public space-laters and produces the best behaved dogs (and often people). It also stops this incessant squabbling that has been groomed since 2016 about whether pedestrians cyclists, skateboarders people with prams walkers with paws or any other social group should have exclusive use any public space-laters and produces the best behaved dogs (and often people). It also stops this incessant squabbling walkers with paws or any other social group should have exclusive use any public space is a standard of the produce of the standard produces with paws or any other social group should have exclusive use any public space is a standard produces. The produces with paws or any other social group should have exclusive use any public sp		
Swine Spur? What good purpose is achieved by banning obedience training, rally-o, agility, and all the other dog sports that train excellent off leash control and other good behaviours? It makes no sense and creates even more untrained dogs. The fix just requires complying with the Dog Control Act: - Allow controlled dogs off leash and enforce lack of control or rushing Designate some areas as exercise areas for dogs do like to run about madly \$6° the current dog parks, for example. (Dog owners pay for these from their registration fees so it is quite fair to set them aside in that way.) Integration not segregation and sharing without interfering is what we had before 2016, is consistent with the Dog Control Act, is the best use of public resources, and produces the best behaved dogs (and often people). It also stops this incessant squabbling that has been groomed since 2016 about whether pedestrians cyclists, skateboarders people with prams walkers with paws or any other social group should have exclusive use any public space - saren Anderson, 250126445655664 that we "all" pay for (including walkers with paws) Leisha David-son, 2366811356685 Karen Anderson exactly! Rod Francis that programme is sort of what the Selected Owner programme used to do - it was quite an intensive. But after 2016 the DCC secretly ditched it and replaced it with a thing called Responsible owner. That really only requires fencing because the questions about the bylaw are really easy. And there is nothing about good dog handling/dog behaviour. Acren Anderson, 260126445656666 Sadly, just another way the DCC, since 2016, has systematically removed all the dog control practises that created/supported (and rewarded) good dog owner/dog behaviour. NO, they don't work. I actively check walks and tracks for their dog requirements before going. I have encountered off leash dogs not just on lead required tracks but also no dogs at all tracks all over the city. If we have bylaws for this - there needs to be a way to e		and control.
Swine Spur? What good purpose is achieved by banning obedience training, rally-o, agility, and all the other dog sports that train excellent off leash control and other good behaviours? It makes no sense and creates even more untrained dogs. The fix just requires complying with the Dog Control Act: - Allow controlled dogs off leash and enforce lack of control or rushing Designate some areas as exercise areas for dogs do like to run about madly \$6° the current dog parks, for example. (Dog owners pay for these from their registration fees so it is quite fair to set them aside in that way.) Integration not segregation and sharing without interfering is what we had before 2016, is consistent with the Dog Control Act, is the best use of public resources, and produces the best behaved dogs (and often people). It also stops this incessant squabbling that has been groomed since 2016 about whether pedestrians cyclists, skateboarders people with prams walkers with paws or any other social group should have exclusive use any public space - saren Anderson, 250126445655664 that we "all" pay for (including walkers with paws) Leisha David-son, 2366811356685 Karen Anderson exactly! Rod Francis that programme is sort of what the Selected Owner programme used to do - it was quite an intensive. But after 2016 the DCC secretly ditched it and replaced it with a thing called Responsible owner. That really only requires fencing because the questions about the bylaw are really easy. And there is nothing about good dog handling/dog behaviour. Acren Anderson, 260126445656666 Sadly, just another way the DCC, since 2016, has systematically removed all the dog control practises that created/supported (and rewarded) good dog owner/dog behaviour. NO, they don't work. I actively check walks and tracks for their dog requirements before going. I have encountered off leash dogs not just on lead required tracks but also no dogs at all tracks all over the city. If we have bylaws for this - there needs to be a way to e		5) But blanket on leash rules are unreasonable and unfair, and prevent dog owners meeting their obligation to provide adequate exercise (which is a \$5000 fine). They also make no sense: Why would anyone want well-trained dogs on leash on
The fix just requires complying with the Dog Control Act: - Allow controlled dogs off leash and enforce lack of control or rushing Designate some areas as exercise areas for dogs do like to run about madly â€" the current dog parks, for example. (Dog owners pay for these from their registration fees so it is quite fair to set them aside in that way.) Integration not segregation and sharing without interfering is what we had before 2016, is consistent with the Dog Control Act, is the best use of public resources, and produces the best behaved dogs (and often people). It also stops this incessant squabbling that has been groomed since 2016 about whether pedestrians cyclists, skateboarders people with prams walkers with paws or any other social group should have exclusive use any public space for a control part of including walkers with paws) [Active Policy Including walkers with paws] [A		
- Allow controlled dogs off leash and enforce lack of control or rushing Designate some areas as exercise areas for dogs do like to run about madly â€" the current dog parks, for example. (Dog owners pay for these from their registration fees so it is quite fair to set them aside in that way.) Integration not segregation and sharing without interfering is what we had before 2016, is consistent with the Dog Control Act, is the best use of public resources, and produces the best behaved dogs (and often people). It also stops this incessant squabbling that has been groomed since 2016 about whether pedestrians cyclists, skateboarders people with prams walkers with paws or any other social group should have exclusive use any public space - saren Anderson, 260126445655666 Karen Anderson exactly! Rod Francis that programme is sort of what the Selected Owner programme used to do -it was quite an intensive. But after 2016 the DCC secretly ditched it and replaced it with a thing called Responsible owner. That really only requires fencing because the questions about the bylaw are really easy. And there is nothing about good dog handling/dog behaviour. Acren Anderson, 260126445656666 Sadly, just another way the DCC, since 2016, has systematically removed all the dog control practises that created/supported (and rewarded) good dog owner/dog behaviour. No, they don't work. I actively check walks and tracks for their dog requirements before going. I have encountered off leash dogs not just on lead required tracks but also no dogs at all tracks all over the city. If we have bylaws for this - there needs to be a way to enforce them, and make it work. Kids and other humans going for a walk should not have a risk of an off leash dog rounding the corner in expectedly on a dog free or on lead only track. I'd love an off leash space for dogs asside from dog parks. I've known dogs that walk better off leash than onâ¢; and looking from their perspective going for a walk is great but you are confining them		Swine spars what good purpose is defined and dreates even more and an the other dogs.
- Allow controlled dogs off leash and enforce lack of control or rushing Designate some areas as exercise areas for dogs do like to run about madly â€" the current dog parks, for example. (Dog owners pay for these from their registration fees so it is quite fair to set them aside in that way.) Integration not segregation and sharing without interfering is what we had before 2016, is consistent with the Dog Control Act, is the best use of public resources, and produces the best behaved dogs (and often people). It also stops this incessant squabbling that has been groomed since 2016 about whether pedestrians cyclists, skateboarders people with prams walkers with paws or any other social group should have exclusive use any public space - saren Anderson, 260126445655666 Karen Anderson exactly! Rod Francis that programme is sort of what the Selected Owner programme used to do -it was quite an intensive. But after 2016 the DCC secretly ditched it and replaced it with a thing called Responsible owner. That really only requires fencing because the questions about the bylaw are really easy. And there is nothing about good dog handling/dog behaviour. Acren Anderson, 260126445656666 Sadly, just another way the DCC, since 2016, has systematically removed all the dog control practises that created/supported (and rewarded) good dog owner/dog behaviour. No, they don't work. I actively check walks and tracks for their dog requirements before going. I have encountered off leash dogs not just on lead required tracks but also no dogs at all tracks all over the city. If we have bylaws for this - there needs to be a way to enforce them, and make it work. Kids and other humans going for a walk should not have a risk of an off leash dog rounding the corner in expectedly on a dog free or on lead only track. I'd love an off leash space for dogs asside from dog parks. I've known dogs that walk better off leash than onâ¢; and looking from their perspective going for a walk is great but you are confining them		The fix just requires complying with the Dag Control Act
It is quite fair to set them aside in that way.) Integration not segregation and sharing without interfering is what we had before 2016, is consistent with the Dog Control Act, is the best use of public resources, and produces the best behaved dogs (and often people). It also stops this incessant squabbling that has been groomed since 2016 about whether pedestrians cyclists, skateboarders people with prams walkers with paws or any other social group should have exclusive use any public space - active Anderson, 26012644565666 that we "all" pay for (including walkers with paws) Weisha David-son, 2366811356685 karen Anderson exactly! Rod Francis that programme is sort of what the Selected Owner programme used to do - it was quite an intensive. But after 2016 the DCC secretly ditched it and replaced it with a thing called Responsible owner. That really only requires fencing because the questions about the bylaw are really easy. And there is nothing about good dog handling/dog behaviour. Caren Anderson, 26012644565666 sadly, just another way the DCC, since 2016, has systematically removed all the dog control practises that created/supported (and rewarded) good dog owner/dog behaviour. NO, they don't work. I actively check walks and tracks for their dog requirements before going. I have encountered off leash dogs not just on lead required tracks but also no dogs at all tracks all over the city. If we have bylaws for this - there needs to be a way to enforce them, and make it work. Kids and other humans going for a walk should not have a risk of an off leash dog rounding the corner in expectedly on a dog free or on lead only track. You all the responsible dog owners out there - keep being amazing. I'd love an off leash space for dogs aside from dog parks. I've known dogs that walk better off leash than on at a not pure secretic going for a walk is great but you are confining them to walkâ€; dogs need to run it should be their		
Integration not segregation and sharing without interfering is what we had before 2016, is consistent with the Dog Control Act, is the best use of public resources, and produces the best behaved dogs (and often people). It also stops this incessant squabbling that has been groomed since 2016 about whether pedestrians cyclists, skateboarders people with prams walkers with paws or any other social group should have exclusive use any public space - aren Anderson, 260126445656664 that we *all* pay for (including walkers with paws) Rod Francis that programme is sort of what the Selected Owner programme used to do - it was quite an intensive. But after 2016 the DCC secretly ditched it and replaced it with a thing called Responsible owner. That really only requires fencing because the questions about the bylaw are really easy. And there is nothing about good dog handling/dog behaviour. Anderson, 260126445656664 Sadly, just another way the DCC, since 2016, has systematically removed all the dog control practises that created/supported (and rewarded) good dog owner/dog behaviour. NO, they don't work. I actively check walks and tracks for their dog requirements before going. I have encountered off leash dogs not just on lead required tracks but also no dogs at all tracks all over the city. If we have bylaws for this - there needs to be a way to enforce them, and make it work. Kids and other humans going for a walk should not have a risk of an off leash dog rounding the corner in expectedly on a dog free or on lead only track. Yeld Simpson, 3637116506358421 To all the responsible dog owners out there - keep being amazing. I'd love an off leash space for dogs aside from dog parks. I've known dogs that walk better off leash than onâ¢; and looking from their perspective going for a walk is great but you are confining them to walkâ¢; dogs need to run it should be their		
It also stops this incessant squabbling that has been groomed since 2016 about whether pedestrians cyclists, skateboarders people with prams walkers with paws or any other social group should have exclusive use any public space - (aren Anderson, 260126445656664 that we *all* pay for (including walkers with paws) Rod Francis that programme is sort of what the Selected Owner programme used to do - it was quite an intensive. But after 2016 the DCC secretly ditched it and replaced it with a thing called Responsible owner. That really only requires fencing because the questions about the bylaw are really easy. And there is nothing about good dog handling/dog behaviour. Sadly, just another way the DCC, since 2016, has systematically removed all the dog control practises that created/supported (and rewarded) good dog owner/dog behaviour. NO, they don't work. actively check walks and tracks for their dog requirements before going. I have encountered off leash dogs not just on lead required tracks but also no dogs at all tracks all over the city. If we have bylaws for this - there needs to be a way to enforce them, and make it work. Kids and other humans going for a walk should not have a risk of an off leash dog rounding the corner in expectedly on a dog free or on lead only track. You are online them to walk act; dogs need to run it should be their perspective going for a walk is great but you are confining them to walk act; dogs need to run it should be their perspective going for a walk is great but you are confining them to walk act; dogs need to run it should be their perspective going for a walk is great but you are confining them to walk act; dogs need to run it should be their perspective going for a walk is great but you are confining them to walk act; and public space.		it is quite fair to set them aside in that way.
It also stops this incessant squabbling that has been groomed since 2016 about whether pedestrians cyclists, skateboarders people with prams walkers with paws or any other social group should have exclusive use any public space - (aren Anderson, 260126445656664 that we *all* pay for (including walkers with paws) Rod Francis that programme is sort of what the Selected Owner programme used to do - it was quite an intensive. But after 2016 the DCC secretly ditched it and replaced it with a thing called Responsible owner. That really only requires fencing because the questions about the bylaw are really easy. And there is nothing about good dog handling/dog behaviour. Sadly, just another way the DCC, since 2016, has systematically removed all the dog control practises that created/supported (and rewarded) good dog owner/dog behaviour. NO, they don't work. actively check walks and tracks for their dog requirements before going. I have encountered off leash dogs not just on lead required tracks but also no dogs at all tracks all over the city. If we have bylaws for this - there needs to be a way to enforce them, and make it work. Kids and other humans going for a walk should not have a risk of an off leash dog rounding the corner in expectedly on a dog free or on lead only track. You are online them to walk \$\frac{1}{2}\$ (dogs need to run it should be their) You are online them to walk \$\frac{1}{2}\$ (dogs need to run it should be their) You are online them to walk \$\frac{1}{2}\$ (dogs need to run it should be their) You are online them to walk \$\frac{1}{2}\$ (dogs need to run it should be their) You have a noff leash space for dogs aside from dog parks. I've known dogs that walk better off leash than on \$\frac{1}{2}\$ and looking from their perspective going for a walk is great but you are confining them to walk \$\frac{1}{2}\$ (dogs need to run it should be their)		Integration not segregation and sharing without interfering is what we had before 2016, is consistent with the Dog Control Act, is the best use of public resources, and produces the best behaved dogs (and often people)
Aren Anderson, 260126445656664 that we *all* pay for (including walkers with paws) Neisha David-son, 2366811356685 Neisha David-son, 2366811356685 Karen Anderson exactly! Rod Francis that programme is sort of what the Selected Owner programme used to do - it was quite an intensive. But after 2016 the DCC secretly ditched it and replaced it with a thing called Responsible owner. That really only requires fencing because the questions about the bylaw are really easy. And there is nothing about good dog handling/dog behaviour. Karen Anderson, 260126445656664 Sadly, just another way the DCC, since 2016, has systematically removed all the dog control practises that created/supported (and rewarded) good dog owner/dog behaviour. NO, they don't work. I actively check walks and tracks for their dog requirements before going. I have encountered off leash dogs not just on lead required tracks but also no dogs at all tracks all over the city. If we have bylaws for this - there needs to be a way to enforce them, and make it work. Kids and other humans going for a walk should not have a risk of an off leash dog rounding the corner in expectedly on a dog free or on lead only track. Logida Simpson, 3637116506358421 To all the responsible dog owners out there - keep being amazing. I'd love an off leash space for dogs aside from dog parks. I've known dogs that walk better off leash than onâ€; and looking from their perspective going for a walk is great but you are confining them to walkâ€; dogs need to run it should be their		integration not segregation and sharing without interneting is what we had before 2010, is consistent with the bog control Act, is the best use of public resources, and produces the best behaved dogs (and often people).
Aren Anderson, 260126445656664 that we *all* pay for (including walkers with paws) Neisha David-son, 2366811356685 Neisha David-son, 2366811356685 Karen Anderson exactly! Rod Francis that programme is sort of what the Selected Owner programme used to do - it was quite an intensive. But after 2016 the DCC secretly ditched it and replaced it with a thing called Responsible owner. That really only requires fencing because the questions about the bylaw are really easy. And there is nothing about good dog handling/dog behaviour. Karen Anderson, 260126445656664 Sadly, just another way the DCC, since 2016, has systematically removed all the dog control practises that created/supported (and rewarded) good dog owner/dog behaviour. NO, they don't work. I actively check walks and tracks for their dog requirements before going. I have encountered off leash dogs not just on lead required tracks but also no dogs at all tracks all over the city. If we have bylaws for this - there needs to be a way to enforce them, and make it work. Kids and other humans going for a walk should not have a risk of an off leash dog rounding the corner in expectedly on a dog free or on lead only track. Logida Simpson, 3637116506358421 To all the responsible dog owners out there - keep being amazing. I'd love an off leash space for dogs aside from dog parks. I've known dogs that walk better off leash than onâ€; and looking from their perspective going for a walk is great but you are confining them to walkâ€; dogs need to run it should be their		It also stops this incessant squabbling that has been groomed since 2016 about whether nedestrians cyclists skateboarders people with prams walkers with naws or any other social group should have exclusive use any public space -
Rod Francis that programme is sort of what the Selected Owner programme used to do - it was quite an intensive. But after 2016 the DCC secretly ditched it and replaced it with a thing called Responsible owner. That really only requires fencing because the questions about the bylaw are really easy. And there is nothing about good dog handling/dog behaviour. (aren Anderson, 260126445656666 Sadly, just another way the DCC, since 2016, has systematically removed all the dog control practises that created/supported (and rewarded) good dog owner/dog behaviour. NO, they don't work. I actively check walks and tracks for their dog requirements before going. I have encountered off leash dogs not just on lead required tracks but also no dogs at all tracks all over the city. If we have bylaws for this - there needs to be a way to enforce them, and make it work. Kids and other humans going for a walk should not have a risk of an off leash dog rounding the corner in expectedly on a dog free or on lead only track. You all the responsible dog owners out there - keep being amazing. I'd love an off leash space for dogs aside from dog parks. I've known dogs that walk better off leash than onâ€; and looking from their perspective going for a walk is great but you are confining them to walkâ€; dogs need to run it should be their		
Rod Francis that programme is sort of what the Selected Owner programme used to do - it was quite an intensive. But after 2016 the DCC secretly ditched it and replaced it with a thing called Responsible owner. That really only requires fencing because the questions about the bylaw are really easy. And there is nothing about good dog handling/dog behaviour. (aren Anderson, 260126445656664 Sadly, just another way the DCC, since 2016, has systematically removed all the dog control practises that created/supported (and rewarded) good dog owner/dog behaviour. NO, they don't work. I actively check walks and tracks for their dog requirements before going. I have encountered off leash dogs not just on lead required tracks but also no dogs at all tracks all over the city. If we have bylaws for this - there needs to be a way to enforce them, and make it work. Kids and other humans going for a walk should not have a risk of an off leash dog rounding the corner in expectedly on a dog free or on lead only track. Lydia Simpson, 3637116506358421 To all the responsible dog owners out there - keep being amazing. I'd love an off leash space for dogs aside from dog parks. I've known dogs that walk better off leash than onâ¢; and looking from their perspective going for a walk is great but you are confining them to walkâ¢; dogs need to run it should be their		
But after 2016 the DCC secretly ditched it and replaced it with a thing called Responsible owner. That really only requires fencing because the questions about the bylaw are really easy. And there is nothing about good dog handling/dog behaviour. (Aren Anderson, 26012644565666 Sadly, just another way the DCC, since 2016, has systematically removed all the dog control practises that created/supported (and rewarded) good dog owner/dog behaviour. NO, they don't work. I actively check walks and tracks for their dog requirements before going. I have encountered off leash dogs not just on lead required tracks but also no dogs at all tracks all over the city. If we have bylaws for this - there needs to be a way to enforce them, and make it work. Kids and other humans going for a walk should not have a risk of an off leash dog rounding the corner in expectedly on a dog free or on lead only track. Aydia Simpson, 3637116506358421 To all the responsible dog owners out there - keep being amazing. I'd love an off leash space for dogs aside from dog parks. I've known dogs that walk better off leash than onâ¢; and looking from their perspective going for a walk is great but you are confining them to walkâ¢; dogs need to run it should be their	·	·
behaviour. Aren Anderson, 260126445656664 Sadly, just another way the DCC, since 2016, has systematically removed all the dog control practises that created/supported (and rewarded) good dog owner/dog behaviour. NO, they don't work. I actively check walks and tracks for their dog requirements before going. I have encountered off leash dogs not just on lead required tracks but also no dogs at all tracks all over the city. If we have bylaws for this - there needs to be a way to enforce them, and make it work. Kids and other humans going for a walk should not have a risk of an off leash dog rounding the corner in expectedly on a dog free or on lead only track. You all the responsible dog owners out there - keep being amazing. I'd love an off leash space for dogs aside from dog parks. I've known dogs that walk better off leash than onâ€; and looking from their perspective going for a walk is great but you are confining them to walkâ€; dogs need to run it should be their		
NO, they don't work. I actively check walks and tracks for their dog requirements before going. I have encountered off leash dogs not just on lead required tracks but also no dogs at all tracks all over the city. If we have bylaws for this - there needs to be a way to enforce them, and make it work. Kids and other humans going for a walk should not have a risk of an off leash dog rounding the corner in expectedly on a dog free or on lead only track. Sydia Simpson, 3637116506358421 I'd love an off leash space for dogs aside from dog parks. I've known dogs that walk better off leash than onâ¢; and looking from their perspective going for a walk is great but you are confining them to walkâ¢; dogs need to run it should be their		
NO, they don't work. I actively check walks and tracks for their dog requirements before going. I have encountered off leash dogs not just on lead required tracks but also no dogs at all tracks all over the city. If we have bylaws for this - there needs to be a way to enforce them, and make it work. Kids and other humans going for a walk should not have a risk of an off leash dog rounding the corner in expectedly on a dog free or on lead only track. Sydia Simpson, 3637116506358421 To all the responsible dog owners out there - keep being amazing. I'd love an off leash space for dogs aside from dog parks. I've known dogs that walk better off leash than on… and looking from their perspective going for a walk is great but you are confining them to walk… dogs need to run it should be their		
I actively check walks and tracks for their dog requirements before going. I have encountered off leash dogs not just on lead required tracks but also no dogs at all tracks all over the city. If we have bylaws for this - there needs to be a way to enforce them, and make it work. Kids and other humans going for a walk should not have a risk of an off leash dog rounding the corner in expectedly on a dog free or on lead only track. To all the responsible dog owners out there - keep being amazing. I'd love an off leash space for dogs aside from dog parks. I've known dogs that walk better off leash than on⢦ and looking from their perspective going for a walk is great but you are confining them to walk⢦ dogs need to run it should be their	5	
I actively check walks and tracks for their dog requirements before going. I have encountered off leash dogs not just on lead required tracks but also no dogs at all tracks all over the city. If we have bylaws for this - there needs to be a way to enforce them, and make it work. Kids and other humans going for a walk should not have a risk of an off leash dog rounding the corner in expectedly on a dog free or on lead only track. To all the responsible dog owners out there - keep being amazing. I'd love an off leash space for dogs aside from dog parks. I've known dogs that walk better off leash than on⢦ and looking from their perspective going for a walk is great but you are confining them to walk⢦ dogs need to run it should be their		NO, they don't work.
If we have bylaws for this - there needs to be a way to enforce them, and make it work. Kids and other humans going for a walk should not have a risk of an off leash dog rounding the corner in expectedly on a dog free or on lead only track. ydia Simpson, 3637116506358421 To all the responsible dog owners out there - keep being amazing. I'd love an off leash space for dogs aside from dog parks. I've known dogs that walk better off leash than on… and looking from their perspective going for a walk is great but you are confining them to walk… dogs need to run it should be their		
ydia Simpson, 3637116506358421 To all the responsible dog owners out there - keep being amazing. I'd love an off leash space for dogs aside from dog parks. I've known dogs that walk better off leash than on… and looking from their perspective going for a walk is great but you are confining them to walk… dogs need to run it should be their		
I'd love an off leash space for dogs aside from dog parks. I've known dogs that walk better off leash than on… and looking from their perspective going for a walk is great but you are confining them to walk… dogs need to run it should be their		
ayla cilililinello revicin, 2525507 (1814)		
	, is chilinello rewton, 2323307	

Do Dunedin's current dog control rules work? Where should dogs be allowed to go? Should they be on the leash or off in certain areas?
We are reviewing our Dog Control Policy and Dog Control Bylaw and want to know what you think. We want your early feedback and ideas on rules that protect the health and safety of animals and people.
Your feedback will help shape the formal consultation taking place in 2024.
- More info and an online survey are available via www.dunedin.govt.nz/consultation
Dunedin City Council, 12792208725 Feedback is open until the end of November 2023
Joy Woodford, 2255038301229079 They should always be on a leash.
No they don't work. So many people walk there dogs off lead which makes my walk with my dog hard. He dosnt react well to other dogs and this happens all the time.
The new Fairfield track for example needs a sign saying dogs need to be on leads.
Tracey Mains, 2850146148343732 All dogs need to be on leads. Full stop!
Deborah Gillam, 217155498622427 Tracey Mains is it a designated on lead track?
Deborah Gillam, 217155498622427 Joy Woodford why?
Tracey Mains, 2850146148343732 Deborah Gillam I thought all dogs had to be on lead unless stated. It dosnt say but it puts me off walking and enjoying it with my dog.
Fiona Forde, 2296130810493219 Deborah Gillam I think it states that dogs have to be under control at all times which means it can be off lead but under voice control. I find it frustrating too as a guide Dog user especially those long line leads It gets no control.
Aleisha David-son, 2366811356685 Tracey Mains no, not all dogs. Many need more exercise than a walk on a lead and if the owners have put time into training their dogs who obey why should they be punished. Not their fault your dog doesn't like other dogs.
Aleisha David-son, 2366811356685 Joy Woodford your reason for this being??
Clint Dane, 2422299167781325 No they don't. And while we're at it how about the DCC supply's breakdown of whete all the money we pay for registering our dogs goes.
Julie Coward, 2187203064704787 "Shared" walking/bike tracks should have dogs on leads as I've had uncontrolled dogs run in front of me. Dangerous
Aleisha David-son I never said it was. It's not my fault my dog dosnt like dogs rushing up to him
Tracey Mains, 2850146148343732 All the time either when they should be on a leash
Melissa Gawn, 2043915628996787 Dogs shouldn't be allowed in the swimming area (flagged area) of the beaches. I have one child whom was knocked over into the surf by a dog running around crazy. The owner didn't even apologise.
Tracey Mains According to the DCC dog map, there's plenty of places where dogs are allowed off lead, and this is fair. My 2 year old Bichon x Shih-tzu has heaps of energy. He loves running around St Kilda Beach and Rotary Park for example.
Wayne Winder, 230446331959778 There's no way he'd get that much exercise on lead. It's good for dogs to have places where they can run free. Depends on training of course. My dog comes when called 95% of the time, and a treat held in the air works otherwise.
Wayne Winder that's good that yours is under control but there are so many that arnt. It's when they come rushing up to us is my problem. A lot of owners have Absolutly no control over there dogs.
Tracey Mains, 2850146148343732 And I'm pleased that there are many places that you can let your dog run off lead but there are many places you can't hense my problem.
Sharon Chambers, 2211023338935 Julie Coward pretty sure dogs are supposed to be on lead in these places which is fair. Shame so many ppl think the rules don't apply to them $\delta \ddot{y}^{\sim}$
Sharon Chambers, 2211023338935 Melissa Gawn they ate supposed to be on lead between the flags but I expect many ppl don't know that or maybe don't care?
The map seriously needs updated and to match with signage. It should be easy to look online to see where to walk your dog. For example it states dogs are not allowed between Outram Glen and Lee Stream. But wait that's not DCC land. It's DOC
Sharon Chambers, 2211023338935 land and they allow dogs there. No signage there either saying no dogs. Just one example of many.
Sharon Chambers, 2211023338935 Tracey Mains if you have a reactive dog there are plenty of on lead only areas to walk. If this area is off lead then you will always have encounters your dog doesn't like. Sad but true of "a
Sharon Chambers if you had bothered to read this properly then you will realise I'm walking my dog on lead in on lead places. He's reactive to all the bloody dogs who should be on lead but arnt and that is my problem. He's only reactive cos these
Tracey Mains, 2850146148343732 dogs rush to him with no leads or owner control in on lead areas
Aleisha David-son omg read it properly before you comment. I'm in on lead places and he reacts because these dogs rush him when they are under no control
Tracey Mains, 2850146148343732 So they are not being punished as you say because we walk on on lead places.
Sharon Chambers the problem is that people don't observe the on-leash requirement in those places, so those with reactive dogs or dogs in training end up having to deal with off lead dogs anyway. There needs to be more education and
Diana O'Kane, 1966406263457441 enforcement so everyone can enjoy the spaces they need.
Tracey Mains, 2850146148343732 Sharon Chambers they don't care. That's the problem, alot of dog owners just don't care.
Sharon Chambers, 2211023338935 Tracey Mains I did read properly. You just say all dogs should be on leads not that it's a designated on lead area.
sharon chambers, 22110255505554 racey wants raid read properly. Tod just say an dogs should be on reads not that it's a designated on lead area.
Sharon Chambers, 2211023338935 Diana O'Kane I agree about enforcement and education but also to sort out the signage which is hit and miss at best. Half the time people don't know the rules and the signs don't match the online map which is very frustrating eh?
Tracey Mains, 2850146148343732 Sharon Chambers unless if it's an off lead area then yes they should all be on leads.
Sharon Chambers absolutely - it's a total mess! Contradictory, confusing, illegal, and downright wrong. In addition, hardly anyone has actually read the bylaw or the Dog Control Act, so the number of owners who are clueless about the rules, their
Diana O'Kane, 1966406263457441 rights, and their responsibilities is ridiculous. Education and enforcement, along with sorting out the signage/maps/conflicting info, would go a long way to making everyone happier.
Julie Coward a lot of those dogs belong to the people on the bikes $\delta \ddot{Y}^{*}$ I agree that the lack of off-leash control is a serious problem, but it would also be helpful if cyclists would give some warning when they are whizzing up from behind so you
Diana O'Kane, 1966406263457441 can get everyone out of the way in time, leash or no leash. The idea of "shared" requires patience and consideration on both sides, for everyone's safety.
Aleisha David-son if owners have their dog under control, as required by law, then there is no reason why those dogs should be running up to other dogs, as part of being "under control" includes not causing a nuisance. And it is basic dog walking
etiquette to keep your dog to yourself, whether the area is off leash or not. Ironically, it IS the fault of owners with uncontrolled loose dogs that someone else's dog is reactive, because most of the time the problem has been caused by negative
Diana O'Kane, 1966406263457441 experiences with that very scenario.
Diana O'Kane or some people don't know how to train their dogs OR isolate them from being social with other dogs when pups or infrequent interactions by only occasionally exercise. If a dog is under full voice command control, ie heels at his
Aleisha David-son, 2366811356685 owners feet when walking or recalled then why should it have to be on leash? Because Princess Chardonnay the Second has small dog syndrome and attacks any other dog walking by? In that case, it's poor training.
Julie Coward, 2187203064704787 Diana O'Kane yes agree hence we have bells, and use them. It would also be helpful if walkers didn't have headphones on so they could hear Said bells.

	Aleisha David-son wow! You just don't get it. I like to walk my dog every day on our 6km walk in peace. When I see owners walking there dogs towards me and they are not on a lead I try to cross the road if possible. They should be on a lead in the
	suburbs even if they are perfect on voice command. My dog was attacked in Sunnyvale earlier in the year by a dog not under control. His owner even admitted he couldn't control his dog when it decided to attack mine. It was horrific and didn't need to happen had the dog been under proper
	control. And before you put down small dogs mines a rather large golden retriever who is the gentlest of dogs in his every day life but dogs who rush at him when they should be on a lead he dosnt like. And who can blame him!
11acey (viairis, 2630140146343732	Are there any cat control rules? If not, are there likely to be?
Richard Roberts, 237826296553116	
	My biggest problem is how quickly the "pound†let Dunedin Dog Rescue in on the game! All too often a dog is up for adoption before an owner even has the opportunity to get their baby back!
Julie Moyle, 2261815963849967	Dogs registered and microchipped are not the concern! Put your energy into those dogs roaming and causing real trouble!
Gretel Newman-Sugrue, 257227258	
Gretel Newman-Sugrue, 257227258	Julie Moyle Pound wouldn't have the dog if it weren't roaming.
Julie Moyle, 2261815963849967	Gretel Newman-Sugrue not debating that anywhere!
	Julie Moyle 100% with you! It's horrific!
	Richard Roberts Kia ora Richard, we don't have any current plans to introduce a cat control policy. However, we do work with the SPCA to support their snip and chip programme and encourage cat owners to get their cats microchipped and
Dunedin City Council, 12792208725	
•	Melissa Gawn Kia ora Melissa, we encourage and welcome feedback about on and off leash areas for dogs. All dogs should be kept under control, even in off-leash areas.
	Sharon Chambers Kia ora Sharon, as part of the Policy and Bylaw review, we will be reviewing signage to ensure it reflects the map schedule of areas with specific on or off leash rules. We have also asked for feedback from the Department of
Dunedin City Council, 12792208725	Conservation around their recommendations for reserves, beaches and the new marine conservation area.
V' Park at 111 2025270440005044	
VIV Baskerville, 2025378140906944	Dunedin City Council it's great that you're updating dog control policy, but PLEASE do plan to introduce cat control measures which are way overdue. The problems that neighborhood/ roaming cats create are huge and needs to be addressed.
	Clint Dane Kia ora Clint, the fees charged for dog registration contribute to the services provided by our Animal Services team. During the last registration year these services included responding to over 2500 requests for service involving dog attacks and incidents of aggression, roaming or contained dogs, investigating barking complaints and attending to stock on the roads. We also maintain records for the more than 18,000 registered dogs in the Dunedin area, run a neutering
	scheme for community services card holders, offer education sessions for schools, community groups or workplaces and maintain dog exercise areas across the city.
Duneum City Council, 12792208723	Julie Moyle Dog Rescue Dunedin never rescue a dog before its due date is reached. We advertise on our Facebook page, but if you were to read the wording at the top of each post, you would be aware that our primary aim is that a dog go home
Dog Rossus Dunadin Charitable Tru	
	to its owner. Sadly, when that doesn't happen, we are there to rescue a dog who may, in another council area, be euthanised. Dog Rescue Dunedin Charitable Trust I do read it…. It's just a really really bad look!!!!!
	Dunedin City Council microchipping and neutering doesn't seem to stop neighbourhood cats damaging my garden.
	If it were a dog I guess I'd simply call the DCC and they would come and take care of the dog?
	So why are cats treated differently?
	I am happy to have this discussion offline.
Richard Roberts, 237826296553116	
	Dunedin City Council not exactly a breakdown of the costs there. Just a list of things
	Dunedin City Council so if you have like you said 18,000 registered dogs, if each of those dogs were the "Nonâ€"working Dogs Registration Fee" that is \$109.00 per year, that would be a total of \$1,962,000.
	out of getting that paid to you each year, how many staff have you got in the dog control sector?
	as that would equate to 37.73 staff if they each were paid each \$52,000 per year or \$1000 per week before tax which would be 38.46 hours on your minimum \$26 per hour rate.
	as that would equate to 37.75 start if they each were paid each \$22,000 per year or \$1000 per week before tax which would be 30.40 hours on your minimum \$20 per hour rate.
NÃ- Mo Ainm FÃ-or. 271703858840	so yes, a breakdown of annual dog registration fee expenditure sounds like its needed.
,	Dogs that are licensed and on leash should be allowed in public places including the Botanical Gardens. You could explore establishing a program of training dogs and their human handlers together for certain trails where the dog could be off
Rod Francis, 7586664798029129	leash (see Boulder CO).
	NÃ- Mo Ainm FÃ-or if you read the info for dog owners booklet for this year DCC suddenly stated dogs increased by 7500.
	But it has only officially reported 18,000+ so apparently an extra 7000 are not officially recorded. That's over \$700,000 hidden away.
	DCA breached the LGOIMA to ignore a request for a statement of just how many dogs there are in Dunedin (off to the Ombudsman *again*)
	On top of the Ombudsman already investigating almost all of Dunedin dog management. Until the decision the investigation is confidential. But accounting for expenditure is one of the things complained about.
	So yes, you are correct to raise issues about financial accounting because what the DCC says does not stack up.
	Dunedin City Council and you have been told many times the Dog Control Act does not allow dog revenue to be expended on neutering schemes and education (except education for dog owners).
	More confirmation the DCC is charging fees to fund activities in direct breach of the Act.
	Also dog fees do not *contribute* to running Animal Services. If you read the actual Council documents we pay for 100% of animal services.
	That means we also pay for non-dog services. That is another breach of the Dog Control Act - but at least get the information you provide correct.
	Sharon Chambers no they are not required to be on leash in most of those places.
Karen Anderson, 260126445656664	And it would not be fair: Cyclists cannot expect to get priority over pedestrians in shared spaces just because they are cyclists.
	Julie Coward and every pedestrian has had cyclists charge them down at speeds too fast when using shared spaces.
Karen Anderson, 260126445656664	Cyclists have plenty of cyclist-only spaces, so if you don't want to accommodate other users, then got to a cyclist-only area instead of expecting everyone else to work around you.

Julia Causard 2197202004704797	Karen Anderson wow. Never said they had too. No point getting precious as everyone has had someone around them making things difficult. You sound like You want everyone to work around YouYou have a nice night.
	Dunedin City Council the marine conservation area is off-shore so does not affect the Policy/Bylaw. DoC has also already stated it does not so please save public money by not asking for answers you already know.
	Duried in City Council the marine conservation area is on-shore so does not affect the Policy/Bylaw. Doc has also already stated it does not so please save public money by not asking for answers you already know.
	You do not control most beaches so asking DoC about them is irrelevant, and nor do you deal with reserves controlled by DoC.
	But thanks for confirming the intention to redo 2016 in this regard: Despite the Ombudsman currently investigating the illegality of that.
	Also noting signage has been a problem since 2016. I hold written docs stating the signage is correct. So it shouldn't need updating. But thanks again for confirming that after dog owners complained to the Ombudsman you now accept it needs to
	be reviewed.
	(Also consider reviewing the maps as they contradict each other *and* the bylaw as well. And dog access is legally required to be in the Policy. (Quite a lot of reviewing to do.)
Karen Anderson, 260126445656664	Julie Coward Not what I said. But I acknowledge you have gone from expecting everyone to work around you, to accusing others of having that expectation when you are called out.
	Richard Roberts because there is a Dog Control act, but no Cat Control Act.
	Also dog owners are charged fees to fund that service - cat owners are not.
	Hence the difference in treatment.
Karen Anderson, 260126445656664	So if you want more legislation for cats, then consider talking to Parliament (start with your local member) - not the Council.
Julie Coward, 2187203064704787	Karen Anderson maybe you need to read my post again, instead of being so aggressive. There are alot more footpaths than cycle tracks if you want to go down that road
Karen Anderson, 260126445656664	Julie Coward which would be even more irrelevant. So no, I won't be allowing you to drag me down *that* rabbit hole either.
	Diana O'Kane which is why a group of us have gone to he Ombudsman. Who is currently conducting a very extensive investigation that captures many of the issues you identify.
Karen Anderson, 260126445656664	My nation-wide research confirms dog control in Dunedin is amongst the worst. yet we have one of the most compliant and better behaved populations in NZ. No need for any of the mess.
Sharon Chambers, 2211023338935	Karen Anderson I agree except perhaps the designated shared cycle walkways down the harbour which is where I thought she is referring to.
	Aleisha David-son precisely. And get so annoyed by anti-dog people who refuse to accept the majority of dog owners comply with the law, and instead fixate on *the one* law-breaker.
	We don't ban driving because some drive dangerously, we don't ban males because some are violent, we don't ban parents because some kill their children.
	Yet the anti-dog brigade considers it acceptable to deprive every law-abiding dog owner of their basic legal rights because they know one law-breaker.
Karen Anderson, 260126445656664	Really unbalanced behaviour.
Julie Coward, 2187203064704787	I'll enjoy the shared walking/cycle ways they are awesome .
Julie Coward, 2187203064704787	Karen Anderson I'll enjoy the "shared walking/cycle ways they are awesome.
	Tracey Mains that is merely your opinion, and the Dog Control Act presumes off leash by default.
	I am *not* saying all dogs should be off leash *everywhere*.
Karen Anderson, 260126445656664	But just be clear that your opinion is the opposite of the legal position.
	Karen Anderson THANKYOU Karen. I do hope I haven't frustrated you.
	I'm unsure whether or not the lack of an act stops such things as local bylaws but I'll take your word for it. It seems the lack of an Act, leaves the DCC powerless.
Richard Roberts, 237826296553116	Thanks again.
·	Richard Roberts no not at all frustrated. Unless you know the legal framework it does seem odd the DCC makes rules for one species, but not another.
	Yes, the absence of an Act does prevent local government making bylaws because local government only has the powers granted to it by Parliament (which its does in legislation).
	A number of groups lobbied the last government for a cat control act, so perhaps one will be enacted eventually.
Nai eii Allueisuli, 200120443050004	Trumber of groups robbied the last government for a cat control act, so perhaps one will be enacted eventually.

	The problems in Dunedin are caused by what began in 2016 – which is currently being investigated by the Ombudsman.
	The prosterils in Baneam are saused by what began in 2010 de Williams santently sellig investigated by the ombassinam
	1) In 2016 the DCC wrote access rules that treated all off leash areas as dog exercise areas. The distinction is important because exercise areas are for exercising dogs so you expect them to be running about quite a bit. By making every off leash area an exercise area we now have a generation of new dog owners who have been educated that if an area is off leash it is also fine for dogs to run around madly.
	2) The DCC tried to fix that by defining control to mean on leash. (Copied from a information page on the Irish Government website < sigh>) It can't, but insisting that was the case educated new dog owners that if a dog was off leash it couldr controlled: Which predictably lead to dogs running around out of control.
	3) The Dog Control Act contains the offence of *rushing* which means running at anything (people, other dogs, etc) Basically it exists because if a dog cannot rush at something it cannot get close enough to interfere with it in undesirable was But the DCC would not recognise that. Hence a generation of new owners with out of control dogs running around madly rushing up to everything in sight.
	4) The disinformation also affected the dogless. Frequntly people will demand dogs on leash when they really only want dogs under control. But they say on leash because the DCC disinformation makes them think a dog has to be on leash to under control.
	5) But blanket on leash rules are unreasonable and unfair, and prevent dog owners meeting their obligation to provide adequate exercise (which is a \$5000 fine). They also make no sense: Why would anyone want well-trained dogs on leash Swine Spur? What good purpose is achieved by banning obedience training, rally-o, agility, and all the other dog sports that train excellent off leash control and other good behaviours? It makes no sense and creates even more untrained dog
	The fix just requires complying with the Dog Control Act: - Allow controlled dogs off leash and enforce lack of control or rushing Designate some areas as exercise areas for dogs do like to run about madly â€" the current dog parks, for example. (Dog owners pay for these from their registration fe it is quite fair to set them aside in that way.)
	Integration not segregation and sharing without interfering is what we had before 2016, is consistent with the Dog Control Act, is the best use of public resources, and produces the best behaved dogs (and often people).
oron Andorson 260126441	It also stops this incessant squabbling that has been groomed since 2016 about whether pedestrians cyclists, skateboarders people with prams walkers with paws or any other social group should have exclusive use any public space 5656664 that we *all* pay for (including walkers with paws)
	356685 Karen Anderson exactly!
10.5114 2414 3511, 2300011	Rod Francis that programme is sort of what the Selected Owner programme used to do - it was quite an intensive.
	But after 2016 the DCC secretly ditched it and replaced it with a thing called Responsible owner. That really only requires fencing because the questions about the bylaw are really easy. And there is nothing about good dog handling/dog behaviour.
aren Anderson, 26012644	5656664 Sadly, just another way the DCC, since 2016, has systematically removed all the dog control practises that created/supported (and rewarded) good dog owner/dog behaviour.
	NO, they don't work. I actively check walks and tracks for their dog requirements before going. I have encountered off leash dogs not just on lead required tracks but also no dogs at all tracks all over the city.
	If we have bylaws for this - there needs to be a way to enforce them, and make it work. Kids and other humans going for a walk should not have a risk of an off leash dog rounding the corner in expectedly on a dog free or on lead only track.
ydia Simpson, 3637116506	358421 To all the responsible dog owners out there - keep being amazing.
ayla Chiminello-Newton, 2	I'd love an off leash space for dogs aside from dog parks. I've known dogs that walk better off leash than on… and looking from their perspective going for a walk is great but you are confining them to walk… dogs need to run it should be 929567 right!