

26 April 2023

File Ref: 2023-064-FYI

Kris Roestenburg By email: fyi-request-22283-29c9bba2@requests.fyi.org.nz

Tēnā koe Kris,

Request for information 2023-064

I refer to your request for information dated 27 March 2023, which was received by Greater Wellington Regional Council (Greater Wellington) on 28 March 2023. You have requested the following:

- 1. Why did the GWRC not come out and inspect the breaches that were occurring?
- 2. Has the GWRC ever heard of noise control never coming out? And if not why do they believe that the response to these two discharges are acceptable?
- 3. (sic) What action has been taken against Interwaste for the constant breaches of their discharge permit over the past 5 years?
- 4. I'd like a copy of all the smelt complaints made against Interwaste along with the actions that the GWRC has taken against each complaint

Please be aware that Greater Wellington can only provide you with the official information that we hold. We are also providing relevant contextual information which hopefully provides some assurance to our best practice methods.

Greater Wellington's response follows:

Your questions are provided below with our answer to each respectively.

1. Why did the GWRC not come out and inspect the breaches that were occurring?

The Environmental Protection Officer who was on duty at the time of the complaint on 22 March 2023 was unable to attend as they were attending another incident regarding the unauthorised discharge of contaminant, namely hydraulic fluid into Waiwhetu Stream, in breach of Section 15(1)(b) of the RMA.

Wellington office PO Box 11646 Manners St, Wellington 6142 Upper Hutt PO Box 40847 1056 Fergusson Drive Masterton office PO Box 41 Masterton 5840 0800 496 734 www.gw.govt.nz info@gw.govt.nz The Environmental Protection Officer who was on duty at the time of the complaint on 23 March 2023 was unable to attend on site for the required odour assessment, due to being in Kāpiti area, and carrying out an investigation relating to an incident involving the Ōtaki River.

The investigation into such incidents, and the capacity for other officers within the region meant that the odour complaints recorded on the 22 March 2023, and 23 March 2023, could not be attended to readily, and an odour assessment was not conducted as per the complainant's request.

2. Has the GWRC ever heard of noise control never coming out? And if not why do they believe that the response to these two discharges are acceptable?

Greater Wellington does not regulate noise on land, so we are not familiar with noise control officers' attendance.

Greater Wellington understands the responsibility it holds to monitor and assess compliance with the RMA, provided there is the appropriate capacity to carry out such assessments. Given the duty officer at the time was attending other incidents, the odour assessments were not carried out.

The two other incidents which were being investigated at the time, involved the discharge of hydraulic fluid to Waiwhetu Stream, and the unauthorised earthworks within 5m of Ōtaki River were provided priority given the time in which each of the incidents were logged, and of the locations the incidents were occurring.

- Under the proposed Natural Resources Plan (pNRP), for the Greater Wellington Region (Appeals Version), the Ōtaki Stream is considered as Schedule F1, indicating a stream of high character, i.e., the ecosystems habitats to which the stream holds are home to significant indigenous and biodiversity values.
- Under the proposed Natural Resources Plan (pNRP), for the Greater Wellington Region (Appeals Version), the Waiwhetu Stream is considered as a Schedule F1b, indicating a stream which feeds into parts of the coastal marine area, and which contains inanga spawning habitat and holds significant natural character.

The two odour incidents relating to Interwaste were logged at the same time as the two incidents mentioned above. When multiple notifications are received at once, the Environmental Protection Officer on duty must prioritise the notifications and attend the incidents they consider the most significant. On this occasion, based on the importance of the ecological receiving environments mentioned above, the significance of each of the contaminants, and evidence of breaches to Section 9(2)(a) and 15(1)(b) of the RMA, a decision was made to attend the other incidents in the Waiwhetu Stream and Ōtaki River.

3. (sic) What action has been taken against Interwaste for the constant breaches of their discharge permit over the past 5 years?

Greater Wellington issued resource consent WGN110357 on 14 July 2011 for the discharge of contaminants to air, namely odour arising from the operation of a waste sterilisation facility, to International Waste Limited.

Under this consent, monitoring and compliance inspections have been conducted, both from reported odour complaints, and under compliance requirements set out within the parameters/ conditions of the consent. Greater Wellington is aware of the responsibilities and actions it must carry out in terms of ensuring that no unauthorised discharge, namely that of offensive and objectionable odour is witnessed beyond the boundary of their property.

In the past year, Greater Wellington has received numerous complaints relating to odour from Interwaste, which Greater Wellington Officers have attended and assessed. On occasions that odour is not smelt by officers, a full odour assessment is not undertaken. If an officer detects odour on attendance, a full odour assessment is completed.

On 12 January 2023 Greater Wellington conducted an on-site investigation to assess compliance with the RMA, and relative resource consent WGN110357. In light of this investigation, offensive and objectionable odour was assessed to be causing an adverse effect, and an infringement notice was issued against the offending party, 'Interwaste' on 10 February 2023 under Section 15(1)(c) of the RMA.

- All recorded odour assessment sheets are attached as **Attachment 1** (three in total).
- Infringement I888 is attached as Attachment 2.

4. I'd like a copy of all the smelt complaints made against Interwaste along with the actions that the GWRC has taken against each complaint."

On 20 April 2023 clarification was sought from you to ensure that the above question was answered with the aprropriate information. As no response providing clarification was received, we provide the following information which we consider falls within the scope of your request:

"Kia ora Kris,

I hope this email finds you well.

I have recently been assigned the task of completing your LGOIAMA request, due on 26 April 2023, and currently seek the clarification on the below question,

"3. I'd like a copy of all the smelt complaints made against Interwaste along with the actions that the GWRC has taken against each complaint."

By this, are you meaning to state that you wish to obtain all actioned/ completed odour assessments by the GWRC Environmental Regulation Staff, in light of any reported odour complaints made against Interwaste?

<u>Or,</u>

All the times odour has been reported about Interwaste, to the GWRC, and the records we have of all of these complaints?

It would be great to get your clarification on the above, so we are able to provide you with the correct information going forward. However, if I have not heard back from you by the 24 April 2023 COB, I will assume that you are referring to all conducted odour assessments carried out by our Environmental Regulation Department, in light of each recorded odour complaint made against Interwaste. All other relevant information will be supplied as per your relevant questions".

Provided there was no response to our email seeking clarification (above), all odour assessment sheets where the odour was '*smelt*' are attached within Attachment 1, together with the Infringement Notice (Attachment 2).

If you have any concerns with the decision(s) referred to in this letter, you have the right to request an investigation and review by the Ombudsman under section 27(3) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987.

Please note that it is our policy to proactively release our responses to official information requests where possible. Our response to your request will be published shortly on Greater Wellington's website with your personal information removed.

Nāku iti noa, nā,

Al Cross Kaiwhakahaere Matua Taiao | General Manager Environment Management

Encl: Attachment 1 and 2

Attachment 1

Reason for in		7. 1.20	ELWASTE	7		Assesso	rs name: Nikki	FENN
Reason for in	assessm		+ CANYON				14.12 2022	. creig
INITIAL IMPR	-							
	investig	ation: COMP	LAINT/PROACTIVE		1		t no haracter:	1 of white the
	RESSION	5	- Outsid					and of anomisting
Time of the	e intial	impression:		100	Initi	al general he	donic tone: - Z	1
Initial odou	ur inter	isity:	3		Plur	ne width (if k	nown): <u>Smetra Au</u>	M fine bourdan
	Od	our samples e	very 10 seconds. The time	between the	10 secor	ds is disregard	ed (interval method). Breathe	normally rather than sniffing.
Start time: 15_10				Intensity Character/notes		Scale of intensity		
		ntensity	Character/notes			Intensity O	Characteriniotes	6 Scale of intensity
	0	0	thing IW	6th min	0	0		5 Very strong
	10 20	1			20	0	wind behind	4 Strong 3 Distinct
-	30	Q 7			30	0	WIND SERING	3 Distinct 2 Weak
-	40	6	- A -		40	0		1 Very weak
-	50	0			50	1	- wind was behind	0 No odour
	0	2		7th min	0	1	Slight small	
	10	2	Disanic (10	0	Swirling	
-	20	5	Rubbish	•	20	0		Weather data (see over)
-	30	2	Smell		30	0	Vare smell	Wind direction:
-	40	1			40	Ø		Wind velocity:
-	50	0	Wind blowing		50	0	and a state of the	6 km/h -
3rd min	0	0	behad	8th min	0	(1		Cloud cover:
	10	Ó			10	- 2		902
	20	0	Wind swiking		20	0	1	Temperature:
1	30	1	1		30	6	wind behind	
4	40	0 .			40	1		
	50 -	0			50	1		
	0	0	Wind behind	9th min	0	2	1 from	General hedonic tone Record at the end of the survey as
1	10	0			10	3	* 1	overall impression
-	20	1			20	1		-4 Extremely unpleasa
-	30	2	-		30 40	0		-3
-	40	2			50	1-		2
	50			10th min	0	7	Stappent wind	-1
5th min C	10	2			10	l	Stational wind	0 Neutral
	20	0			20	0	. /	1
	30	T			30	2	1	2
	40	0	Wird Kehind		40	0		3
r	50	0	in the state of th		50	Q	Vice Smell	4 Extremely pleasant

g.
- Aller
f intensity
rong
t
lour
and get
er)
H.
uth: item/L
item/L
14 90-1
9 901
°C
AND DE LA
the survey as a
ely unpleasant
-)
ely pleasant
ery pleasant
e f

ocation o	mon	. , no	radar			Assesso	rs name: Callion	Marthorn.
	-	itored: 11	aper canyon c			Date:	9/2/23	
eason for						Consen	no. NGN110	
			/PLAINT/PROACTIVE				naracter:	
IITIAL IMP			11:01		Init		donic tone: 20thing	ę195 ·
			12:01		ini	tial general ne	nown): 50m dow	un drive -
itial odou	ur int	tensity:C	3	hotwoon the	Plu 10 seco	me width (if k	ed (interval method). Breathe	normally rather than sniffing.
		Odour samples	every 10 seconds. The time	Detween the	10 seed	indo io disi egere.		
art time: _		Intensity	Character/notes			Intensity	Character/notes	Scale of intensity
st min	0	2	e195	6th min	0	1	strawderry.	6 Scale of intensity
SUTIN	10	2	195		10	0		5 Very strong 4 Strong
Ī	20	3	u		20	0		3 Distinct
	30	2			30	0		1 Very weak
	40	1	surest some		40	0		0 No odour
	50	2	Rotting	7.1.	50	0		
	0	2	fernentation	7th min	0	0		
-	10 20	1	5047		20	0	The second second	Weather data (see over)
-	30	1			30	2	Butter of op	Wind direction: SE
-	40	1			40	1	but duil.	Wind velocity: 20km/h
1	50	2	let		50	d		20km/h
d min	0	2	P00	8th min	0	0		Cloud cover: 60 -/.
-	10	0	Armintation	p .	10	2	DOg GIVEN HS	Temperature: 15°C -
H	20 30	1	Sweet.		30	0		18 С.
-	40	2	mean warm	n .	40	0		
-	50	2	(Lem (al		50	2	Chemical	
th min (0	2		9th min	0	1	wash.	General hedonic tone
1	10	0			10	G		Record at the end of the survey as overall impression
1	20	0			20	6		-4 Extremely unpleasant
-	30	1	Dietil Truck		30	Ö		-3
40	129	1	-		40	2	fementing	-2
	50 0	0	Que FL AQUE	10th min		2	Thear itege	-1
	10	0	vanilia.		10	2	.(0 Neutral
-	20	2	Dog bisconti		20	0	A DAY OF A DAY	1
	30	2	reaty		30	1	Oape.	2
-	40	1			40	0		3
	50	0			50	0		4 Extremely pleasant