

## Kōmiti Whakarite Mahere / Planning Committee CONFIDENTIAL MINUTES

| Confidentia | al minutes | of a   | meeting  | of the | Planning   | Committee  | held in  | the   | Reception    | Lounge |
|-------------|------------|--------|----------|--------|------------|------------|----------|-------|--------------|--------|
| Auckland T  | own Hall,  | 301-30 | )5 Queer | Street | , Auckland | on Thursda | ay, 6 Ma | y 202 | 21 at 10.03a | am.    |



The confidential section of the meeting commenced at 2.56pm.

C1 Auckland Unitary Plan - Plan Change 26 - Clarifying the Relationship Between the Special Character Areas Overlay and Underlying Zone Provisions - Appeals

Cr C Fletcher declared an interest in Item C1: Auckland Unitary Plan - Plan Change 26 - Clarifying the Relationship Between the Special Character Areas Overlay and Underlying Zone Provisions - Appeals and took no part in the debate or vote on the matter.

A presentation was provided. A copy has been placed on the official minutes and is available as a confidential minutes attachment.

Mayor P Goff retired from the meeting at 3.11pm Cr C Fletcher retired from the meeting 3.19pm

Note: changes were incorporated, amending clause b), with the agreement of the meeting.

Resolution number PLA/2021/44

MOVED by Cr C Darby, seconded by Cr T Mulholland:

## **That the Planning Committee:**

- a) withdraw Plan Change 26 Clarifying the Relationship Between the Special Character Areas Overlay and Underlying Zone Provisions under clause 8D of the First Schedule of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) for the following reasons:
  - i) overall, the decision version of Plan Change 26 does not achieve better outcomes than can be achieved without the plan change;
  - ii) the resource consent process efficiencies gained by clarifying which provisions have greater weight are outweighed by the outcomes that could result if greater weight is always afforded to the Special Character Areas overlay provisions;
  - iii) the council's resource consent practice was adjusted after the *Budden* Environment Court declaration and the approach adopted is proving to be not as onerous for both applicants and the council as first thought, when the plan change was prepared and publicly notified;
  - iv) the appeals are unlikely to be resolved without proceeding to a hearing. Costs would be incurred in defending the decision on Plan Change 26, which is an inefficient use of resources given the decision does not achieve better outcomes; and
  - v) Kāinga Ora's appeal raises issues regarding the relevance of the National Policy Statement on Urban Development to the geographical extent of the Special Character Areas overlay. It is far more appropriate to deal with the extent of the Special Character Areas overlay and underlying zones in a comprehensive and integrated manner as part of implementing the National Policy Statement on Urban Development than through the Plan Change 26 appeal process.

## Restatement

b) agree that the agenda report remain confidential, and that the resolutions be publicly restated within the next two weeks.

**CARRIED** 

Confidential Minutes Page 3



## **Attachments**

A 6 May 2021, Planning Committee: Item C1 - Auckland Unitary Plan - Plan Change 26 - Clarifying the Relationship Between the Special Character Areas Overlay and Underlying Zone Provisions - Appeals, presentation

| 3.32pm | The Chairperson thanked Members for their attendance and attention to business and declared the meeting closed. |
|--------|---|
|        | CONFIRMED AS A TRUE AND CORRECT RECORD<br>AT A MEETING OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE<br>HELD ON                     |
|        | <u>DATE</u> :   |
|        | CHAIRPERSON:  |

Confidential Minutes Page 4