

Email: [immigration@immigration.govt.nz](mailto:immigration@immigration.govt.nz)  
Web: [www.immigration.govt.nz](http://www.immigration.govt.nz)

**From:** **Sent:** Wednesday, 12 February 2014 6:56 p.m.

**To:** **Subject:** RE: **S9(2)(va)(i)**

Hello

I have had a look at the

I noted the following information contained therein:

"In May 2011 a police officer was hospitalised after a riot which was incited by Odd Future. An in-store appearance got out of control and police attempted to get control by shutting off the street. Members of Odd Future then got on the rooftops and shouted anti-police comments which stirred the crowd up even more."

On the basis of this, and all of the other shocking information contained within the reports, I am satisfied that we can intervene.

I strongly believe that Section 16(1)(a)(iv) applies to the members of this group:

No visa or entry permission may be granted, and no visa waiver may apply, to any person who the Minister (or delegated Immigration Officer) has reason to believe (iii) is, or is likely to be, a threat or risk to **public order**; or (iv) is, or is likely to be, a threat or risk to the **public interest**....

This group clearly has a history of promoting and inciting hatred. The above cited incident supports this assertion. Their lyrics are homophobic and racist. They glorify rape and murder fantasies, as well as cannibalism and necrophilia. They also court controversy by 'calling out' activists who try to get them banned or their shows cancelled. Were they to be permitted to travel to New Zealand and to perform I believe, on the basis of their track record thus far, that they are likely to incite violence (towards women), racial, sexist and homophobic disharmony in New Zealand. I am confident that this group is therefore likely to be, a threat or risk to public order or the public interest.

They can ordinarily be refused uplift (if identified at check-in) or refused entry permission (if identified on arrival). A special direction would be required before any visa or entry permission could be granted.

I believe that we have precedent here when we intervened with the hate group referred to in the attached guideline:

Kind regards

Immigration Border Operations  
Immigration New Zealand | Intelligence, Risk & Integrity Division  
Ministry of Business, Innovation & Employment

Email:  
Postal Address:

Web: [www.immigration.govt.nz](http://www.immigration.govt.nz)

s6(d), s9(2)(a)

Please note: From Tuesday 09 April 2013, my email address has changed to ensure that you update your records accordingly.

Please

**From:**  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 12 February 2014 4:46 p.m. s6(d), s9(2)(a)  
**To:**  
**Subject:** FW: s9(2)(b)(i)  
**Importance:** High

Anything (legal) we can use to stop these people from coming ?

Immigration New Zealand | Intelligence, Risk & Integrity Division  
Ministry of Business, Innovation & Employment

s9(2)(a), s6(d)

Email: |  
Web: [www.immigration.govt.nz](http://www.immigration.govt.nz)

**From:**  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 12 February 2014 4:41 p.m. s6(d), s9(2)(a)  
**To:**  
**Cc:**  
**Subject:** FW: s9(2)(b)(i)  
**Importance:** High

[SEEMAIL] [IN CONFIDENCE]

Hmmm – might need a bit of thought regarding the imminent arrival of these people?

**From:**  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 12 February 2014 4:29 p.m. s6(d), s9(2)(a)  
**To:**  
**Subject:** FW: s9(2)(b)(i)  
**Importance:** High

Good afternoon MBIE colleagues, following information received from Police. NZCS Objectionable Material team also advised however I have advised Police that you are now in the loop, should you think they need any further attention under your act

Cheers

New Zealand Customs Service

s9(2)(a), s6(d)

The Integrated Targeting Operations Centre (ITOC) actively pursues information that will assist Government Agencies to interdict illicit movement of goods, persons and craft. Information obtained will be forwarded to appropriate operational locations for use in enforcement targeting. Ops locations are encouraged to contact the ITOC on 0508 ITOC OPS (0508 4862677) or email [ITOCOPS@customs.govt.nz](mailto:ITOCOPS@customs.govt.nz)

**From:**

**Sent:** Wednesday, 12 February 2014 15:34

**To:**

**Cc:**

**Subject:** Fwd:

s 7(2) (b) (i)

s 6(d), s 9 (2) (a)

Hi..you guys interested in this?the content is definitely objectionable and they must be flying in.  
Will get media attention.

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

RELEASED UNDER THE  
OFFICIAL INFORMATION ACT

s 9 (2) (a)

=====

WARNING

The information contained in this email message is intended for the addressee only and may contain privileged information. It may also be subject to the provisions of section 50 of the Policing Act 2008, which creates an offence to have unlawful possession of Police property. If you are not the intended recipient of this message or have received this message in error, you must not peruse, use, distribute or copy this message or any of its contents.

Also note, the views expressed in this message may not necessarily reflect those of the New Zealand Police. If you have received this message in error, please email or telephone the sender immediately

The information contained in this email message is intended only for the addressee and is not necessarily the official view or communication of the New Zealand Customs Service.

This email may contain information that is confidential or legally privileged. If you have received it by mistake, please:

- (a) reply promptly to that effect, and remove this email and the reply from your system; and
- (b) do not act on this email in any other way.

RELEASED UNDER THE  
OFFICIAL INFORMATION ACT

Mark Keith

**From:** s9(2)(a), s6(d)  
**Sent:** Friday, 14 February 2014 1:30 p.m.  
**To:** Karen Urwin  
**Subject:** FW: s9(2)(b)(i)

Just so you are aware ....

Immigration New Zealand | Intelligence, Risk & Integrity Division  
Ministry of Business, Innovation & Employment

Email:  
Web: [www.immigration.govt.nz](http://www.immigration.govt.nz)

**From:** s9(2)(a), s6(d)  
**Sent:** Friday, 14 February 2014 1:26 p.m.  
**To:**  
**Cc:**  
**Subject:** RE: s9(2)(b)(i)

After the initial media issues are sorted, we will be meeting with IRID, Legal, etc to sort out some best practice guidelines for you all. No ETA but obviously we are keen to be able to get something back to your market in particular as soon as we can. I certainly want to send you a draft as soon as we have anything as your market is best placed to advise on the detail of any proposed best practice.

Immigration New Zealand  
Ministry of Business, Innovation & Employment

s9(2)(a)

**From:** s9(2)(a), s6(d)  
**Sent:** Thursday, 13 February 2014 3:45 p.m.  
**To:**  
**Cc:**  
**Subject:** RE: s9(2)(b)(i)

Hi s9(2)(a), s6(d)

I acknowledge your email below and decision concerning this group.

s9(2)(a), s6(d)

s6(d), s9(2)(a)

I have just got off phone from after we received a call from promoter to confirm call from was genuine, which it obviously was.

While personally I have no problem whatsoever with decision, it does place us in an invidious position processing such groups in future. From our position we have processed similar groups in the past particularly in terms of the nature of their songs and the antisocial message they contain, and/or criminal convictions such as domestic violence. It becomes a question of where do we draw the line. This is something we would appreciate guidance on after the dust has settled on this situation.

FYI Washington is about to receive a severe winter storm – it has already started snowing, and the office will almost certainly be closed tomorrow and possible Friday. If you have a need to contact me please do so via my cell phone.

Regards

Immigration New Zealand

Email: s9(2)(a), s6(d)

Web: immigration.govt.nz



NEW ZEALAND  
UNITED NATIONS  
SECURITY COUNCIL  
2015-16

From: s6(d), s9(2)(a)  
Sent: Wednesday, 12 February 2014 8:23 n.m.  
To: Karen Urwin;  
Cc:  
Subject: s9(2)(a)(i)

[SEEMAIL] [IN CONFIDENCE]

Thanks Karen,

As discussed, agreed with the decision given the information available from police and other sources.

s6(d), s9(2)(a)  
I would pay to have a look at these applications, the first of which I checked and it was processed the day after lodgement. I note the first entry I checked had been to NZ and there were no alerts on when the decision to issue a visa was made so no one is suggesting any performance issues around the decision but clearly they do not in fact look like individuals who should be allowed entry. Clearly the information below was not available to the case officer who considered the application.

May just be a case of VS made the best decision we could based on what we had at the time but the subsequent information means INZ has correctly determined the band is not suitable to be allowed entry.

Anyway, just pays to check.

s6(d), s9(2)(a)

s9 (2) (a), s6 (d)

**From:** Karen Urwin  
**Sent:** Thursday, 13 February 2014 11:54 a.m.  
**To:**  
**Subject:** FW: s9 (2) (1a) (i)

s9(2)(a), s6(d)

Here are the names of those we are off loading.

**From:** s6(d), s9(2)(a)  
**Sent:** Thursday, 13 February 2014 11:50 a.m.  
**To:** Karen Urwin  
**Cc:**  
**Subject:** RE: s9(2) (1a) (i)

[SEEMAIL]

Hi everyone,

Group identities seem to be:

STAGE NAME	ACTUAL NAME	DOB	ID
Tyler "The Creator"	Tyler Gregory OKONMA	06 MAR 1991	
"Hodgy Beats"	Gerard LONG II	09 NOV 1990	
"Domo Genesis"	Dominique Marquis COLE	09 MAR 1991	
"Mike G"	Michael Anthony GRIFFIN II	06 JUN 1990	
"Left Brain"	Vyron David TURNER	29 DEC 1989	
"Taco Bennett"	Travis Andrew BENNETT	16 MAY 1994	

s9(2)(a)

All have e-visas to allow them to perform at the concert.

I will liaise with Police here at JTOC to tell them what we are doing.

Kind regards,

s6(d), s9(2)(a)

**From:** s9(2)(a), s6(d)  
**Sent:** Thursday, 13 February 2014 11:00 a.m.  
**To:** Karen Urwin  
**Cc:**  
**Subject:** FW: s9(2)(1a)(i)

[SEEMAIL] [IN CONFIDENCE]

Hello Karen

For your consideration –sensitive offload

If we can identify the identities of this group we will look to offload with your approval. **Note this will no doubt attract media interest given that it is in the media already.**

T, C, K – can one of you find out the identities of this group please?

The email attached contains background info from Police (via Customs)

Thanks a lot,

Immigration New Zealand | Intelligence, Risk & Integrity Division  
Ministry of Business, Innovation & Employment

Email:  
Web: [www.immigration.govt.nz](http://www.immigration.govt.nz)

**From:** *S9 (2) (a), (56(d))*  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 12 February 2014 6:56 p.m.  
**To:**  
**Subject:** RE: *S9 (2) (1a) (i)*

Hello

I have had a look at the

I noted the following information contained therein:

"In May 2011 a police officer was hospitalised after a riot which was incited by Odd Future. An in-store appearance got out of control and police attempted to get control by shutting off the street. Members of Odd Future then got on the rooftops and shouted anti-police comments which stirred the crowd up even more."

On the basis of this, and all of the other shocking information contained within the reports, I am satisfied that we can intervene.

I strongly believe that Section 16(1)(a)(iv) applies to the members of this group: No visa or entry permission may be granted, and no visa waiver may apply, to any person who the Minister (or delegated Immigration Officer) has reason to believe (iii) is, or is likely to be, a threat or risk to **public order**; or (iv) is, or is likely to be, a threat or risk to the **public interest**....

This group clearly has a history of promoting and inciting hatred. The above cited incident supports this assertion. Their lyrics are homophobic and racist. They glorify rape and murder fantasies, as well as cannibalism and necrophilia. They also court controversy by 'calling out' activists who try to get them banned or their shows cancelled. Were they to be permitted to travel to New Zealand and to perform I believe, on the basis of their track record thus far, that they are likely to incite violence (towards women), racial, sexist and homophobic disharmony in New Zealand. I am confident that this group is therefore likely to be, a threat or risk to public order or the public interest.

They can ordinarily be refused uplift (if identified at check-in) or refused entry permission (if identified on arrival). A special direction would be required before any visa or entry permission could be granted.

I believe that we have precedent here when we intervened with the hate group referred to in the attached guideline:

Kind regards



s 9 (2)(a), s 6(d)

Immigration Border Operations  
Immigration New Zealand | Intelligence, Risk & Integrity Division  
Ministry of Business, Innovation & Employment

Web: [www.immigration.govt.nz](http://www.immigration.govt.nz)

Email:  
Postal Address:

New Zealand

s 9 (2)(a), s 6(d)

**Best People, Safe Borders**

Please note: From Tuesday 09 April 2013, my email address has changed to ensure that you update your records accordingly.

**From:** s 6(d), s 9(2)(a)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 12 February 2014 4:46 p.m.  
**To:**  
**Subject:** FW: s 9(2)(a), s 6(d) (i)  
**Importance:** High

Anything (legal) we can use to stop these people from coming?

Immigration Border Operations Branch  
Immigration New Zealand | Intelligence, Risk & Integrity Division  
Ministry of Business, Innovation & Employment

New Zealand

Email:  
Web: [www.immigration.govt.nz](http://www.immigration.govt.nz)

s 9(2)(a), s 6(d)

**From:** s 6(d), s 9(2)(a)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 12 February 2014 4:41 p.m.  
**To:**  
**Cc:**  
**Subject:** FW: s 9(2)(a), s 6(d) (i)  
**Importance:** High

[SEEMAIL] [IN CONFIDENCE]

Hmmm - might need a bit of thought regarding the imminent arrival of these people?

**From:**  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 12 February 2014 4:29 p.m. s 9(2)(a), s 6(d)  
**To:**  
**Subject:** FW: s 9(2)(a), s 6(d) (i)  
**Importance:** High

Good afternoon MBIE colleagues, following information received from Police. NZCS Objectionable Material team also advised however I have advised Police that you are now in the loop, should you think they need any further attention under your act

Cheers

s 9(2)(a), s 6(d)

s9(2)(a), s6(d)

The Integrated Targeting Operations Centre (ITOC) actively pursues information that will assist Government Agencies to interdict illicit movement of goods, persons and craft. Information obtained will be forwarded to appropriate operational locations for use in enforcement targeting. Ops locations are encouraged to contact the or email [ITOCOPS@customs.govt.nz](mailto:ITOCOPS@customs.govt.nz)

From:

Sent: Wednesday, 12 February 2014 15:34

s9(2)(a), s6(d)

To:

Cc:

Subject: Fwd:

s9(2)(ba)(i)

Hi..you guys interested in this?the content is definitely objectionable and they must be flying in. Will get media attention.

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

RELEASED UNDER THE OFFICIAL INFORMATION ACT

s9(2)(a)

59 (2) (a)

The information contained in this email message is intended for the addressee only and may contain privileged information. It may also be subject to the provisions of section 50 of the Policing Act 2008, which creates an offence to have unlawful possession of Police property. If you are not the intended recipient of this message or have received this message in error, you must not peruse, use, distribute or copy this message or any of its contents.

Also note, the views expressed in this message may not necessarily reflect those of the New Zealand Police. If you have received this message in error, please email or telephone the sender immediately

The information contained in this email message is intended only for the addressee and is not necessarily the official view or communication of the New Zealand Customs Service.

This email may contain information that is confidential or legally privileged. If you have received it by mistake, please:

- (a) reply promptly to that effect, and remove this email and the reply from your system; and
- (b) do not act on this email in any other way.

RELEASED UNDER THE  
OFFICIAL INFORMATION ACT

**Mark Keith**

**From:**  
**Sent:** Saturday, 15 February 2014 3:59 a.m. s9(2)(a), s6(d)  
**To:**  
**Cc:**  
**Subject:** FW: Odd Future - Immigration Repercussions for other countries Question?

Hi s9(2)(a), s6(d)

Please note email below from Global Access who were the agency who applied for the visas for "Odd Future".

I would appreciate if you could arrange answers to the two questions they have raised about the implications of the decision to decline visas for this group. My instinct is that each person will have to declare their entry refusal every time they are asked the question on a visa form, but your confirmation or otherwise would be appreciated.

Regards

s9(2)(a), s6(d)

Market Manager – Washington/Los Angeles  
Immigration New Zealand  
New Zealand Embassy, Washington

Email:

s9(2)(a), s6(d)

Web: immigration.govt.nz



NEW ZEALAND  
UNITED NATIONS  
SECURITY COUNCIL  
2015-16

**From:**  
**Sent:** Thursday, 13 February 2014 1:51 p.m.  
**To:** s9(2)(a), s6(d)  
**Cc:**  
**Subject:** Odd Future - Immigration Repercussions for other countries Question?

Hi, s9(2)(a), s6(d)

First of all, I'd like to say thank you and your staff for assisting with last minute changes with the Rapture tour. Hip hop festivals and shows are always a bit challenging for us and the promoters as well.

Secondly, advised that you were extremely helpful to her and took late night phone call from her in addition to contacting colleagues in border protection for details regarding Odd Future.

s9(2)(a), s6(d)

were able to speak with rom Auckland Intl Airport Border Protection last night and have also been following all the news reports from last night and this morning. We understand that the group was denied

under Section 16 of the 2009 Immigration Act and that an anti-domestic violence group had lobbied to get the group from coming to NZ due to their controversial lyrics.

However, we do have a clarifying question for you as we're concerned about the repercussions of this decision for each individual that was planning to travel with the group.

**Does this effectively count as a refusal for entry into NZ for all members who were to travel to NZ?**

**Would they need to declare a refusal for entry in all future Visa applications (for any country not just NZ) and on entry cards for other countries?**

This was due to group's lyrics and artistry not any individual concern such as criminal history, so this seems to be a gray area and I don't know if this would make sense for individuals to indicate on future immigration a refusal especially for those who were merely crew members and have nothing to do with the actual music. This is similar to several artists we've worked with in past having performances canceled by governments in China or Malaysia as government did not feel the morality of the artist was suitable for their citizens.

We just want to make sure we have a better understanding so to advise management how they should complete and address this issue on future immigration. As a refusal for entry could serve as a detriment to them moving forward with their touring schedule and if declared all countries will request some additional paperwork to verify information related to refusal prior to approving them for entry.

If you have some time today or tomorrow to discuss, we'd greatly appreciate clarification.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

*s9(c)(a), s6(d)*

Senior Manager, International Visa Department



*We're Proudly Celebrating*

**20 YEARS OF INDUSTRY EXCELLENCE**

**GLOBAL ACCESS WORLD-WIDE ENTERTAINMENT VISAS**

5670 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1970

Los Angeles, CA 90036 USA

[www.globalaxs.net](http://www.globalaxs.net)

*s9(c)(a), s6(d)*

*Customized work visa coordination and processing for the live music & entertainment industries, worldwide*

Mark Keith

**From:**  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 19 February 2014 8:27 a.m. s9 (2) (a)  
**To:** Karen Urwin s6(d)  
**Cc:**  
**Subject:** RE: Odd Future - Immigration Repercussions for other countries Question?

Hi

We have a catch up next week to discuss issues on this subject and that includes what guidance can be given to decision makers before they make visa decisions.

In terms of the questions below, I had a quick verbal chat with [redacted] but wanted to ensure that he and Karen both saw the questions raised below. It is my understanding (meaning I am happy to be corrected) that the members of the group have been refused entry and therefore would need to declare this in future applications. Border colleagues s9(2)(a) s6(d) can confirm as it is not my area but I have always assumed (that dangerous word!) that anyone who is turned around or refused boarding must in future declare this when they apply for an application.

Anyway, that's my take – happy for the better informed to give a definitive view.

AREA MANAGER

Operations Support, Visa Services, Immigration New Zealand  
Ministry of Business, Innovation & Employment

Level 7, Solnet House, 70 The Terrace, PO Box 3705, WELLINGTON, 6140

**From:**  
**Sent:** Saturday, 15 February 2014 3:59 a.m.  
**To:** s6(d) s9(2)(a)  
**Cc:**  
**Subject:** FW: Odd Future - Immigration Repercussions for other countries Question?

Hi s6(d) s9(2)(a)

Please note email below from Global Access who were the agency who applied for the visas for "Odd Future".

I would appreciate if you could arrange answers to the two questions they have raised about the implications of the decision to decline visas for this group. My instinct is that each person will have to declare their entry refusal every time they are asked the question on a visa form, but your confirmation or otherwise would be appreciated.

Regards

Market Manager – Washington/Los Angeles  
Immigration New Zealand  
New Zealand Embassy, Washington

s9(2)(a)

Email:

s6(d)

Web: immigration.govt.nz



NEW ZEALAND  
UNITED NATIONS  
SECURITY COUNCIL  
2015-16

**From:**

**Sent:** Thursday, 13 February 2014 1:51 p.m.

**To:**

**Cc:**

**Subject:** Odd Future - Immigration Repercussions for other countries Question?

s9(2)(a)  
s6(d)

Hi,

s9(2)(a) s6(d)

First of all, I'd like to say thank you and your staff for assisting with last minute changes with the Rapture tour. Hip hop festivals and shows are always a bit challenging for us and the promoters as well.

Secondly, s9(2)(a) s6(d) advised that you were extremely helpful to her and took late night phone call from her in addition to contacting colleagues in border protection for details regarding Odd Future.

s9(2)(a) s6(d)  
and I were able to speak with s9(2)(a) s6(d) from Auckland Intl Airport Border Protection last night and have also been following all the news reports from last night and this morning. We understand that the group was denied under Section 16 of the 2009 Immigration Act and that an anti-domestic violence group had lobbied to get the group from coming to NZ due to their controversial lyrics.

However, we do have a clarifying question for you as we're concerned about the repercussions of this decision for each individual that was planning to travel with the group.

**Does this effectively count as a refusal for entry into NZ for all members who were to travel to NZ?**

**Would they need to declare a refusal for entry in all future Visa applications (for any country not just NZ) and on entry cards for other countries?**

This was due to group's lyrics and artistry not any individual concern such as criminal history, so this seems to be a gray area and I don't know if this would make sense for individuals to indicate on future immigration a refusal especially for those who were merely crew members and have nothing to do with the actual music. This is similar to several artists we've worked with in past having performances canceled by governments in China or Malaysia as government did not feel the morality of the artist was suitable for their citizens.

We just want to make sure we have a better understanding so to advise management how they should complete and address this issue on future immigration. As a refusal for entry could serve as a detriment to them moving forward with their touring schedule and if declared all countries will request some additional paperwork to verify information related to refusal prior to approving them for entry.

If you have some time today or tomorrow to discuss, we'd greatly appreciate clarification.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

S 9 (2) (a) S 6 (d)

Senior Manager, International Visa Department



**GLOBAL ACCESS WORLD-WIDE ENTERTAINMENT VISAS**

5670 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1970

Los Angeles, CA 90036 USA

S 9 (2) (a) , S 6 (d)  
[www.globalaxs.net](http://www.globalaxs.net)

*Customized work visa coordination and processing for the live music & entertainment industries, worldwide*

RELEASED UNDER THE  
OFFICIAL INFORMATION ACT



**Mark Keith**

**From:** Karen Urwin  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 19 February 2014 8:52 a.m. s9 (2)(a), s6(d)  
**To:**  
**Subject:** RE: Odd Future - Immigration Repercussions for other countries Question?

Hmmm actually we can't really answer that as every country has its own set of entry rules. I know that it is a question that other members of the 5CC ask but given that they have already travelled to these countries I can't imagine that it will be an issue. There is also the fact that the band members themselves have tweeted and face booked their refused entry to several million fans the whole question is probably a moot point.

Also I think we need to make it very clear to the writer below that they were NOT denied entry in to NZ because of their lyrics – it was because of their history of deliberately inciting violence which is quite a different matter.

Cheers  
Karen

**From:** s9 (2)(a)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 19 February 2014 8:27 a.m.  
**To:** s6(d)  
**Cc:**  
**Subject:** RE: Odd Future - Immigration Repercussions for other countries Question?

Hi s9(2)(a) s6(d)

We have a catch up next week to discuss issues on this subject and that includes what guidance can be given to decision makers before they make visa decisions. s9(2)(a) s6(d)

In terms of the questions below, I had a quick verbal chat with [redacted] but wanted to ensure that he and [redacted] both saw the questions raised below. It is my understanding (meaning I am happy to be corrected) that the members of the group have been refused entry and therefore would need to declare this in future applications. Border colleagues s9(2)(a) s6(d) can confirm as it is not my area but I have always assumed (that dangerous word!) that anyone who is turned around or refused boarding must in future declare this when they apply for an application.

Anyway, that's my take – happy for the better informed to give a definitive view.

AREA MANAGER s6(d) s9(2)(a)

Operations Support, Visa Services, Immigration New Zealand  
Ministry of Business, Innovation & Employment

s9 (2)(a)  
Level 7, Solnet House, 70 The Terrace, PO Box 3705, WELLINGTON, 6140

**From:**  
**Sent:** Saturday, 15 February 2014 3:59 a.m. s9 (c) (a), s6 (d)  
**To:**  
**Cc:**  
**Subject:** FW: Odd Future - Immigration Repercussions for other countries Question?

Hi

Please note email below from Global Access who were the agency who applied for the visas for "Odd Future".

I would appreciate if you could arrange answers to the two questions they have raised about the implications of the decision to decline visas for this group. My instinct is that each person will have to declare their entry refusal every time they are asked the question on a visa form, but your confirmation or otherwise would be appreciated.

Regards

Market Manager – Washington/Los Angeles  
Immigration New Zealand  
New Zealand Embassy, Washington

Email: s9 (c) (a) s (c) (a)

Web: immigration.govt.nz



NEW ZEALAND  
UNITED NATIONS  
SECURITY COUNCIL  
2015-16



**From:**  
**Sent:** Thursday, 13 February 2014 1:51 p.m. s9 (c) (a) s6 (d)  
**To:**  
**Cc:**  
**Subject:** Odd Future - Immigration Repercussions for other countries Question?

Hi, s9 (c) (a) s6 (d)

First of all, I'd like to say thank you and your staff for assisting with last minute changes with the Rapture tour. Hip hop festivals and shows are always a bit challenging for us and the promoters as well.

Secondly, [redacted] advised that you were extremely helpful to her and took late night phone call from her in addition to contacting colleagues in border protection for details regarding Odd Future.

[redacted] and I were able to speak with [redacted] from Auckland Intl Airport Border Protection last night and have also been following all the news reports from last night and this morning. We understand that the group was denied under Section 16 of the 2009 Immigration Act and that an anti-domestic violence group had lobbied to get the group from coming to NZ due to their controversial lyrics.

However, we do have a clarifying question for you as we're concerned about the repercussions of this decision for each individual that was planning to travel with the group.

Does this effectively count as a refusal for entry into NZ for all members who were to travel to NZ?

Would they need to declare a refusal for entry in all future Visa applications (for any country not just NZ) and on entry cards for other countries?

This was due to group's lyrics and artistry not any individual concern such as criminal history, so this seems to be a gray area and I don't know if this would make sense for individuals to indicate on future immigration a refusal especially for those who were merely crew members and have nothing to do with the actual music. This is similar to several artists we've worked with in past having performances canceled by governments in China or Malaysia as government did not feel the morality of the artist was suitable for their citizens.

We just want to make sure we have a better understanding so to advise management how they should complete and address this issue on future immigration. As a refusal for entry could serve as a detriment to them moving forward with their touring schedule and if declared all countries will request some additional paperwork to verify information related to refusal prior to approving them for entry.

If you have some time today or tomorrow to discuss, we'd greatly appreciate clarification.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Senior Manager, International Visa Department



*We're Proudly Celebrating*

**20 YEARS OF INDUSTRY EXCELLENCE**

**GLOBAL ACCESS WORLD-WIDE ENTERTAINMENT VISAS**

5670 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1970

Los Angeles, CA 90036 USA

[www.globalaxs.net](http://www.globalaxs.net)

*Customized work visa coordination and processing for the live music & entertainment industries, worldwide*

**Mark Keith**

**From:**  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 19 February 2014 8:54 a.m. s9(c)(a), s6(d)  
**To:** Karen Urwin; s9(c)(a), s6(d)  
**Subject:** RE: Odd Future - Immigration Repercussions for other countries Question?

OK – we can stress the real reason for refusal and I acknowledge the caveat that countries have all sorts of different rules about what you declare. I guess the safest advice for them given the fact of their refusal is well and truly in the public domain is for them to declare it if and when they apply for visas.

**From:** Karen Urwin  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 19 February 2014 8:52 a.m.  
**To:** s9(c)(a), s6(d)  
**Subject:** RE: Odd Future - Immigration Repercussions for other countries Question?

Hmmm actually we can't really answer that as every country has its own set of entry rules. I know that it is a question that other members of the 5CC ask but given that they have already travelled to these countries I can't imagine that it will be an issue. There is also the fact that the band members themselves have tweeted and face booked their refused entry to several million fans the whole question is probably a moot point.

Also I think we need to make it very clear to the writer below that they were NOT denied entry in to NZ because of their lyrics – it was because of their history of deliberately inciting violence which is quite a different matter.

Cheers  
Karen

**From:**  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 19 February 2014 8:27 a.m. s9(c)(a), s6(d)  
**To:** ; Karen Urwin  
**Cc:**  
**Subject:** RE: Odd Future - Immigration Repercussions for other countries Question?

Hi

We have a catch up next week to discuss issues on this subject and that includes what guidance can be given to decision makers before they make visa decisions. s9(c)(a), s6(d)

In terms of the questions below, I had a quick verbal chat with [redacted] but wanted to ensure that he and Karen both saw the questions raised below. It is my understanding (meaning I am happy to be corrected) that the members of the group have been refused entry and therefore would need to declare this in future applications. Border colleagues (Karen [redacted]) can confirm as it is not my area but I have always assumed (that dangerous word!) that anyone who is turned around or refused boarding must in future declare this when they apply for an application. s9(c)(a), s6(d)

Anyway, that's my take – happy for the better informed to give a definitive view.

s9(c)(a), s6(d)

AREA MANAGER

Operations Support, Visa Services, Immigration New Zealand

s 9 (2)(a), s 6(d)

Level 7, Solnet House, 70 The Terrace, PO Box 3705, WELLINGTON, 6140

**From:**  
**Sent:** Saturday, 15 February 2014 3:59 a.m. s 9 (2)(a), s 6(d)  
**To:**  
**Cc:** s 9 (2)(a), s 6(d)  
**Subject:** FW: Odd Future - Immigration Repercussions for other countries Question?

Hi s 9 (2) (a), s 6 (d)

Please note email below from Global Access who were the agency who applied for the visas for "Odd Future".

I would appreciate if you could arrange answers to the two questions they have raised about the implications of the decision to decline visas for this group. My instinct is that each person will have to declare their entry refusal every time they are asked the question on a visa form, but your confirmation or otherwise would be appreciated.

Regards

Market Manager – Washington/Los Angeles s 9 (2) (a), s 6 (d)  
Immigration New Zealand  
New Zealand Embassy, Washington

Email: s 9 (2) (a), s 6 (d)

Web: immigration.govt.nz



NEW ZEALAND  
UNITED NATIONS  
SECURITY COUNCIL  
2015-16

**From:**  
**Sent:** Thursday, 13 February 2014 1:51 p.m. s 9 (2)(a), s 6 (d)  
**To:**  
**Cc:**  
**Subject:** Odd Future - Immigration Repercussions for other countries Question?

Hi, s 9 (2) (a), s 6 (d)

First of all, I'd like to say thank you and your staff for assisting with last minute changes with the Rapture tour. Hip hop festivals and shows are always a bit challenging for us and the promoters as well.

Secondly, s 9 (2) (a) s 6 (d) advised that you were extremely helpful to her and took late night phone call from her in addition to contacting colleagues in border protection for details regarding Odd Future.

s 9 (c)(a), s 6(d)

and I were able to speak with [redacted] from Auckland Intl Airport Border Protection last night and have also been following all the news reports from last night and this morning. We understand that the group was denied under Section 16 of the 2009 Immigration Act and that an anti-domestic violence group had lobbied to get the group from coming to NZ due to their controversial lyrics.

However, we do have a clarifying question for you as we're concerned about the repercussions of this decision for each individual that was planning to travel with the group.

**Does this effectively count as a refusal for entry into NZ for all members who were to travel to NZ?**

**Would they need to declare a refusal for entry in all future Visa applications (for any country not just NZ) and on entry cards for other countries?**

This was due to group's lyrics and artistry not any individual concern such as criminal history, so this seems to be a gray area and I don't know if this would make sense for individuals to indicate on future immigration a refusal especially for those who were merely crew members and have nothing to do with the actual music. This is similar to several artists we've worked with in past having performances canceled by governments in China or Malaysia as government did not feel the morality of the artist was suitable for their citizens.

We just want to make sure we have a better understanding so to advise management how they should complete and address this issue on future immigration. As a refusal for entry could serve as a detriment to them moving forward with their touring schedule and if declared all countries will request some additional paperwork to verify information related to refusal prior to approving them for entry.

If you have some time today or tomorrow to discuss, we'd greatly appreciate clarification.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

s 9 (c)(a), s 6(d)

Senior Manager, International Visa Department



*We're Proudly Celebrating*

**20 YEARS OF INDUSTRY EXCELLENCE**

**GLOBAL ACCESS WORLD-WIDE ENTERTAINMENT VISAS**

5670 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1970  
Los Angeles, CA 90036 USA

[www.globalaxs.net](http://www.globalaxs.net)

s 9 (c)(a), s 6(d)

*Customized work visa coordination and processing for the live music & entertainment industries, worldwide*

**Mark Keith**

---

**From:**  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 19 February 2014 9:00 a.m. s9(z)(a)  
**To:** s6(d)  
**Subject:** RE: Odd Future - Immigration Repercussions for other countries Question?

That seem pretty sensible.

**From:**  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 19 February 2014 8:54 a.m. s9(z)(a), s6(d)  
**To:** |  
**Subject:** RE: Odd Future - Immigration Repercussions for other countries Question?

OK – we can stress the real reason for refusal and I acknowledge the caveat that countries have all sorts of different rules about what you declare. I guess the safest advice for them given the fact of their refusal is well and truly in the public domain is for them to declare it if and when they apply for visas.

**From:** Karen Urwin  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 19 February 2014 8:52 a.m.  
**To:** |  
**Subject:** RE: Odd Future - Immigration Repercussions for other countries Question?

Hmmm actually we can't really answer that as every country has its own set of entry rules. I know that it is a question that other members of the 5CC ask but given that they have already travelled to these countries I can't imagine that it will be an issue. There is also the fact that the band members themselves have tweeted and face booked their refused entry to several million fans the whole question is probably a moot point.

Also I think we need to make it very clear to the writer below that they were NOT denied entry in to NZ because of their lyrics – it was because of their history of deliberately inciting violence which is quite a different matter.

Cheers  
Karen

s9(z)(a) s6(d)

**From:**  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 19 February 2014 8:27 a.m. s9(z)(a)  
**To:** s6(d)  
**Cc:**  
**Subject:** RE: Odd Future - Immigration Repercussions for other countries Question?

... s9(z)(a)  
s6(d)

We have a catch up next week to discuss issues on this subject and that includes what guidance can be given to decision makers before they make visa decisions.

s9(z)(a) s6(d)

In terms of the questions below, I had a quick verbal chat with but wanted to ensure that he and saw the questions raised below. It is my understanding (meaning I am happy to be corrected) that the members of the group have been refused entry and therefore would need to declare this in future applications. Border colleagues (Karen and can confirm as it is not my area but I have always assumed (that dangerous word!) that anyone who is turned around or refused boarding must in future declare this when they apply for an application.

s9(z)(a) s6(d)

Anyway, that's my take – happy for the better informed to give a definitive view.

s 6 (d)

AREA MANAGER

Operations Support, Visa Services, Immigration New Zealand  
Ministry of Business, Innovation & Employment

Telephone: s 9 (2) (a)  
Level 7, Solnet House, 70 The Terrace, PO Box 3705, WELLINGTON, 6140

**From:** s 9 (2) (a) s 6 (d)  
**Sent:** Saturday, 15 February 2014 3:59 a.m.  
**To:**  
**Cc:** s 9 (2) (a) s 6 (d)  
**Subject:** FW: Odd Future - Immigration Repercussions for other countries Question?

Hi s 9 (2) (a) s 6 (d)

Please note email below from Global Access who were the agency who applied for the visas for "Odd Future".

I would appreciate if you could arrange answers to the two questions they have raised about the implications of the decision to decline visas for this group. My instinct is that each person will have to declare their entry refusal every time they are asked the question on a visa form, but your confirmation or otherwise would be appreciated.

Regards

s 9 (2) (a) s 6 (d)  
Market Manager – Washington/Los Angeles  
Immigration New Zealand  
New Zealand Embassy, Washington  
s 9 (2) (a), s 6 (d)  
Email:

Web: immigration.govt.nz



s 9 (2) (a) s 6 (d)



Hi, J

s9 (2) (a) s6 (d)

First of all, I'd like to say thank you and your staff for assisting with last minute changes with the Rapture tour. Hip hop festivals and shows are always a bit challenging for us and the promoters as well.

s9 (2) (a) s6 (d)

Secondly, ' advised that you were extremely helpful to her and took late night phone call from her in addition to contacting colleagues in border protection for details regarding Odd Future.

I were able to speak with from Auckland Intl Airport Border Protection last night and have also been following all the news reports from last night and this morning. We understand that the group was denied under Section 16 of the 2009 Immigration Act and that an anti-domestic violence group had lobbied to get the group from coming to NZ due to their controversial lyrics.

However, we do have a clarifying question for you as we're concerned about the repercussions of this decision for each individual that was planning to travel with the group.

**Does this effectively count as a refusal for entry into NZ for all members who were to travel to NZ?**

**Would they need to declare a refusal for entry in all future Visa applications (for any country not just NZ) and on entry cards for other countries?**

This was due to group's lyrics and artistry not any individual concern such as criminal history, so this seems to be a gray area and I don't know if this would make sense for individuals to indicate on future immigration a refusal especially for those are were merely crew members and have nothing to do with the actual music. This is similar to several artists we've worked with in past having performances canceled by governments in China or Malaysia as government did not feel the morality of the artist was suitable for their citizens.

We just want to make sure we have a better understanding so to advise management how they should complete and address this issue on future immigration. As a refusal for entry could serve as a detriment to them moving forward with their touring schedule and if declared all countries will request some additional paperwork to verify information related to refusal prior to approving them for entry.

If you have some time today or tomorrow to discuss, we'd greatly appreciate clarification.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

s6 (d) s9 (2) (a)

Senior Manager, International Visa Department



*We're Proudly Celebrating*

**20 YEARS OF INDUSTRY EXCELLENCE**

**GLOBAL ACCESS WORLD-WIDE ENTERTAINMENT VISAS**

5670 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1970

Los Angeles, CA 90036 USA

s9 (2) (a), s6 (d)

[www.globalaxs.net](http://www.globalaxs.net)

*Customized work visa coordination and processing for the live music & entertainment industries, worldwide*

Mark Keith

---

s 9 (2) (a), s 6 (d)

From:

Sent:

Wednesday, 19 February 2014 9:46 a.m.

To:

s 9 (2) (a), s 6 (d).

Cc:

Subject:

RE: Odd Future - Immigration Repercussions for other countries Question?

RELEASED UNDER THE  
OFFICIAL INFORMATION ACT

s 9 (2) (H)

s 9 (2) (a) s 6 (d)

Senior Solicitor - Corporate & Registries  
Ministry of Business, Innovation, and Employment

---

s 9 (2) (a) s 6 (d)

We have a catch up next week to discuss issues on this subject and that includes what guidance can be given to decision makers before they make visa decisions.

s9(2)(a) s6(d)

In terms of the questions below, I had a quick verbal chat with [redacted] but wanted to ensure that he and [redacted] both saw the questions raised below. It is my understanding (meaning I am happy to be corrected) that the members of the group have been refused entry and therefore would need to declare this in future applications. Border colleagues [redacted] can confirm as it is not my area but I have always assumed (that dangerous word!) that anyone who is turned around or refused boarding must in future declare this when they apply for an application.

s9(2)(a) s6(d)

Anyway, that's my take – happy for the better informed to give a definitive view.

s9(2)(a) s6(d)

AREA MANAGER

Operations Support, Visa Services, Immigration New Zealand  
Ministry of Business, Innovation & Employment

s9(2)(a) s6(d)

Level 7, Solnet House, 70 The Terrace, PO Box 3705, WELLINGTON, 6140

**From:**

**Sent:** Saturday, 15 February 2014 3:59 a.m.

**To:**

**Cc:**

**Subject:** FW: Odd Future - Immigration Repercussions for other countries Question?

s9(2)(a) s6(a)

Hi!

s9(2)(a) s6(d)

Please note email below from Global Access who were the agency who applied for the visas for "Odd Future".

I would appreciate if you could arrange answers to the two questions they have raised about the implications of the decision to decline visas for this group. My instinct is that each person will have to declare their entry refusal every time they are asked the question on a visa form, but your confirmation or otherwise would be appreciated.

Regards

Market Manager – Washington/Los Angeles  
Immigration New Zealand  
New Zealand Embassy, Washington

s9(2)(a)

Email:

s9(2)(a) s6(d)

Web: immigration.govt.nz



NEW ZEALAND  
 UNITED NATIONS  
 SECURITY COUNCIL  
 2015-16



**From:** S  
**Sent:** Thursday, 13 February 2014 1:51 p.m.  
**To:**  
**Cc:**  
**Subject:** Odd Future - Immigration Repercussions for other countries Question?

s9 (2) (a) s6(d)

Hi

First of all, I'd like to say thank you and your staff for assisting with last minute changes with the Rapture tour. Hip hop festivals and shows are always a bit challenging for us and the promoters as well.

s9(2)(a) s6(d)

Secondly, [redacted] advised that you were extremely helpful to her and took late night phone call from her in addition to contacting colleagues in border protection for details regarding Odd Future.

s9(2)(a) s6(d)

[redacted] and I were able to speak with [redacted] from Auckland Intl Airport Border Protection last night and have also been following all the news reports from last night and this morning. We understand that the group was denied under Section 16 of the 2009 Immigration Act and that an anti-domestic violence group had lobbied to get the group from coming to NZ due to their controversial lyrics.

However, we do have a clarifying question for you as we're concerned about the repercussions of this decision for each individual that was planning to travel with the group.

**Does this effectively count as a refusal for entry into NZ for all members who were to travel to NZ?**

**Would they need to declare a refusal for entry in all future Visa applications (for any country not just NZ) and on entry cards for other countries?**

This was due to group's lyrics and artistry not any individual concern such as criminal history, so this seems to be a gray area and I don't know if this would make sense for individuals to indicate on future immigration a refusal especially for those who were merely crew members and have nothing to do with the actual music. This is similar to several artists we've worked with in past having performances canceled by governments in China or Malaysia as government did not feel the morality of the artist was suitable for their citizens.

We just want to make sure we have a better understanding so to advise management how they should complete and address this issue on future immigration. As a refusal for entry could serve as a detriment to them moving forward with their touring schedule and if declared all countries will request some additional paperwork to verify information related to refusal prior to approving them for entry.

If you have some time today or tomorrow to discuss, we'd greatly appreciate clarification.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

s9(2)(a) s6(d)



*We're Proudly Celebrating*

**20 YEARS OF INDUSTRY EXCELLENCE**

**GLOBAL ACCESS WORLD-WIDE ENTERTAINMENT VISAS**

5670 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1970

Los Angeles, CA 90036 USA

39 (2) (a) 56(a)

[www.globalaxs.net](http://www.globalaxs.net)

*Customized work visa coordination and processing for the live music & entertainment industries, worldwide*

[newzealand.govt.nz](http://newzealand.govt.nz) - connecting you to New Zealand central & local government services

---

Any opinions expressed in this message are not necessarily those of the Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment. This message and any files transmitted with it are confidential and solely for the use of the intended recipient. If you are not the intended recipient or the person responsible for delivery to the intended recipient, be advised that you have received this message in error and that any use is strictly prohibited. Please contact the sender and delete the message and any attachment from your computer.

---

[newzealand.govt.nz](http://newzealand.govt.nz) - connecting you to New Zealand central & local government services

---

Any opinions expressed in this message are not necessarily those of the Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment. This message and any files transmitted with it are confidential and solely for the use of the intended recipient. If you are not the intended recipient or the person responsible for delivery to the intended recipient, be advised that you have received this message in error and that any use is strictly prohibited. Please contact the sender and delete the message and any attachment from your computer.

---

Mark Keith

**From:** s9(2)(a), s6(d)  
**Sent:** Friday, 14 February 2014 5:45 p.m.  
**To:**  
**Subject:** RE: [seemail] s9(2)(b)(i),

Hi

Sorry, I forgot to respond to this earlier

Karen Urwin, my manager, spoke to Stop Demand yesterday afternoon

Cheers,

Immigration New Zealand | Intelligence, Risk & Integrity Division  
Ministry of Business, Innovation & Employment

Email:  
Web: [www.immigration.govt.nz](http://www.immigration.govt.nz)

**From:** s9(2)(a), s6(d)  
**Sent:** Thursday, 13 February 2014 2:54 p.m. s9(2)(a), s6(d)  
**To:** s9(2)(b)(i)  
**Subject:** RE: [seemail]

[SEEMAIL]

I have forwarded this onto our She is busy dealing with the promoter and their  
reaction to the news that the group won't be permitted to travel at the moment so will likely be able to contact  
at "Stop Demand" once that has been sorted.

s6(d), s9(2)(a)  
- the contact information per their website for Stop Demand appears to be 09 846 4693 or mobile 027 273  
7654.

Kind regards, s6(d), s9(2)(a)

s9(2)(a)

s9 (c) (a)

From: s6(d), s9(c)(a)  
Sent: Thursday, 13 February 2014 14:22  
To:  
Subject: s9(c) (ba) (i).

s9(c)(a), s6(d)

Can you please contact [redacted] at Immigration and have them advise [redacted] at 'Stop Demand' - that 'Odd Future' won't be entering the country.

s9(c)(a), s6(d)

Thanks

New Zealand Police |

KIP  
s9 (c) (a) s6 (d)

WARNING

The information contained in this email message is intended for the addressee only and may contain privileged information. It may also be subject to the provisions of section 50 of the Policing Act 2008, which creates an offence to have unlawful possession of Police property. If you are not the intended recipient of this message or have received this message in error, you must not peruse, use, distribute or copy this message or any of its contents.

Also note, the views expressed in this message may not necessarily reflect those of the New Zealand Police. If you have received this message in error, please email or telephone the sender immediately

RELEASED UNDER THE  
OFFICIAL INFORMATION ACT